Wednesday, 22 February 2023 ASX Code : LEL

MARKET ANNOUNCEMENT

Update – Infill Drilling Results at Burke Graphite Deposit

Lithium Energy Limited (ASX:LEL) (**Lithium Energy** or the **Company**) refers to its previous announcements dated 3 February 2023[1] and 9 February 2023[2], relating to the assay results received from its recently completed infill drilling programme[3] at the Burke Graphite Project located in Queensland, Australia (**Burke Project**).

Subsequent to the reporting of these results, the Company has been informed by the laboratory undertaking the assay measurements that an error was made by the laboratory with the calibration of a piece of their measuring equipment. As a result of this error (which has now been rectified), some assay measurements incorrectly reported marginally lower grades of Total Graphitic Carbon (**TGC**) whilst others reported marginally higher grades of %TGC. Overall, the results from 8 Reverse Circulation (**RC**) holes were affected (BGRC015 to BGRC022), out of the 20 RC holes in respect of which the Company has announced assay results.

Lithium Energy notes that these corrections are relatively minor and are not expected to have any material impact on the upgrade to the existing JORC Inferred Mineral Resource (of 6.3Mt @ 16% TGC) to an Indicated JORC Mineral Resource category, currently being prepared by the Company.

The corrected assay results and updated cross-sections are reported in this announcement.

The updated composited graphite intersections encountered for RC Holes BGRC015 to BGRC027 are reported in Table 1.

The complete assay results (for %TC and %TGC) for RC Holes BGRC015 to BGRC027 are reported in Table 3. The results for RC Holes BGRC015 to BGRC022 have been updated; the results for RC Holes BGRC023 to BGRC027 have not changed and is shown for completeness (in support of Figure 3, which shows the cross-section for Holes BGRC022 to BGRC027 on the 78311020mN line).

Details of the collar location, inclination, azimuth and depth for RC Holes BGRC015 to BGRC0327 are reported in Table 2. The Eastings, Northings and Elevations have also been updated based on recently completed surveyed results.

1 Refer LEL ASX Announcement dated 3 February 2023: Multiple Exceptional Drilling Results from Burke Graphite Deposit

2 Refer LEL ASX Announcement dated 9 February 2023: Burke Graphite Deposit Continues to Deliver Exceptional Drilling Results

3 Refer LEL ASX Announcement dated 22 December 2022: Completion of RC Infill Drilling at Burke Graphite Deposit

Figure 1 shows the location of RC Holes BGRC010 to BGRC038 (with assayed Holes BGRC015 to BGRC034 identified) and the location of cross-section lines (in respect of which assay results have been announced) on the south-east corner of the Burke Tenement (with the results of the 2018 Electro Magnetic (**EM**) surveys[4] also shown):

- Cross-Section Line 7831170mN, for Holes BGRC015 to BGRC018 (shown in Figure 2);
- Cross-Section Line 7831125mN, for Holes BGRC019 to BGRC021 (shown in Figure 3);
- Cross-Section Line 7831020mN, for Holes BGRC022 to BGRC027 (shown in Figure 4);
- Cross-Section Line 7830975mN, for Holes BGRC028 to BGRC031[5]; and
- Cross-Section Line 7830930mN, for Holes BGRC032 to BGRC034[5].

AUTHORISED FOR RELEASE - FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:

William Johnson
Executive Chairman
T | (08) 9214 9737
E | chair@lithiumenergy.com.au

Peter Smith
Executive Director
T | (08) 9214 9737
E | cosec@lithiumenergy.com.au

ABOUT LITHIUM ENERGY LIMITED (ASX:LEL)

Lithium Energy Limited is an ASX listed battery minerals company which is developing its flagship Solaroz Lithium Brine Project in Argentina and the Burke Graphite Project in Queensland. The Solaroz Lithium Project (LEL:90%) comprises 12,000 hectares of highly prospective lithium mineral concessions located strategically within the Salar de Olaroz Basin in South America's "Lithium Triangle" in north-west Argentina. The Solaroz Lithium Project is directly adjacent to or principally surrounded by mineral concessions being developed into production by Allkem Limited (ASX/TSX:AKE) and Lithium Americas Corporation (TSX/NYSE:LAC). The Burke Graphite Project (LEL:100%) contains a high grade graphite deposit and presents an opportunity to participate in the anticipated growth in demand for graphite and graphite related products.

4 Refer SRK ASX Announcement dated 26 June 2018: Burke Graphite Project – New Target Area Identified from Ground Electro-Magnetic Surveys

5 Refer LEL ASX Announcement dated 16 February 2023: Significant High Grade Graphite Intercepts Continue at Burke Graphite Deposit

JORC CODE (2012) COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENTS

The information in this document that relates to Exploration Results in relation to drilling on the Burke EPM 25443 tenement is based on, and fairly represents, information and supporting documentation prepared by Mr Peter Smith, BSc (Geophysics) (*Sydney*) AIG ASEG, who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Geoscientists (**AIG**). Mr Smith is a Director of the Company (since 18 March 2021). Mr Smith has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves" (JORC Code). Mr Smith has approved and consented to the inclusion in this document of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The Competent Person(s) named below have been previously engaged by Strike Resources Limited (ASX:SRK) (**Strike**), the former parent company of Lithium Energy Limited (and subsidiaries) that hold the interests in the Burke Graphite Project. Lithium Energy Limited was spun out of Strike into a new ASX listing in May 2021.

(a) The information in this document that relates to Mineral Resources in relation to the Burke Graphite Project is extracted from the following ASX market announcement made by Strike dated:

- 13 November 2017 entitled "Maiden Mineral Resource Estimate Confirms Burke Project as One of the World's Highest-Grade Natural Graphite Deposits".

The information in the original announcement (including the CSA Global MRE Technical Summary in Annexure A) that relates to these Mineral Resources is based on information compiled by Mr Grant Louw under the direction and supervision of Dr Andrew Scogings. Dr Scogings takes overall responsibility for this information. Dr Scogings and Mr Louw are both former employees of CSA Global Pty Ltd, who had been engaged by Strike to provide mineral resource estimate services. Dr Scogings is a Member of AIG and the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (**AusIMM**) and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the JORC Code. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement. The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement (referred to above).

(b) The information in this document that relates to other Exploration Results in relation to the Burke Graphite Project is extracted from the following ASX market announcements released by:

(i) Lithium Energy dated:

- 16 February 2023 entitled "Significant High Grade Graphite Intercepts Continue at Burke Graphite Deposit"
- 9 February 2023 entitled "Burke Graphite Deposit Continues to Deliver Exceptional Drilling Results"
- 3 February 2023 entitled "Multiple Exceptional Drilling Results from Burke Graphite Deposit"
- 27 September 2021 entitled "High Grade Burke Graphite to be Optimised for Lithium Battery Application"
- 9 July 2021 entitled "Graphene from Burke Graphite Project Opens Up Significant Lithium-Ion Battery Opportunity".

(ii) Strike dated:

- 21 April 2017 entitled "Jumbo Flake Graphite Confirmed at Burke Graphite Project, Queensland".
- 13 June 2017 entitled "Extended Intersections of High-Grade Graphite Encountered at Burke Graphite Project".
- 21 June 2017 entitled "Further High-Grade Intersection Encountered at Burke Graphite Project".
- 16 October 2017 entitled "Test-work confirms the potential suitability of Burke graphite for lithium-ion battery usage and Graphene production".
- 13 November 2017 entitled "Maiden Mineral Resource Estimate Confirms Burke Project as One of the World's Highest-Grade Natural Graphite Deposits".
- 26 June 2018 entitled "Burke Graphite Project – New Target Area Identified from Ground Electro-Magnetic Surveys".

The information in the original announcements is based on, and fairly represents, information and supporting documentation prepared and compiled by Mr Peter Smith (BSc (Geophysics) (Sydney) AIG ASEG). Mr Smith is a Member of AIG, a consultant to Strike and also a Director of the Company (since 18 March 2021). Mr Smith has the requisite experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the JORC Code (2012). The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements (referred to above). The Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcements (referred to above).

Lithium Energy's ASX Announcements may be viewed and downloaded from the Company's website: www.lithiumenergy.com.au or the ASX website: www.asx.com.au under ASX code "LEL".

Strike's ASX Announcements may be viewed and downloaded from the Company's website: www.strikeresources.com.au or the ASX website: www.asx.com.au under ASX code "SRK".

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document contains "forward-looking statements" and "forward-looking information", including statements and forecasts which include without limitation, expectations regarding future performance, costs, production levels or rates, mineral reserves and resources, the financial position of Lithium Energy, industry growth and other trend projections. Often, but not always, forward-looking information can be identified by the use of words such as "plans", "expects", "is expected", "is expecting", "budget", "scheduled", "estimates", "forecasts", "intends", "anticipates", or "believes", or variations (including negative variations) of such words and phrases, or state that certain actions, events or results "may", "could", "would", "might", or "will" be taken, occur or be achieved. Such information is based on assumptions and judgements of management regarding future events and results. The purpose of forward-looking information is to provide the audience with information about management's expectations and plans. Readers are cautioned that forward-looking information involves known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Lithium Energy and/or its subsidiaries to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking information. Such factors include, among others, changes in market conditions, future prices of minerals/commodities, the actual results of current production, development and/or exploration activities, changes in project parameters as plans continue to be refined, variations in grade or recovery rates, plant and/or equipment failure and the possibility of cost overruns.

Forward-looking information and statements are based on the reasonable assumptions, estimates, analysis and opinions of management made in light of its experience and its perception of trends, current conditions and expected developments, as well as other factors that management believes to be relevant and reasonable in the circumstances at the date such statements are made, but which may prove to be incorrect. Lithium Energy believes that the assumptions and expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements and information are reasonable. Readers are cautioned that the foregoing list is not exhaustive of all factors and assumptions which may have been used. Lithium Energy does not undertake to update any forward-looking information or statements, except in accordance with applicable securities laws.

ANNEXURE A

JORC CODE (2012 EDITION)
CHECKLIST OF ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING CRITERIA
FOR EXPLORATION RESULTS

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	Explanation	Comments
Sampling techniques	• *Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.* • *Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.* • *Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.* • *In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.*	**Sampling Methodology – Diamond Drill Core** Detailed geochemical sampling was routinely conducted on a 1-metre interval basis of Quarter-Split Triple Tube HQ drill core collected from the Burke Graphite Project. The HQ and PQ triple tube drill core was initially split 50% using a diamond core saw cutting machine. Half-split core is being retained initially as a visual reference or for use as a bulk metallurgical sample. The remaining half-core was then split 50% into quarter-core, again using a manual core saw. The quarter-split core was routinely submitted for geochemical analysis. Samples were analysed for %TGC by Intertek method C73/CSA and for %TC by Intertek method CSA01. Sulphur was assayed on drill core by Intertek method FP1/OM. The remaining Quarter-Split Core was used as a metallurgical sample. Selective Petrological sampling of some lithological units identified in drill core was undertaken. These petrology samples are by necessity a small sample, but were selected on the basis of being "typical" of the lithological unit from which they were collected. **Sampling Methodology – Reverse Circulation** Sampling of the RC drilling was done via a Cyclone with splitter unit attached to the drill rig, with samples taken every 1m. Samples were analysed for %TGC by Intertek method C73/CSA and for %TC by Intertek method CSA01. Sulphur was assayed on drill core by Intertek method FP1/OM
Drilling techniques	• *Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, facesampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).*	**Diamond Drill Core** DDH1 Drilling undertook the diamond drilling programme and supplied a UDR650 multi-purpose track mounted rig. HQ and PQ Triple Tube diamond core was selected as the optimum sampling method for drilling the graphite mineralised zones at the Burke Graphite Project, on the basis of maximising recovery of graphite, as the method minimises disturbance to core, limiting potential losses in drilling water. Drill core was oriented with a Reflex Act III orientation tool. **Reverse Circulation** DDH1 Drilling undertook the reverse circulation (RC) drilling programme and supplied a UDR650 multi-purpose track mounted rig. A larger diameter RC hammer was used to drill an initial pre-collar of 4m in the soil-colluvium profile, which was then cased off using PVC pipe to avoid unconsolidated material falling behind the drill rods. A combined Cyclone and Sample Splitter unit was fitted to the side of the drill rig. The Cyclone collected a 75% bulk

Criteria	Explanation	Comments
		sample in a big calico bag and a 25% sample in a small calico bag.
Drill sample recovery	• *Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.* • *Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.* • *Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.*	**Diamond Drilling** Diamond Drill Core recovery was routinely recorded every drill run (core barrel of 3m), with overall recovery of > 92.5% achieved for the drillhole. **RC Drilling** Recovery from the Graphitic Schist zone was 100%.
Logging	• *Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.* • *Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.* • *The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.*	**Logging Drill Core** Core was initially cleaned to remove drill mud and greases. The core was then orientated using "Top of Core" marks from the Reflex orientation tool, marked into 1m intervals and the core recovery recorded. The core was then photographed using high-resolution digital camera and then geologically logged. Geological logging of Drill Core was routinely undertaken on a systematic one-metre interval basis, recording the following geological data: 1. Core Recovery 2. Rock Lithology 3. Colour 4. Minerals 5. Texture 6. Hardness 7. Minerology 8. Oxidation 9. Graphite Content Geotechnical data was collected, including Rock Quality Designation (RQD), Fracture Density and orientations of structures such as faults, fractures, joints, foliation, bedding, veins recorded. The Specific Gravity was collected using an *Archimedes Principle* water displacement device. The core was then split into one half and then into 2x quarters using a manual core saw. One ¼ split core was used for geochemical analysis and the other ¼ split core used for bulk Variability metallurgical testing. The core was then stored in a secured container in Mt Isa. **Logging – Reverse Circulation Drilling** Geological logging of reverse circulation drill chips was routinely undertaken for each 1-metre interval using similar procedures to core logging (described above). Visual record samples were collected from the large bulk sample and contents placed into a 20-compartment plastic tray. Each chip tray was photographed using a high-resolution digital camera.
Subsampling techniques and sample preparation	• *If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.* • *If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.*	One-metre intervals of quarter-split drill core and RC drill chips were submitted into an Intertek sample preparation laboratory in Townsville, Queensland. Geochemical analysis was subsequently performed at an Intertek laboratory in Perth, Western Australia.

Criteria	Explanation	Comments
	• *For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.* • *Quality control procedures adopted for all subsampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.* • *Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.* • *Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.*	Samples were analysed for %TGC by Intertek method C73/CSA and for %TC by Intertek method CSA01. Sulphur was assayed on drill core by Intertek method FP1/OM. No work has been completed to determine if sample size is appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled, with grain size of the graphite being determined post drilling by combination of petrology and metallurgical analysis.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	• *The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.* • *For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.* • *Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.*	**Geochemical Analysis** One-metre intervals of Quarter-Split Drill Core and RC Drill Chips were submitted into Intertek sample preparation laboratory in Townsville. Geochemical analysis was subsequently performed at Intertek laboratory in Perth. The laboratory inserted its own standards, Certified Reference Material (CRM) plus blanks and completed its own QAQC. Whilst company standards, duplicates and blanks were routinely inserted every 10th sample.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• *The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.* • *The use of twinned holes.* • *Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.* • *Discuss any adjustment to assay data.*	The QA/QC protocols adopted for Burke Graphite drilling programme involved routinely inserting a Certified Graphite Reference Standard (7 different Standards used), duplicates or Blank sample into the tag book number sequence every 10 samples. The QA/QC sample density is considered to be more than adequate and is very robust. Additional QA/QC controls were also provided by internal laboratory repeats and standards. Laboratory performance and all reported analytical results was statistically evaluated using QA/QC monitoring software. All Certified Reference Materials reported within 1 Standard Deviation of the Certified value.
Location of data points	• *Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.* • *Specification of the grid system used.* • *Quality and adequacy of topographic control.*	*M.H. Lodewyk Pty Ltd* licensed surveyors of Mt Isa were contracted to accurately survey each drillhole collar to sub-metre accuracy, using a Differential Positioning System (DGPS) instrument, in the MGA Zone 54 projection. Downhole surveys were routinely collected every 18m, using a *Reflex* Gyro after completion of the hole, with surveying carried out both going into the hole (inside of rods), and also coming out of the hole. Results were averaged to determine the final drillhole deviation information.
Data spacing and distribution	• *Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.* • *Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral*	Data was routinely collected on a continuous one-metre interval basis. Samples were collected at one-metre intervals down each hole.

Criteria	Explanation	Comments
	Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. • *Whether sample compositing has been applied.*	
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	• *Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.* • *If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.*	**Drill Hole Orientation** Drill holes were designed to intersect graphite mineralisation at perpendicular to strike observed in outcrop. **Core Orientation** Core orientation was routinely undertaken during drilling using a *Reflex ACT III* tool. The unit is attached to the top of the core inner tube barrel and initialised. The unit is removed and the orientation marked on the Top of Core using a coloured paint marker or chinagraph pencil.
Sample security	• *The measures taken to ensure sample security.*	All samples were collected by company consultants, retaining chain of custody until delivery to laboratory.
Audits or reviews	• *The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.*	No audits have been undertaken given early stage of exploration project. Company technical staff will review and implement procedures as appropriate.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	Explanation	Comments
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• *Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.* • *The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.*	Exploration Permit for Minerals (**EPM**) No. 25443 "Mt Dromedary" (**Burke Tenement**) was lodged with the Queensland Government Department of Mines and Energy on 2 December 2013. The tenement was granted on 4 September 2014 to Burke Minerals Pty Ltd (**BMPL**), for an initial period of five years, which was renewed for a further 5 years in October 2019 (expiring on or about 4 September 2024). Lithium Energy Limited (ASX:LEL) (**LEL**) is the ultimate parent company of BMPL.
Exploration done by other parties	• *Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.*	The Mt Dromedary graphite occurrences were first identified by Bill Bowes in the 1970's. Mr Bowes was the manager of the nearby Coolullah Station. A few small pits were excavated and no further work was carried out. The Mt Dromedary area was explored by Nord Resources (Pacific) Pty Ltd (EPM 6961) from 1991-1999, Nord collected numerous rock chips and submitted them for petrological and preliminary metallurgical appraisal by *Peter Stitt and Associates.* The preliminary flotation studies were encouraging and indicated 60-70% flake graphite (>75um size), whilst the floatation techniques utilised failed to achieve suitable recoveries. CRAE Exploration entered into a JV with Nord focusing on Copper exploration, and also did further rock chip sampling and trenching. CRAE's internal Advanced Technical Development division did a brief petrographical review which indicated the samples were predominately < 75um. Based on this advice exploration activity by CRAE for Graphite ceased.
Geology	• *Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.*	The Mt Dromedary graphite project on EPM25443 was identified by previous exploration dating back to the 1970's, and is hosted by a mapped graphitic schist (Qld Dept NRM) as a sub unit of the Corella Formation, within

Criteria	Explanation	Comments
		the Mary Kathleen Group and is of Proterozoic age. The graphitic schists within the Burke Minerals EPM 25443, are intruded by the Black Mountain (1685-1640Ma) gabbro, and sills, with subsequent metamorphism to amphibolite grade during the Isan Orogeny 1600-1580Ma. The Corella graphite project on EPM 25696 also covers a sequence of mapped graphitic schists within the Corella Formation, which also have been intruded by gabbro dykes and sills, with subsequent metamorphism to amplibolite grade during the Isan Orogeny 1600-1580Ma. At both projects, the style of mineralisation sought is crystalline graphite within the graphitic schists
Drill hole Information	• *A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:* – *easting and northing of the drill hole collar* o *elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar* – *dip and azimuth of the hole* – *down hole length and interception depth* of *hole length.* • *If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.*	Holes were orientated to intersect outcropping graphitic schists with a dip angle of 60o, the drillhole azimuth was aimed to perpendicular intersect graphite beds. Downhole surveys were taken with the Reflex Gyro every 6m. With the survey being done within the drill rods, by running the Gyro down the inside of the rods at the end of the drillhole, surveying going down and coming out of the hole. **Diamond Drill Core** Diamond core drilling was undertaken and HQTT core recovered in 3m core barrels. Core orientation was routinely undertaken during drilling using a *Reflex ACT III* tool. **Reverse Circulation** The RC hammer bit had a measured diameter of 123mm. A larger diameter RC hammer was used to drill an initial pre-collar of 4m in the soil-colluvium profile, which was then cased off using PVC pipe to avoid unconsolidated material falling behind the drill rods. Full details of the collar location, azimuth, depth for Drillhole ID's BGRC015 to BGRC027 are reported in Table 2.
Data aggregation methods	• *In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.* • *Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.* • *The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.*	Graphite intersections were aggregated into composited mineralised intervals on the basis of >2m widths and >10% TGC for "High Grade". Intersection widths of >10m and >10% TGC were regarded as "significant". The composited graphite Intersections for Drillhole ID's BGRC015 to BGRC027 are reported in Table 1. The complete assays (for %TC and %TGC) for Drillhole ID's BGRC015 to BGRC027 are reported in Table 3.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	• *These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.* • *If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.*	Foliation structural data from the borehole televiewer and structural core measurements indicates the graphite mineralisation was intersected orthogonally down-dip and is close to true width. The graphite schist is relatively undisturbed other than broad folding, offset faulting and the foliation is interpreted to represent original bedding.

Criteria	Explanation	Comments
	• *If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').*	Intercept widths are down hole widths.
Diagrams	• *Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts would be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited too plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.*	Figure 1 shows the location of RC Holes BGRC010 to BGRC038 (with assayed Holes BGRC015 to BGRC034 identified) and the location of five cross-section lines on the south-east corner of the Burke Tenement (with the results of the previous (2018) EM surveys also shown): • Cross-Section Line 7831170mN, for Holes BGRC015 to BGRC018 (also shown in Figure 2); • Cross-Section Line 7831125mN, for Holes BGRC019 to BGRC021 (also shown in Figure 3); • Cross-Section Line 7831020mN, for Holes BGRC022 to BGRC027 (also shown in Figure 4); • Cross-Section Line 7830975mN, for Holes BGRC028 to BGRC031; and • Cross-Section Line 7830930mN, for Holes BGRC032 to BGRC034.
Balanced reporting	• *Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.*	The information reported in this document is factual in nature and considered to be balanced.
Other substantive exploration data	• *Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations, geophysical survey results, geochemical survey results, bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or containing substances.*	A 9 hole RC and diamond core drilling programme (in 2017) and various geophysical surveys and metallurgical test work (on samples collected from the 2017 drilling programme) have been undertaken in respect of the Burke Tenement, which have been (where material and relevant) disclosed in ASX market announcements released by LEL and Strike Resources Limited (ASX:SRK) (**Strike**), the former parent company of LEL (and LEL subsidiaries) – LEL was spun out of Strike into a new ASX listing in May 2021. The Company has previously announced the assay results from RC Holes BGRC015 to BGRC021 – refer LEL ASX announcement dated 3 February 2023 entitled "Multiple Exceptional Drilling Results from Burke Graphite Deposit". The Company has previously announced the assay results from RC Holes BGRC022 to BGRC027 – refer LEL ASX announcement dated 9 February 2023 entitled "Burke Graphite Deposit Continues to Deliver Exceptional Drilling Results". The Company has previously announced the assay results from RC Holes BGRC028 to BGRC034 – refer LEL ASX announcement dated 16 February 2023 entitled "Significant High Grade Graphite Intercepts Continue at Burke Graphite Deposit".
Further work	• *The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step- out drilling).* • *Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations*	A review of the data from the (2022/2023) RC and diamond core drilling programme will be undertaken to increase the geological understanding of the graphite deposit on the Burke Tenement. The Company will seek to upgrade the current JORC Inferred Mineral Resource on the Burke Tenement to a

Criteria	Explanation	Comments
	and future drilling areas, providing this information is not commercially sensitive.	higher standard JORC Indicated Mineral Resource category. The diamond core will also provide representative graphite samples for a planned metallurgical, Purified Spherical Graphite (**PSG**) and anode testwork and development programme. The upgrade in the resource classification and the metallurgical and PSG optimisation testwork will also support a planned Engineering Study to assess the viability of establishing a PSG Anode manufacturing facility, using the Burke Tenement graphite as feedstock material.

Table 1 - Significant Intersections Encountered – RC Drilling – Holes BGRC015 to BGRC027

Drill Hole ID	FROM	TO	INTERSECTION	GRADE
	Metres	Metres	Metres	% TGC
BGRC015	26	42	16	9.0
and	64	115	51	13.8
including	97	115	18	18.9
BGRC016	22	39	17	9.7
and	55	115	60	16.0
including	**84**	**114**	**30**	**21.0**
BGRC017	**10**	**21**	**11**	**22.6**
and	49	112	63	16.7
including	69	112	43	19.6
BGRC018	18	40	22	18.7
BGRC019	34	114	80	12.2
including	93	114	21	18.7
BGRC020	33	105	72	14.2
including	72	105	33	19.7
BGRC021	9	94	85	17.9
including	**45**	**93**	**48**	**20.9**
BGRC022	22	124	102	13.0
including	**103**	**123**	**20**	**22.0**
BGRC023	20	88	68	18.4
including	**36**	**83**	**47**	**20.6**
BGRC024	15	103	88	18.0
including	**32**	**100**	**68**	**20.1**
BGRC025	10	71	61	14.3
including	**57**	**68**	**11**	**20.3**
BGRC026	3	64	61	16.2
including	**28**	**64**	**36**	**20.0**
BGRC027	1	37	36	13.3
and	**41**	**48**	**7**	**21.6**

Notes:

- *Intersections reported only if greater than 2 metres width and at a cut-off of 6% or higher TGC*
- *Intersections with greater than 20% TGC are considered to be highly significant and are highlighted in **bold** in the table.*



Figure 1: Location of Drillholes and Cross-Sections Lines on Burke Tenement



Figure 2: Cross-Section Line (7831170mN) Showing Holes BGRC015 to BGRC018 on Burke Tenement



Figure 3: Cross-Section Line (7831125mN) Showing Holes BGRC019 to BGRC021 on Burke Tenement



Figure 4: Cross-Section Line (7831020mN) Showing Holes BGRC022 to BGRC027 on Burke Tenement

Table 2 - Drillhole Collar Location, Azimuth and Depth for RC Holes BGRC015 to BGRC027

	Easting	Northing	Elevation	Inclination	Azimuth(Grid)	Final Depth
Hole ID	GDA94-MGA Zone 54		AHD	Degrees	Degrees	Metres
BGRC015	417908	7831173	141.7	75	270	133
BGRC016	417904	7831172	141.9	60	270	127
BGRC017	417894	7831173	142.4	60	270	125
BGRC018	417876	7831174	143.8	60	270	52
BGRC019	417897	7831124	140.3	75	270	127
BGRC020	417894	7831123	140.5	60	270	118
BGRC021	417875	7831123	143.0	60	270	103
BGRC022	417904	7831021	140.5	80	270	131
BGRC 023	417901	7831020	139.4	60	270	100
BGRC 024	417891	7831023	139.3	75	270	113
BGRC 025	417888	7831023	139.3	60	270	84
BGRC 026	417869	7831048	141.9	75	270	76
BGRC 027	417867	7831047	141.9	60	270	60

Note:

- *Eastings, Northings and Elevations have been updated based on recently completed surveyed results*

Table 3 – Total Carbon (TC) and Total Graphitic Carbon (TGC) Assays Results - RC Holes BGRC015 to BGRC027

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC015	0	1	0.8	0.5
BGRC015	1	2	1.14	0.2
BGRC015	2	3	1.4	0.2
BGRC015	3	4	0.74	0.3
BGRC015	4	5	0.56	0.3
BGRC015	5	6	0.85	0.3
BGRC015	6	7	1.6	0.3
BGRC015	7	8	3.42	0.7
BGRC015	8	9	3.97	2.8
BGRC015	9	10	2.59	2.3
BGRC015	10	11	3.29	3.2
BGRC015	11	12	4.47	4.1
BGRC015	12	13	4.13	4
BGRC015	13	14	0.51	0.4
BGRC015	14	15	0.26	0.2
BGRC015	15	16	0.25	0.2
BGRC015	16	17	0.23	0.2
BGRC015	17	18	0.03	X
BGRC015	18	19	0.1	X
BGRC015	19	20	0.11	X
BGRC015	20	21	0.15	0.1
BGRC015	21	22	0.08	X
BGRC015	22	23	0.07	X
BGRC015	23	24	0.22	0.1
BGRC015	24	25	3.12	3
BGRC015	25	26	5.95	5.8
BGRC015	26	27	7.38	7.3
BGRC015	27	28	7.79	7.6
BGRC015	28	29	6.19	6.1
BGRC015	29	30	4.72	4.7
BGRC015	30	31	6.66	6.4
BGRC015	31	32	8.42	8.4
BGRC015	32	33	9	8.7
BGRC015	33	34	8.35	8.3
BGRC015	34	35	10.69	10.4
BGRC015	35	36	11.85	11.7
BGRC015	36	37	4.46	4.2
BGRC015	37	38	13.54	12.7
BGRC015	38	39	8.99	8.9
BGRC015	39	40	10.74	10.4
BGRC015	40	41	12.98	12.9
BGRC015	41	42	15.57	15.1
BGRC015	42	43	2.77	2.7
BGRC015	43	44	4.32	4.1
BGRC015	44	45	3.97	3.9
BGRC015	45	46	4.13	3.7
BGRC015	46	47	4.4	4
BGRC015	47	48	7.17	7.2
BGRC015	48	49	4.14	4
BGRC015	49	50	2.64	2.5
BGRC015	50	51	2.71	2.6
BGRC015	51	52	3.94	3.9
BGRC015	52	53	3.89	3.8
BGRC015	53	54	2.5	2.5
BGRC015	54	55	2.1	2.1
BGRC015	55	56	1.77	1.7

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC015	56	57	2.08	2.1
BGRC015	57	58	3.95	3.8
BGRC015	58	59	8.39	8.2
BGRC015	59	60	4.76	4.7
BGRC015	60	61	8.74	8.4
BGRC015	61	62	6.04	5.4
BGRC015	62	63	5.3	5.3
BGRC015	63	64	4.95	4.8
BGRC015	64	65	12.23	12.1
BGRC015	65	66	14.35	13.2
BGRC015	66	67	12.18	11.3
BGRC015	67	68	16.82	14.7
BGRC015	68	69	18.82	18
BGRC015	69	70	13.08	11.7
BGRC015	70	71	11.39	10.7
BGRC015	71	72	7.26	7.1
BGRC015	72	73	10.46	9.7
BGRC015	73	74	11.53	9.7
BGRC015	74	75	11.78	10.5
BGRC015	75	76	9.92	9
BGRC015	76	77	7.89	7.5
BGRC015	77	78	7.35	6.6
BGRC015	78	79	7.85	7.5
BGRC015	79	80	7	6
BGRC015	80	81	9.05	8.3
BGRC015	81	82	6.94	6.5
BGRC015	82	83	6.61	6.2
BGRC015	83	84	8.64	8
BGRC015	84	85	8.67	8.1
BGRC015	85	86	8.22	7
BGRC015	86	87	8.24	7.7
BGRC015	87	88	8.27	7.7
BGRC015	88	89	8.82	8.2
BGRC015	89	90	14.17	13.9
BGRC015	90	91	16.06	14.7
BGRC015	91	92	17.05	14.5
BGRC015	92	93	17.87	15.9
BGRC015	93	94	18.94	17.4
BGRC015	94	95	18.92	18.1
BGRC015	95	96	18.91	18.2
BGRC015	96	97	19.44	18.4
BGRC015	97	98	19.8	18
BGRC015	98	99	20.78	18.5
BGRC015	99	100	18.79	18.3
BGRC015	100	101	21.2	21.1
BGRC015	101	102	20.45	19.1
BGRC015	102	103	21.21	20.6
BGRC015	103	104	20.98	18.8
BGRC015	104	105	20.5	17.5
BGRC015	105	106	20.97	19.4
BGRC015	106	107	21.2	20
BGRC015	107	108	20.78	18.6
BGRC015	108	109	20.11	20
BGRC015	109	110	20.02	18
BGRC015	110	111	23.61	19.1
BGRC015	111	112	21.31	18.9
BGRC015	112	113	18.72	17.7
BGRC015	113	114	19	17
BGRC015	114	115	22.3	19.7
BGRC015	115	116	2.4	2.4

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC015	116	117	1.01	1
BGRC015	117	118	0.7	0.7
BGRC015	118	119	0.6	0.6
BGRC015	119	120	0.59	0.6
BGRC015	120	121	0.6	0.5
BGRC015	121	122	0.49	0.3
BGRC015	122	123	0.24	0.2
BGRC015	123	124	0.36	0.3
BGRC015	124	125	0.41	0.3
BGRC015	125	126	0.17	0.1
BGRC015	126	127	0.19	0.1
BGRC015	127	128	0.36	0.3
BGRC015	128	129	0.17	X
BGRC015	129	130	0.16	X
BGRC015	130	131	0.13	0.1
BGRC015	131	132	0.13	0.1
BGRC015	132	133	0.13	0.1
BGRC016	0	1	1.45	0.6
BGRC016	1	2	0.9	0.4
BGRC016	2	3	0.51	0.5
BGRC016	3	4	0.47	0.4
BGRC016	4	5	0.21	0.2
BGRC016	5	6	0.06	X
BGRC016	6	7	0.05	X
BGRC016	7	8	0.1	X
BGRC016	8	9	0.06	X
BGRC016	9	10	0.13	X
BGRC016	10	11	0.19	0.1
BGRC016	11	12	0.32	0.3
BGRC016	12	13	0.13	0.1
BGRC016	13	14	0.24	0.1
BGRC016	14	15	0.14	0.1
BGRC016	15	16	0.11	0.1
BGRC016	16	17	0.07	X
BGRC016	17	18	0.06	X
BGRC016	18	19	0.04	X
BGRC016	19	20	0.44	0.4
BGRC016	20	21	0.2	0.2
BGRC016	21	22	4.25	4.2
BGRC016	22	23	19.8	18.8
BGRC016	23	24	23.32	22.9
BGRC016	24	25	9.6	9.1
BGRC016	25	26	8.4	8.3
BGRC016	26	27	9.6	9.2
BGRC016	27	28	9	8.9
BGRC016	28	29	8.32	8.2
BGRC016	29	30	9.72	9.5
BGRC016	30	31	12.01	11.8
BGRC016	31	32	10.92	10.8
BGRC016	32	33	7.59	7.5
BGRC016	33	34	4.33	4.2
BGRC016	34	35	4.47	4.4
BGRC016	35	36	8.6	8.6
BGRC016	36	37	8.64	8.6
BGRC016	37	38	7.41	7.4
BGRC016	38	39	7.16	7.1
BGRC016	39	40	2.74	2.6
BGRC016	40	41	3.13	3.1
BGRC016	41	42	2.15	2.1
BGRC016	42	43	2.14	2.1

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC016	43	44	3.29	3.2
BGRC016	44	45	2.16	2.1
BGRC016	45	46	2.53	2.5
BGRC016	46	47	4.5	4.5
BGRC016	47	48	5.4	5.3
BGRC016	48	49	3.65	3.6
BGRC016	49	50	3.32	3.2
BGRC016	50	51	2.94	2.9
BGRC016	51	52	4.37	4.1
BGRC016	52	53	3.52	3.5
BGRC016	53	54	4.04	4
BGRC016	54	55	3.9	3.8
BGRC016	55	56	14.12	14.1
BGRC016	56	57	8	6.7
BGRC016	57	58	8.25	7.5
BGRC016	58	59	10.43	10.3
BGRC016	59	60	10.31	10.3
BGRC016	60	61	12.38	12.3
BGRC016	61	62	12.79	11.8
BGRC016	62	63	9.08	9
BGRC016	63	64	10.99	10.7
BGRC016	64	65	9.03	9
BGRC016	65	66	6.42	6.2
BGRC016	66	67	7.53	7.5
BGRC016	67	68	7.85	7.5
BGRC016	68	69	9.47	9.3
BGRC016	69	70	8.25	8.2
BGRC016	70	71	8.35	8.3
BGRC016	71	72	9.9	9.9
BGRC016	72	73	8.59	8.3
BGRC016	73	74	5.69	5.4
BGRC016	74	75	8.09	7.8
BGRC016	75	76	11.56	11.4
BGRC016	76	77	17.42	16.1
BGRC016	77	78	16.89	16.1
BGRC016	78	79	16.79	16.7
BGRC016	79	80	16.35	15
BGRC016	80	81	14.99	14.9
BGRC016	81	82	13.71	12.5
BGRC016	82	83	13.4	13.4
BGRC016	83	84	16.38	15.5
BGRC016	84	85	18.54	17.9
BGRC016	85	86	19.81	18.1
BGRC016	86	87	20.61	19.5
BGRC016	87	88	23.24	22.1
BGRC016	88	89	20.12	19.5
BGRC016	89	90	20.91	20.7
BGRC016	90	91	21.87	21.5
BGRC016	91	92	25.39	25.3
BGRC016	92	93	24.24	23.1
BGRC016	93	94	23.94	22.1
BGRC016	94	95	22.79	20.3
BGRC016	95	96	25.19	24.4
BGRC016	96	97	25.5	23.4
BGRC016	97	98	28.1	27.2
BGRC016	98	99	24.8	23.6
BGRC016	99	100	20.55	19.9
BGRC016	100	101	20.76	20.6
BGRC016	101	102	20.14	17.9
BGRC016	102	103	21.6	21.5

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC016	103	104	18.94	18.2
BGRC016	104	105	18.53	18.4
BGRC016	105	106	17.6	17
BGRC016	106	107	18.62	18.4
BGRC016	107	108	22.3	22.3
BGRC016	108	109	23.12	21.5
BGRC016	109	110	24.42	21.2
BGRC016	110	111	20.48	20.4
BGRC016	111	112	23.06	22.3
BGRC016	112	113	23.03	22.0
BGRC016	113	114	22.29	20.0
BGRC016	114	115	17.03	16.7
BGRC016	115	116	0.53	0.4
BGRC016	116	117	0.75	0.5
BGRC016	117	118	0.61	0.5
BGRC016	118	119	0.49	0.4
BGRC016	119	120	0.5	0.4
BGRC016	120	121	0.4	0.3
BGRC016	121	122	0.29	0.2
BGRC016	122	123	0.24	0.2
BGRC016	123	124	0.2	0.1
BGRC016	124	125	0.2	0.2
BGRC016	125	126	0.19	0.1
BGRC016	126	127	0.31	0.2
BGRC017	0	1	2.69	0.9
BGRC017	1	2	0.7	0.3
BGRC017	2	3	0.15	0.1
BGRC017	3	4	1.03	0.3
BGRC017	4	5	0.6	0.3
BGRC017	5	6	0.93	0.3
BGRC017	6	7	0.42	0.3
BGRC017	7	8	0.4	0.4
BGRC017	8	9	0.99	0.5
BGRC017	9	10	6.09	5
BGRC017	10	11	25.87	19.7
BGRC017	11	12	25.13	21.8
BGRC017	12	13	30.33	25.5
BGRC017	13	14	29.94	24.6
BGRC017	14	15	27.9	24.1
BGRC017	15	16	35.38	31.2
BGRC017	16	17	31.64	26.4
BGRC017	17	18	26.94	23.5
BGRC017	18	19	26.68	23.4
BGRC017	19	20	20.92	18.5
BGRC017	20	21	9.96	9.9
BGRC017	21	22	7.37	7.2
BGRC017	22	23	5.37	5.3
BGRC017	23	24	5.43	5.4
BGRC017	24	25	4.12	4.1
BGRC017	25	26	5.95	5.6
BGRC017	26	27	6.18	6.1
BGRC017	27	28	4.62	4.4
BGRC017	28	29	4.08	4
BGRC017	29	30	4.79	4.7
BGRC017	30	31	5.71	5.7
BGRC017	31	32	6.56	6.2
BGRC017	32	33	6.29	6.2
BGRC017	33	34	10.68	10.6
BGRC017	34	35	5.06	5
BGRC017	35	36	4.51	4.4

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC017	36	37	3.42	3.4
BGRC017	37	38	3.05	3
BGRC017	38	39	2.07	2
BGRC017	39	40	2.61	2.5
BGRC017	40	41	3.65	3.6
BGRC017	41	42	5.02	4.8
BGRC017	42	43	3.52	3.5
BGRC017	43	44	3.08	2.9
BGRC017	44	45	3.03	2.8
BGRC017	45	46	3.58	3.5
BGRC017	46	47	3.82	3.6
BGRC017	47	48	4.18	4
BGRC017	48	49	4.55	4.3
BGRC017	49	50	11.07	10.8
BGRC017	50	51	8.65	8.6
BGRC017	51	52	10.94	10.8
BGRC017	52	53	12.41	12.2
BGRC017	53	54	12.14	12.1
BGRC017	54	55	14.52	13.8
BGRC017	55	56	11.37	10.7
BGRC017	56	57	8.25	8
BGRC017	57	58	12.5	12.3
BGRC017	58	59	14.97	14.9
BGRC017	59	60	13.8	13.4
BGRC017	60	61	13.92	13.9
BGRC017	61	62	9.48	9.1
BGRC017	62	63	7.74	7.4
BGRC017	63	64	7.84	7.5
BGRC017	64	65	9.84	9.7
BGRC017	65	66	7.37	6.9
BGRC017	66	67	5.13	5
BGRC017	67	68	8.08	7.8
BGRC017	68	69	15.6	14.5
BGRC017	69	70	18.73	18.6
BGRC017	70	71	17.52	17.1
BGRC017	71	72	16.1	15.1
BGRC017	72	73	15.54	14.6
BGRC017	73	74	14.85	14.3
BGRC017	74	75	13.13	12.5
BGRC017	75	76	15.17	14
BGRC017	76	77	17.31	17.2
BGRC017	77	78	23.34	21.2
BGRC017	78	79	27.56	27
BGRC017	79	80	26.62	24.2
BGRC017	80	81	26.7	26
BGRC017	81	82	27.64	26.6
BGRC017	82	83	21.85	21.5
BGRC017	83	84	19.5	17.7
BGRC017	84	85	24.65	23.6
BGRC017	85	86	22.15	20.6
BGRC017	86	87	21.08	20.3
BGRC017	87	88	20.01	18.2
BGRC017	88	89	20.94	19.6
BGRC017	89	90	23.7	21.9
BGRC017	90	91	25.01	22.7
BGRC017	91	92	28.21	26.6
BGRC017	92	93	26.09	23.5
BGRC017	93	94	22.03	19.7
BGRC017	94	95	19.2	16.5
BGRC017	95	96	19.44	18

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC017	96	97	19.07	18.8
BGRC017	97	98	20.18	20.1
BGRC017	98	99	18.99	18.6
BGRC017	99	100	18.82	18.6
BGRC017	100	101	18.23	18
BGRC017	101	102	16.88	15.9
BGRC017	102	103	21.08	20.5
BGRC017	103	104	21.22	19.8
BGRC017	104	105	20.12	19
BGRC017	105	106	16.91	16.8
BGRC017	106	107	14.82	14.8
BGRC017	107	108	18.75	18.1
BGRC017	108	109	22.46	22.1
BGRC017	109	110	22.91	21.5
BGRC017	110	111	20.79	20.3
BGRC017	111	112	20.77	20.3
BGRC017	112	113	0.55	0.4
BGRC017	113	114	0.9	0.8
BGRC017	114	115	0.71	0.7
BGRC017	115	116	0.32	0.3
BGRC017	116	117	0.31	0.2
BGRC017	117	118	0.32	0.3
BGRC017	118	119	0.82	0.4
BGRC017	119	120	0.37	0.3
BGRC017	120	121	0.36	0.3
BGRC017	121	122	0.33	0.3
BGRC017	122	123	0.35	0.3
BGRC017	123	124	0.44	0.4
BGRC017	124	125	0.46	0.4
BGRC018	0	1	8.86	2
BGRC018	1	2	8.8	4
BGRC018	2	3	9.29	5.7
BGRC018	3	4	9.67	6.2
BGRC018	4	5	8.59	6.1
BGRC018	5	6	8.72	4.8
BGRC018	6	7	9.93	6.1
BGRC018	7	8	7.33	3.3
BGRC018	8	9	3.28	2.7
BGRC018	9	10	3.01	2.8
BGRC018	10	11	3.06	2.9
BGRC018	11	12	2.99	2.9
BGRC018	12	13	2.41	2.3
BGRC018	13	14	4.72	4.5
BGRC018	14	15	2.86	2.8
BGRC018	15	16	2.41	2.3
BGRC018	16	17	2.31	2.2
BGRC018	17	18	6.05	5.2
BGRC018	18	19	14.97	14.8
BGRC018	19	20	13.32	13.1
BGRC018	20	21	20.26	19.3
BGRC018	21	22	20.53	19.6
BGRC018	22	23	20	17.9
BGRC018	23	24	22.3	19.3
BGRC018	24	25	17.82	17.7
BGRC018	25	26	18.54	18.1
BGRC018	26	27	19.66	19.6
BGRC018	27	28	19.96	19.4
BGRC018	28	29	18.59	18.1
BGRC018	29	30	18.95	18.8
BGRC018	30	31	27.66	26.9

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC018	31	32	23.93	23.9
BGRC018	32	33	21.84	21.7
BGRC018	33	34	20.56	16.4
BGRC018	34	35	18.83	18.6
BGRC018	35	36	22.87	19.8
BGRC018	36	37	21.2	18.9
BGRC018	37	38	19.85	19
BGRC018	38	39	20.22	19.8
BGRC018	39	40	11.9	11.6
BGRC018	40	41	1.46	1.3
BGRC018	41	42	0.96	0.7
BGRC018	42	43	0.82	0.6
BGRC018	43	44	0.68	0.4
BGRC018	44	45	0.36	0.3
BGRC018	45	46	0.54	0.5
BGRC018	46	47	0.22	0.2
BGRC018	47	48	0.26	0.2
BGRC018	48	49	0.42	0.3
BGRC018	49	50	0.18	0.1
BGRC018	50	51	0.15	0.1
BGRC018	51	52	0.11	0.1
BGRC019	0	1	0.94	0.8
BGRC019	1	2	0.55	0.4
BGRC019	2	3	0.27	0.2
BGRC019	3	4	0.27	0.2
BGRC019	4	5	0.09	X
BGRC019	5	6	0.15	0.1
BGRC019	6	7	0.05	X
BGRC019	7	8	0.35	0.3
BGRC019	8	9	0.16	0.1
BGRC019	9	10	0.07	X
BGRC019	10	11	0.06	X
BGRC019	11	12	0.06	X
BGRC019	12	13	0.05	X
BGRC019	13	14	0.55	0.5
BGRC019	14	15	0.16	0.1
BGRC019	15	16	0.07	X
BGRC019	16	17	0.06	X
BGRC019	17	18	0.06	X
BGRC019	18	19	0.06	X
BGRC019	19	20	0.12	0.1
BGRC019	20	21	0.16	0.1
BGRC019	21	22	0.17	0.1
BGRC019	22	23	0.29	0.2
BGRC019	23	24	0.27	0.2
BGRC019	24	25	0.21	0.2
BGRC019	25	26	0.33	0.3
BGRC019	26	27	0.19	0.2
BGRC019	27	28	0.35	0.3
BGRC019	28	29	0.24	0.2
BGRC019	29	30	0.14	0.1
BGRC019	30	31	0.21	0.2
BGRC019	31	32	0.19	0.1
BGRC019	32	33	0.17	0.1
BGRC019	33	34	0.13	0.1
BGRC019	34	35	5.07	5
BGRC019	35	36	6.29	6.2
BGRC019	36	37	7.6	7.4
BGRC019	37	38	9.63	9.4
BGRC019	38	39	9.66	9.5

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC019	39	40	8.7	8.6
BGRC019	40	41	16.95	16.7
BGRC019	41	42	19.07	18.2
BGRC019	42	43	27.58	27
BGRC019	43	44	15.95	15.7
BGRC019	44	45	6.73	6.6
BGRC019	45	46	11.68	11.4
BGRC019	46	47	8.52	8.1
BGRC019	47	48	11.87	11.8
BGRC019	48	49	9.81	9.6
BGRC019	49	50	6.43	6.3
BGRC019	50	51	7.39	7.1
BGRC019	51	52	6.72	6.4
BGRC019	52	53	8.07	7.9
BGRC019	53	54	5.94	5.9
BGRC019	54	55	5.08	5
BGRC019	55	56	4.87	4.8
BGRC019	56	57	18.12	17.9
BGRC019	57	58	9.54	9.4
BGRC019	58	59	5.48	5.4
BGRC019	59	60	18.54	17.7
BGRC019	60	61	8.73	8.6
BGRC019	61	62	6.53	6.3
BGRC019	62	63	6.66	6.5
BGRC019	63	64	7.25	7.1
BGRC019	64	65	6.65	6.5
BGRC019	65	66	6.21	6.2
BGRC019	66	67	6.24	6.2
BGRC019	67	68	6.29	6.2
BGRC019	68	69	18.98	18.3
BGRC019	69	70	24.1	21.8
BGRC019	70	71	9.61	9.5
BGRC019	71	72	7.62	7.6
BGRC019	72	73	11.24	11
BGRC019	73	74	9.37	9.2
BGRC019	74	75	11.09	10.9
BGRC019	75	76	11.24	11
BGRC019	76	77	8.28	8
BGRC019	77	78	6.98	6.7
BGRC019	78	79	6.61	6.5
BGRC019	79	80	5.95	5.8
BGRC019	80	81	6.68	6.4
BGRC019	81	82	5.55	5.5
BGRC019	82	83	5.66	5.5
BGRC019	83	84	8.64	8.3
BGRC019	84	85	9.95	9.8
BGRC019	85	86	11.65	11.5
BGRC019	86	87	12.5	12.5
BGRC019	87	88	13.29	13.2
BGRC019	88	89	13.32	13.2
BGRC019	89	90	12.09	12
BGRC019	90	91	13.22	13.1
BGRC019	91	92	15.6	15.1
BGRC019	92	93	17.42	16.5
BGRC019	93	94	19.58	18.7
BGRC019	94	95	19.92	19.8
BGRC019	95	96	16.66	16.5
BGRC019	96	97	14.24	14.1
BGRC019	97	98	14.66	13.6
BGRC019	98	99	15.82	15.4

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC019	99	100	14.21	14.1
BGRC019	100	101	17.2	16.1
BGRC019	101	102	17.97	17.5
BGRC019	102	103	20.99	20.9
BGRC019	103	104	22.03	21.3
BGRC019	104	105	21.65	21.5
BGRC019	105	106	21.2	20.3
BGRC019	106	107	20.5	18.2
BGRC019	107	108	20.37	19.6
BGRC019	108	109	22.56	20.2
BGRC019	109	110	24.82	22.8
BGRC019	110	111	21.65	20.1
BGRC019	111	112	22.2	20.7
BGRC019	112	113	22.51	21.5
BGRC019	113	114	19.61	19.2
BGRC019	114	115	1.45	1.4
BGRC019	115	116	1.45	1.4
BGRC019	116	117	0.51	0.5
BGRC019	117	118	0.67	0.5
BGRC019	118	119	0.44	0.4
BGRC019	119	120	0.43	0.4
BGRC019	120	121	0.59	0.6
BGRC019	121	122	0.65	0.6
BGRC019	122	123	0.41	0.3
BGRC019	123	124	0.38	0.3
BGRC019	124	125	0.53	0.4
BGRC019	125	126	0.56	0.5
BGRC019	126	127	0.52	0.4
BGRC020	0	1	3.87	1.7
BGRC020	1	2	3.56	1.8
BGRC020	2	3	1.46	0.3
BGRC020	3	4	0.62	0.5
BGRC020	4	5	0.4	0.4
BGRC020	5	6	0.26	0.2
BGRC020	6	7	0.47	0.2
BGRC020	7	8	0.21	0.1
BGRC020	8	9	0.06	X
BGRC020	9	10	0.23	0.2
BGRC020	10	11	0.09	X
BGRC020	11	12	0.27	0.2
BGRC020	12	13	0.13	0.1
BGRC020	13	14	0.21	0.2
BGRC020	14	15	0.07	X
BGRC020	15	16	0.07	X
BGRC020	16	17	0.06	X
BGRC020	17	18	0.04	X
BGRC020	18	19	0.07	X
BGRC020	19	20	0.05	X
BGRC020	20	21	0.03	X
BGRC020	21	22	0.14	0.1
BGRC020	22	23	0.15	0.1
BGRC020	23	24	0.27	0.1
BGRC020	24	25	0.33	0.2
BGRC020	25	26	0.46	0.2
BGRC020	26	27	0.43	0.2
BGRC020	27	28	0.69	0.2
BGRC020	28	29	0.52	0.2
BGRC020	29	30	0.48	0.2
BGRC020	30	31	0.41	0.2
BGRC020	31	32	0.9	0.8

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC020	32	33	3.82	3.6
BGRC020	33	34	7.65	7.5
BGRC020	34	35	8.8	8.7
BGRC020	35	36	10.8	10.7
BGRC020	36	37	11.62	11.3
BGRC020	37	38	12.81	12.5
BGRC020	38	39	15.26	15.2
BGRC020	39	40	20.94	20.2
BGRC020	40	41	11.09	11.1
BGRC020	41	42	4.06	4
BGRC020	42	43	3.5	3.3
BGRC020	43	44	5.53	5.4
BGRC020	44	45	5.6	5.5
BGRC020	45	46	7.23	7.1
BGRC020	46	47	10.53	10.4
BGRC020	47	48	7.81	7.7
BGRC020	48	49	12.77	12.7
BGRC020	49	50	6.7	6.6
BGRC020	50	51	5.71	5.5
BGRC020	51	52	5.61	5.5
BGRC020	52	53	5.69	5.5
BGRC020	53	54	7.11	7.1
BGRC020	54	55	14.58	14.5
BGRC020	55	56	7.12	7.1
BGRC020	56	57	10.15	10.1
BGRC020	57	58	6.29	6.3
BGRC020	58	59	8.1	8
BGRC020	59	60	9.99	9.9
BGRC020	60	61	10.52	10.5
BGRC020	61	62	8.76	8.7
BGRC020	62	63	11.8	11.7
BGRC020	63	64	10.32	10.2
BGRC020	64	65	8.26	8.2
BGRC020	65	66	8.9	8.9
BGRC020	66	67	6.47	6.4
BGRC020	67	68	9.48	9.4
BGRC020	68	69	9.5	9.5
BGRC020	69	70	11.28	11.2
BGRC020	70	71	13.45	13.4
BGRC020	71	72	15.9	15.5
BGRC020	72	73	17.91	17.8
BGRC020	73	74	16.1	16
BGRC020	74	75	23.05	22.8
BGRC020	75	76	19.85	18.9
BGRC020	76	77	17.96	17.8
BGRC020	77	78	18.37	17.7
BGRC020	78	79	16.2	16.1
BGRC020	79	80	18.04	17.8
BGRC020	80	81	15.95	15.7
BGRC020	81	82	13.09	12.8
BGRC020	82	83	13.51	13.4
BGRC020	83	84	18.02	17.9
BGRC020	84	85	19.91	19.5
BGRC020	85	86	19.93	19.7
BGRC020	86	87	21.43	20.8
BGRC020	87	88	26.61	26.5
BGRC020	88	89	23.41	23.2
BGRC020	89	90	19.91	19.8
BGRC020	90	91	24.19	23.7
BGRC020	91	92	22.48	22.5

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC020	92	93	22.19	21.6
BGRC020	93	94	19.32	18.8
BGRC020	94	95	17.91	17.7
BGRC020	95	96	19.9	19.7
BGRC020	96	97	21.6	21.3
BGRC020	97	98	20.32	19.8
BGRC020	98	99	18.56	18.4
BGRC020	99	100	23.07	22.7
BGRC020	100	101	22.41	22.3
BGRC020	101	102	23.01	23
BGRC020	102	103	20.5	20.4
BGRC020	103	104	21.7	21.5
BGRC020	104	105	23.22	22.2
BGRC020	105	106	10.88	10.8
BGRC020	106	107	0.5	0.5
BGRC020	107	108	0.29	0.3
BGRC020	108	109	2.05	2
BGRC020	109	110	0.71	0.6
BGRC020	110	111	0.39	0.3
BGRC020	111	112	0.31	0.3
BGRC020	112	113	0.33	0.3
BGRC020	113	114	0.25	0.2
BGRC020	114	115	0.53	0.4
BGRC020	115	116	0.68	0.5
BGRC020	116	117	0.33	0.3
BGRC020	117	118	0.38	0.2
BGRC021	0	1	9.33	0.9
BGRC021	1	2	9.41	3.9
BGRC021	2	3	9.26	3.6
BGRC021	3	4	9.28	3.9
BGRC021	4	5	9.35	5.8
BGRC021	5	6	10.82	7.3
BGRC021	6	7	9.13	5.6
BGRC021	7	8	1.02	0.5
BGRC021	8	9	0.54	0.3
BGRC021	9	10	13.86	10.2
BGRC021	10	11	13.75	11.8
BGRC021	11	12	15.59	15.1
BGRC021	12	13	17.2	16.9
BGRC021	13	14	17.53	17.5
BGRC021	14	15	20.98	20.9
BGRC021	15	16	18.57	17.6
BGRC021	16	17	20.33	20.2
BGRC021	17	18	19.81	17.7
BGRC021	18	19	19.99	19.8
BGRC021	19	20	18.91	18.8
BGRC021	20	21	17.9	17.7
BGRC021	21	22	20.51	20.4
BGRC021	22	23	17.69	17.4
BGRC021	23	24	19.35	19.2
BGRC021	24	25	23.6	23
BGRC021	25	26	19.24	19.1
BGRC021	26	27	23.09	22.3
BGRC021	27	28	15.97	15.9
BGRC021	28	29	10.79	10.6
BGRC021	29	30	13.34	13.2
BGRC021	30	31	18.12	17.9
BGRC021	31	32	3.73	3.7
BGRC021	32	33	9.14	9.1
BGRC021	33	34	7.51	7.5

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC021	34	35	10.86	10.4
BGRC021	35	36	9.95	9.9
BGRC021	36	37	9.47	9.4
BGRC021	37	38	8.79	8.2
BGRC021	38	39	8.62	8.3
BGRC021	39	40	7.36	7.1
BGRC021	40	41	6.15	6.1
BGRC021	41	42	6.51	6.4
BGRC021	42	43	10.11	9.9
BGRC021	43	44	15.29	15
BGRC021	44	45	16.51	16.4
BGRC021	45	46	22.2	22.1
BGRC021	46	47	23.44	23.2
BGRC021	47	48	23.63	23.6
BGRC021	48	49	16.31	16.2
BGRC021	49	50	15.86	14.9
BGRC021	50	51	20.93	18.7
BGRC021	51	52	30.62	25.7
BGRC021	52	53	29.19	26.9
BGRC021	53	54	20.2	20.1
BGRC021	54	55	20.31	20.1
BGRC021	55	56	20.69	20.6
BGRC021	56	57	23.93	23.9
BGRC021	57	58	23.96	23.1
BGRC021	58	59	17.59	17.5
BGRC021	59	60	19.56	19.5
BGRC021	60	61	26.7	25.8
BGRC021	61	62	24.15	24
BGRC021	62	63	21.05	21
BGRC021	63	64	23.64	23.6
BGRC021	64	65	20.25	20.1
BGRC021	65	66	21.8	21
BGRC021	66	67	22.46	22.4
BGRC021	67	68	22.29	21.2
BGRC021	68	69	20.52	20.5
BGRC021	69	70	19.32	18.9
BGRC021	70	71	22.46	21.8
BGRC021	71	72	19.22	18.9
BGRC021	72	73	19.02	18.8
BGRC021	73	74	21.26	21.2
BGRC021	74	75	21.08	20.5
BGRC021	75	76	23.25	22.2
BGRC021	76	77	20.14	19.5
BGRC021	77	78	18.09	17.9
BGRC021	78	79	18.19	17.9
BGRC021	79	80	21.02	20.9
BGRC021	80	81	21.42	21.4
BGRC021	81	82	21.5	21.5
BGRC021	82	83	20.95	20.6
BGRC021	83	84	20.77	20.5
BGRC021	84	85	20.73	20.5
BGRC021	85	86	20.91	20.8
BGRC021	86	87	21.72	21.4
BGRC021	87	88	21.44	21
BGRC021	88	89	19.84	18.4
BGRC021	89	90	19.01	18.9
BGRC021	90	91	20.06	19.6
BGRC021	91	92	19.54	19.4
BGRC021	92	93	24.83	24
BGRC021	93	94	7.95	7.8

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC021	94	95	0.43	0.3
BGRC021	95	96	0.29	0.2
BGRC021	96	97	0.23	0.2
BGRC021	97	98	0.25	0.2
BGRC021	98	99	0.25	0.2
BGRC021	99	100	5.1	5
BGRC021	100	101	0.36	0.2
BGRC021	101	102	0.17	0.1
BGRC021	102	103	0.21	0.1
BGRC022	0	1	1.31	0.4
BGRC022	1	2	0.89	0.4
BGRC022	2	3	0.39	0.3
BGRC022	3	4	0.14	0.1
BGRC022	4	5	0.08	X
BGRC022	5	6	0.24	0.1
BGRC022	6	7	0.2	0.2
BGRC022	7	8	0.13	0.1
BGRC022	8	9	0.05	X
BGRC022	9	10	0.45	0.3
BGRC022	10	11	0.35	0.3
BGRC022	11	12	0.04	X
BGRC022	12	13	0.06	X
BGRC022	13	14	0.11	X
BGRC022	14	15	0.09	X
BGRC022	15	16	0.04	X
BGRC022	16	17	0.04	X
BGRC022	17	18	0.04	X
BGRC022	18	19	0.04	X
BGRC022	19	20	2.16	2.1
BGRC022	20	21	3.08	3
BGRC022	21	22	4.29	4.2
BGRC022	22	23	6.94	6.9
BGRC022	23	24	11.69	11.6
BGRC022	24	25	11.8	11.8
BGRC022	25	26	8.59	8.4
BGRC022	26	27	8.41	8.4
BGRC022	27	28	10.6	10.5
BGRC022	28	29	5.96	5.9
BGRC022	29	30	5.26	5.1
BGRC022	30	31	4.52	4.5
BGRC022	31	32	6.55	6.5
BGRC022	32	33	5.99	5.9
BGRC022	33	34	5.7	5.6
BGRC022	34	35	5.77	5.7
BGRC022	35	36	5.46	5.3
BGRC022	36	37	6.59	6.5
BGRC022	37	38	8.22	8.2
BGRC022	38	39	6.42	6.3
BGRC022	39	40	10.42	10.3
BGRC022	40	41	12.32	12.2
BGRC022	41	42	13.33	13.3
BGRC022	42	43	16.05	16
BGRC022	43	44	9.55	9.2
BGRC022	44	45	13.42	13.2
BGRC022	45	46	16.9	16.8
BGRC022	46	47	10.5	10.4
BGRC022	47	48	6.68	6.6
BGRC022	48	49	6.52	6.5
BGRC022	49	50	6.07	5.9
BGRC022	50	51	7.7	7.7

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC022	51	52	6.45	6.3
BGRC022	52	53	8.16	7.8
BGRC022	53	54	7.1	6.9
BGRC022	54	55	8.19	8.1
BGRC022	55	56	7.62	7.6
BGRC022	56	57	9.23	9.1
BGRC022	57	58	15.91	15.9
BGRC022	58	59	18.3	18.3
BGRC022	59	60	10.55	10.4
BGRC022	60	61	7.91	7.8
BGRC022	61	62	6.73	6.7
BGRC022	62	63	7.92	7.9
BGRC022	63	64	8.34	8.3
BGRC022	64	65	8.95	8.9
BGRC022	65	66	7.5	7.4
BGRC022	66	67	7.49	7.5
BGRC022	67	68	9	8.9
BGRC022	68	69	9.81	9.8
BGRC022	69	70	9.51	9.5
BGRC022	70	71	9.3	9.2
BGRC022	71	72	7.7	7.7
BGRC022	72	73	8.91	8.9
BGRC022	73	74	6.08	5.9
BGRC022	74	75	7.94	7.9
BGRC022	75	76	9.26	9.1
BGRC022	76	77	8.66	8.5
BGRC022	77	78	8.34	8.1
BGRC022	78	79	7.55	7.4
BGRC022	79	80	12.05	11.9
BGRC022	80	81	17.2	17
BGRC022	81	82	22.9	22
BGRC022	82	83	17.8	17.5
BGRC022	83	84	13.21	13.2
BGRC022	84	85	15.13	15.1
BGRC022	85	86	20.06	19.9
BGRC022	86	87	12.35	12.3
BGRC022	87	88	12.95	12.9
BGRC022	88	89	12.2	11.9
BGRC022	89	90	13.47	13.3
BGRC022	90	91	17.27	16.8
BGRC022	91	92	17.73	17.6
BGRC022	92	93	14.81	14.7
BGRC022	93	94	11.49	11.4
BGRC022	94	95	11.2	11.2
BGRC022	95	96	22.06	21.9
BGRC022	96	97	22.07	21.9
BGRC022	97	98	23.69	23.5
BGRC022	98	99	12.42	12.2
BGRC022	99	100	13.54	13.4
BGRC022	100	101	13.9	13.9
BGRC022	101	102	15	14.9
BGRC022	102	103	10.55	10.5
BGRC022	103	104	21.49	20.9
BGRC022	104	105	22.99	22.9
BGRC022	105	106	21.36	21.1
BGRC022	106	107	20.97	20.7
BGRC022	107	108	23.69	22.5
BGRC022	108	109	22.45	22.4
BGRC022	109	110	22.08	22
BGRC022	110	111	21.72	21.5

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC022	111	112	20.54	20.5
BGRC022	112	113	21.79	21.3
BGRC022	113	114	22.7	21.9
BGRC022	114	115	22.65	22.6
BGRC022	115	116	21	20.9
BGRC022	116	117	24.15	24
BGRC022	117	118	25.49	24.8
BGRC022	118	119	28.08	27.1
BGRC022	119	120	22.54	21.7
BGRC022	120	121	23.99	22.5
BGRC022	121	122	20.56	20.5
BGRC022	122	123	19.11	18.5
BGRC022	123	124	12.96	12.4
BGRC022	124	125	1.21	1.2
BGRC022	125	126	0.79	0.6
BGRC022	126	127	0.58	0.4
BGRC022	127	128	0.36	0.2
BGRC022	128	129	0.21	0.1
BGRC022	129	130	0.29	0.2
BGRC022	130	131	0.49	0.4
BGRC023	0	1	2.44	1.2
BGRC023	1	2	1.81	0.4
BGRC023	2	3	0.72	0.2
BGRC023	3	4	0.35	0.2
BGRC023	4	5	0.25	0.2
BGRC023	5	6	0.5	0.2
BGRC023	6	7	0.27	0.3
BGRC023	7	8	0.66	0.4
BGRC023	8	9	0.4	0.2
BGRC023	9	10	0.06	X
BGRC023	10	11	0.06	X
BGRC023	11	12	0.04	X
BGRC023	12	13	0.05	X
BGRC023	13	14	0.19	0.2
BGRC023	14	15	0.12	0.1
BGRC023	15	16	0.11	0.1
BGRC023	16	17	0.42	0.4
BGRC023	17	18	1.38	1.3
BGRC023	18	19	2.91	2.9
BGRC023	19	20	4.96	4.8
BGRC023	20	21	7.28	7.3
BGRC023	21	22	17.2	16.3
BGRC023	22	23	20.26	19.7
BGRC023	23	24	16.07	15.2
BGRC023	24	25	17.74	16.1
BGRC023	25	26	14.63	13.9
BGRC023	26	27	14.18	14.2
BGRC023	27	28	12.35	12.4
BGRC023	28	29	12.31	12.1
BGRC023	29	30	11.54	11.5
BGRC023	30	31	10.98	10.8
BGRC023	31	32	9.92	9.7
BGRC023	32	33	9.47	9.5
BGRC023	33	34	7.98	8
BGRC023	34	35	13.67	13.3
BGRC023	35	36	15.31	14.5
BGRC023	36	37	28.04	26.1
BGRC023	37	38	37.22	34.1
BGRC023	38	39	27.2	26.9
BGRC023	39	40	26.53	25.7

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC023	40	41	24.19	23.1
BGRC023	41	42	20.95	19.9
BGRC023	42	43	26.2	25
BGRC023	43	44	29.26	29.3
BGRC023	44	45	35.77	35.6
BGRC023	45	46	27.74	27.7
BGRC023	46	47	21.59	21.6
BGRC023	47	48	21.46	21.5
BGRC023	48	49	18.82	18.8
BGRC023	49	50	18.51	18.5
BGRC023	50	51	22.17	21.7
BGRC023	51	52	24.93	22.7
BGRC023	52	53	20.8	19.8
BGRC023	53	54	20.6	20.6
BGRC023	54	55	18.35	18.4
BGRC023	55	56	18.27	18.3
BGRC023	56	57	21.29	21.3
BGRC023	57	58	22.7	21.7
BGRC023	58	59	31.1	31.1
BGRC023	59	60	26.09	25.4
BGRC023	60	61	23.98	24
BGRC023	61	62	12.24	12.2
BGRC023	62	63	10.49	10.5
BGRC023	63	64	7.99	8
BGRC023	64	65	11.98	11
BGRC023	65	66	16.39	16.4
BGRC023	66	67	18.26	17
BGRC023	67	68	18.49	17.2
BGRC023	68	69	17.5	17.4
BGRC023	69	70	14.74	14.4
BGRC023	70	71	14.16	14.2
BGRC023	71	72	11.25	11.1
BGRC023	72	73	13.81	13.8
BGRC023	73	74	16.95	12.4
BGRC023	74	75	18.81	18.6
BGRC023	75	76	20.05	18.3
BGRC023	76	77	20.89	19.3
BGRC023	77	78	22.54	22.5
BGRC023	78	79	26.21	26.2
BGRC023	79	80	21.8	21.8
BGRC023	80	81	23.72	22.8
BGRC023	81	82	26.63	22
BGRC023	82	83	24.36	24.3
BGRC023	83	84	18.24	17.1
BGRC023	84	85	1.72	1.7
BGRC023	85	86	12.64	12.3
BGRC023	86	87	21.53	19.9
BGRC023	87	88	27	24
BGRC023	88	89	0.83	0.8
BGRC023	89	90	0.58	0.5
BGRC023	90	91	0.78	0.8
BGRC023	91	92	0.15	X
BGRC023	92	93	0.15	0.1
BGRC023	93	94	0.31	0.2
BGRC023	94	95	0.46	0.3
BGRC023	95	96	0.42	0.3
BGRC023	96	97	0.33	0.2
BGRC023	97	98	0.25	0.1
BGRC023	98	99	0.2	0.1
BGRC023	99	100	0.21	0.1

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC024	0	1	0.62	0.4
BGRC024	1	2	0.66	0.5
BGRC024	2	3	1.75	1.3
BGRC024	3	4	0.38	0.4
BGRC024	4	5	0.26	0.3
BGRC024	5	6	0.12	0.1
BGRC024	6	7	0.24	0.2
BGRC024	7	8	0.24	0.2
BGRC024	8	9	0.06	0.1
BGRC024	9	10	0.06	X
BGRC024	10	11	2	2
BGRC024	11	12	0.07	0.1
BGRC024	12	13	0.05	0.1
BGRC024	13	14	5.91	5.8
BGRC024	14	15	5.86	4.4
BGRC024	15	16	5.8	5.8
BGRC024	16	17	9.28	9.3
BGRC024	17	18	8.48	8.5
BGRC024	18	19	11.76	11.8
BGRC024	19	20	19.61	17.9
BGRC024	20	21	18.4	16.9
BGRC024	21	22	16.63	14.1
BGRC024	22	23	14.88	13.4
BGRC024	23	24	13.49	13.4
BGRC024	24	25	13.51	13.5
BGRC024	25	26	11.74	11.3
BGRC024	26	27	10.98	11
BGRC024	27	28	10.96	10.7
BGRC024	28	29	8.77	8.8
BGRC024	29	30	7.72	7.7
BGRC024	30	31	12.58	12.5
BGRC024	31	32	11.35	11.4
BGRC024	32	33	30.83	30.7
BGRC024	33	34	30.38	30.4
BGRC024	34	35	22.22	21.6
BGRC024	35	36	23.25	22.3
BGRC024	36	37	22.66	22.7
BGRC024	37	38	23.4	21.9
BGRC024	38	39	23.44	23.4
BGRC024	39	40	23.95	22.6
BGRC024	40	41	24.22	23.3
BGRC024	41	42	18.99	18.8
BGRC024	42	43	19.49	18.1
BGRC024	43	44	21.85	20.6
BGRC024	44	45	20.35	18.7
BGRC024	45	46	14.63	14.3
BGRC024	46	47	10.17	10.2
BGRC024	47	48	16.5	16.4
BGRC024	48	49	16.16	16.2
BGRC024	49	50	17.92	17.5
BGRC024	50	51	18.34	17.7
BGRC024	51	52	23.15	23.1
BGRC024	52	53	27.3	25.1
BGRC024	53	54	30.71	29.6
BGRC024	54	55	26.45	24.4
BGRC024	55	56	25.87	25.3
BGRC024	56	57	22.76	22.7
BGRC024	57	58	17.98	16.1
BGRC024	58	59	19.22	19.2
BGRC024	59	60	19.55	19.4

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC024	60	61	19.59	19.6
BGRC024	61	62	27.2	27.2
BGRC024	62	63	27.02	27
BGRC024	63	64	22.91	22.8
BGRC024	64	65	25.49	25.4
BGRC024	65	66	28.96	28.8
BGRC024	66	67	13	12.1
BGRC024	67	68	10.57	10.4
BGRC024	68	69	10.89	10.9
BGRC024	69	70	14.77	14.5
BGRC024	70	71	17.35	17.3
BGRC024	71	72	19.32	18.9
BGRC024	72	73	16.02	16
BGRC024	73	74	15.17	14.2
BGRC024	74	75	13.58	13.6
BGRC024	75	76	12.67	12.7
BGRC024	76	77	12.35	12.3
BGRC024	77	78	13.33	13.3
BGRC024	78	79	14.97	15
BGRC024	79	80	15.47	15.5
BGRC024	80	81	18.18	18.2
BGRC024	81	82	22.32	21.5
BGRC024	82	83	20.73	19.8
BGRC024	83	84	22.06	21.9
BGRC024	84	85	22.64	22.6
BGRC024	85	86	22.76	21.8
BGRC024	86	87	24.13	23.6
BGRC024	87	88	24.65	23.7
BGRC024	88	89	26.49	25
BGRC024	89	90	24.42	24.4
BGRC024	90	91	22.86	22.9
BGRC024	91	92	20.18	20.2
BGRC024	92	93	17	17
BGRC024	93	94	18.25	18.3
BGRC024	94	95	21.84	21.7
BGRC024	95	96	21.43	20.7
BGRC024	96	97	19.76	19.6
BGRC024	97	98	20.8	20.8
BGRC024	98	99	22.98	21.9
BGRC024	99	100	19.67	19.7
BGRC024	100	101	12.36	12.4
BGRC024	101	102	0.5	0.1
BGRC024	102	103	9.58	9.6
BGRC024	103	104	0.92	0.9
BGRC024	104	105	0.56	0.6
BGRC024	105	106	0.44	0.4
BGRC024	106	107	0.54	0.5
BGRC024	107	108	0.43	0.4
BGRC024	108	109	0.44	0.4
BGRC024	109	110	0.29	0.3
BGRC024	110	111	0.3	0.3
BGRC024	111	112	0.27	0.3
BGRC024	112	113	0.46	0.4
BGRC025	0	1	3.01	2.3
BGRC025	1	2	0.94	0.4
BGRC025	2	3	0.25	0.1
BGRC025	3	4	0.08	X
BGRC025	4	5	0.08	X
BGRC025	5	6	0.08	0.1
BGRC025	6	7	0.18	0.1

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC025	7	8	1.73	1.3
BGRC025	8	9	3.31	3.3
BGRC025	9	10	3.33	3.2
BGRC025	10	11	7	6.2
BGRC025	11	12	8.74	6.5
BGRC025	12	13	10.08	8.6
BGRC025	13	14	6.11	5.1
BGRC025	14	15	10.27	10.1
BGRC025	15	16	6.75	6.6
BGRC025	16	17	17.84	17.4
BGRC025	17	18	19.79	18.3
BGRC025	18	19	15.79	15.6
BGRC025	19	20	14.86	13.8
BGRC025	20	21	13.53	13
BGRC025	21	22	12.37	11.7
BGRC025	22	23	9.99	10
BGRC025	23	24	11.39	11.4
BGRC025	24	25	11.74	11.4
BGRC025	25	26	14.07	13.3
BGRC025	26	27	13.91	12.9
BGRC025	27	28	13.49	12.3
BGRC025	28	29	13.36	13.3
BGRC025	29	30	12.03	11.5
BGRC025	30	31	12.29	11.9
BGRC025	31	32	9.4	9.1
BGRC025	32	33	9.48	9.5
BGRC025	33	34	10.9	10.5
BGRC025	34	35	9.37	9.4
BGRC025	35	36	10.45	10.4
BGRC025	36	37	20.33	19.8
BGRC025	37	38	23.76	20.8
BGRC025	38	39	19.23	17.9
BGRC025	39	40	21.24	19.2
BGRC025	40	41	9.48	8.5
BGRC025	41	42	13.38	12.1
BGRC025	42	43	11.93	11.9
BGRC025	43	44	12.71	11.8
BGRC025	44	45	6.6	6.5
BGRC025	45	46	10.93	9.8
BGRC025	46	47	15.62	15.5
BGRC025	47	48	17.97	17.7
BGRC025	48	49	19.62	19
BGRC025	49	50	15.06	15.1
BGRC025	50	51	14.4	13.1
BGRC025	51	52	13.67	13
BGRC025	52	53	12.67	12.4
BGRC025	53	54	12.42	12.4
BGRC025	54	55	14.22	14.1
BGRC025	55	56	14.81	14.8
BGRC025	56	57	19.9	17.9
BGRC025	57	58	18.79	17.6
BGRC025	58	59	21.8	21.1
BGRC025	59	60	23.4	21.9
BGRC025	60	61	23.72	22.8
BGRC025	61	62	19.33	17.7
BGRC025	62	63	20.87	18.5
BGRC025	63	64	21.51	19.5
BGRC025	64	65	22.64	22.2
BGRC025	65	66	24.73	23.8
BGRC025	66	67	22.62	21.2

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC025	67	68	17.15	16.7
BGRC025	68	69	17.1	16.8
BGRC025	69	70	21.71	21
BGRC025	70	71	16.93	16.5
BGRC025	71	72	0.61	0.6
BGRC025	72	73	0.49	0.5
BGRC025	73	74	1.14	1.1
BGRC025	74	75	0.46	0.5
BGRC025	75	76	0.48	0.4
BGRC025	76	77	0.44	0.4
BGRC025	77	78	0.33	0.3
BGRC025	78	79	2.57	2.3
BGRC025	79	80	0.22	0.2
BGRC025	80	81	0.17	0.1
BGRC025	81	82	0.37	0.2
BGRC025	82	83	0.39	0.1
BGRC025	83	84	0.58	0.2
BGRC026	0	1	1.19	0.7
BGRC026	1	2	7.57	0.9
BGRC026	2	3	11.73	5
BGRC026	3	4	12.85	8.3
BGRC026	4	5	12.99	10.3
BGRC026	5	6	19.68	17.7
BGRC026	6	7	14	13.6
BGRC026	7	8	12.27	12
BGRC026	7	8	12.57	11.9
BGRC026	0	0	0.05	X
BGRC026	8	9	16.31	14.9
BGRC026	9	10	11.13	10.9
BGRC026	10	11	12.16	11.4
BGRC026	11	12	12.38	11.5
BGRC026	12	13	15.95	15.6
BGRC026	13	14	10.12	9.3
BGRC026	14	15	8.98	7.8
BGRC026	15	16	11.16	11.2
BGRC026	16	17	12.96	12.5
BGRC026	17	18	10.04	10
BGRC026	18	19	10.46	10.1
BGRC026	19	20	8.17	8.2
BGRC026	20	21	6.98	7
BGRC026	21	22	8.32	8.3
BGRC026	22	23	8.86	8.9
BGRC026	23	24	8.35	8.3
BGRC026	24	25	10.69	10.7
BGRC026	25	26	9.04	9
BGRC026	26	27	8.91	8.9
BGRC026	27	28	13.75	12.1
BGRC026	28	29	21.09	19.6
BGRC026	29	30	24.19	21.8
BGRC026	30	31	23.32	20.8
BGRC026	31	32	21.18	19.9
BGRC026	32	33	25.01	21.9
BGRC026	33	34	20.68	17
BGRC026	34	35	18.83	16.5
BGRC026	35	36	13.69	11.8
BGRC026	36	37	15.99	15.1
BGRC026	37	38	17.48	16.9
BGRC026	38	39	20.87	20.4
BGRC026	39	40	20.71	19.8
BGRC026	40	41	21.79	19.6

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC026	41	42	21.5	21.5
BGRC026	42	43	21.41	21.3
BGRC026	43	44	22.3	21
BGRC026	44	45	21.91	21.4
BGRC026	45	46	20.78	17.4
BGRC026	46	47	20.52	20.3
BGRC026	47	48	22.28	20.3
BGRC026	48	49	22.25	22
BGRC026	49	50	23	20.6
BGRC026	50	51	22.43	21.3
BGRC026	51	52	20.86	19.3
BGRC026	52	53	21.95	19.7
BGRC026	53	54	21.96	21.3
BGRC026	54	55	22.19	19.9
BGRC026	55	56	21.99	21.3
BGRC026	56	57	21.71	18.9
BGRC026	57	58	22.19	21.3
BGRC026	58	59	23.69	23.3
BGRC026	59	60	24.04	21.6
BGRC026	60	61	21.26	19.5
BGRC026	61	62	21.32	20.1
BGRC026	62	63	24.37	21.1
BGRC026	63	64	25.4	23.1
BGRC026	64	65	1.31	1.3
BGRC026	65	66	0.27	0.2
BGRC026	66	67	0.18	0.1
BGRC026	67	68	0.31	0.2
BGRC026	68	69	0.35	X
BGRC026	69	70	0.25	X
BGRC026	70	71	0.19	X
BGRC026	71	72	0.27	X
BGRC026	72	73	0.23	0.3
BGRC026	73	74	0.25	0.3
BGRC026	74	75	0.17	0.2
BGRC026	75	76	0.17	0.2
BGRC027	**0**	**1**	**1.05**	**1**
BGRC027	1	2	11.66	9
BGRC027	2	3	12.54	10.3
BGRC027	3	4	10.54	9.1
BGRC027	4	5	10.91	9.6
BGRC027	5	6	12.62	11
BGRC027	6	7	7.22	6.5
BGRC027	7	8	7.85	7.5
BGRC027	8	9	7.27	7.2
BGRC027	9	10	14.29	14.2
BGRC027	10	11	12.19	10.9
BGRC027	11	12	10.9	10.7
BGRC027	12	13	10.32	10.2
BGRC027	13	14	16.91	13.8
BGRC027	14	15	16.99	13.3
BGRC027	15	16	8.69	8.7
BGRC027	16	17	8.31	8.3
BGRC027	17	18	11.37	11
BGRC027	18	19	11.78	11.4
BGRC027	19	20	10.68	9.7
BGRC027	20	21	8.49	8.5
BGRC027	21	22	7.67	7.6
BGRC027	22	23	10.34	10.2
BGRC027	23	24	13.91	12.8
BGRC027	24	25	14.97	14.8

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC027	25	26	17.83	17.8
BGRC027	26	27	20.33	19.1
BGRC027	27	28	19.81	17.3
BGRC027	28	29	20.88	20.9
BGRC027	29	30	22.81	20.8
BGRC027	30	31	21.84	21
BGRC027	31	32	21.52	21.4
BGRC027	32	33	22.41	21.2
BGRC027	33	34	22.07	19.1
BGRC027	34	35	22.51	20.2
BGRC027	35	36	17.49	16.7
BGRC027	36	37	17.63	17.6
BGRC027	37	38	1.6	1.6
BGRC027	38	39	0.6	0.6
BGRC027	39	40	0.36	0.4
BGRC027	40	41	0.18	0.2
BGRC027	41	42	19.15	18.9
BGRC027	42	43	22.07	22
BGRC027	43	44	20.88	20.9
BGRC027	44	45	23.12	21.7
BGRC027	45	46	22.08	21.1
BGRC027	46	47	24.01	21.3
BGRC027	47	48	26.05	25.1
BGRC027	48	49	1.18	1.2
BGRC027	49	50	1.58	1.6
BGRC027	50	51	0.2	0.2
BGRC027	51	52	0.25	0.3
BGRC027	52	53	0.15	0.2
BGRC027	53	54	0.24	0.2
BGRC027	54	55	0.23	0.2
BGRC027	55	56	0.25	0.1
BGRC027	56	57	0.13	X
BGRC027	57	58	0.18	X
BGRC027	58	59	0.14	0.1
BGRC027	59	60	0.14	0.1
BGRC027	3	4	10.54	9.1
BGRC027	4	5	10.91	9.6
BGRC027	5	6	12.62	11
BGRC027	6	7	7.22	6.5
BGRC027	7	8	7.85	7.5
BGRC027	8	9	7.27	7.2
BGRC027	9	10	14.29	14.2
BGRC027	10	11	12.19	10.9
BGRC027	11	12	10.9	10.7
BGRC027	12	13	10.32	10.2
BGRC027	13	14	16.91	13.8
BGRC027	14	15	16.99	13.3
BGRC027	15	16	8.69	8.7
BGRC027	16	17	8.31	8.3
BGRC027	17	18	11.37	11
BGRC027	18	19	11.78	11.4
BGRC027	19	20	10.68	9.7
BGRC027	20	21	8.49	8.5
BGRC027	21	22	7.67	7.6
BGRC027	22	23	10.34	10.2
BGRC027	23	24	13.91	12.8
BGRC027	24	25	14.97	14.8
BGRC027	25	26	17.83	17.8
BGRC027	26	27	20.33	19.1
BGRC027	27	28	19.81	17.3

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC027	28	29	20.88	20.9
BGRC027	29	30	22.81	20.8
BGRC027	30	31	21.84	21
BGRC027	31	32	21.52	21.4
BGRC027	32	33	22.41	21.2
BGRC027	33	34	22.07	19.1
BGRC027	34	35	22.51	20.2
BGRC027	35	36	17.49	16.7
BGRC027	36	37	17.63	17.6
BGRC027	37	38	1.6	1.6
BGRC027	38	39	0.6	0.6
BGRC027	39	40	0.36	0.4
BGRC027	40	41	0.18	0.2
BGRC027	41	42	19.15	18.9
BGRC027	42	43	22.07	22
BGRC027	43	44	20.88	20.9
BGRC027	44	45	23.12	21.7
BGRC027	45	46	22.08	21.1
BGRC027	46	47	24.01	21.3
BGRC027	47	48	26.05	25.1
BGRC027	48	49	1.18	1.2
BGRC027	49	50	1.58	1.6
BGRC027	50	51	0.2	0.2
BGRC027	51	52	0.25	0.3
BGRC027	52	53	0.15	0.2
BGRC027	53	54	0.24	0.2
BGRC027	54	55	0.23	0.2
BGRC027	55	56	0.25	0.1
BGRC027	56	57	0.13	X
BGRC027	57	58	0.18	X
BGRC027	58	59	0.14	0.1
BGRC027	59	60	0.14	0.1
BGRC027	3	4	10.54	9.1
BGRC027	4	5	10.91	9.6
BGRC027	5	6	12.62	11
BGRC027	6	7	7.22	6.5
BGRC027	7	8	7.85	7.5
BGRC027	8	9	7.27	7.2
BGRC027	9	10	14.29	14.2
BGRC027	10	11	12.19	10.9
BGRC027	11	12	10.9	10.7
BGRC027	12	13	10.32	10.2
BGRC027	13	14	16.91	13.8
BGRC027	14	15	16.99	13.3
BGRC027	15	16	8.69	8.7
BGRC027	16	17	8.31	8.3
BGRC027	17	18	11.37	11
BGRC027	18	19	11.78	11.4
BGRC027	19	20	10.68	9.7
BGRC027	20	21	8.49	8.5
BGRC027	21	22	7.67	7.6
BGRC027	22	23	10.34	10.2
BGRC027	23	24	13.91	12.8
BGRC027	24	25	14.97	14.8
BGRC027	25	26	17.83	17.8
BGRC027	26	27	20.33	19.1
BGRC027	27	28	19.81	17.3
BGRC027	28	29	20.88	20.9
BGRC027	29	30	22.81	20.8
BGRC027	30	31	21.84	21

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%

Drillhole ID	Intersection (metres)		% Total Carbon (TC)	% TGC
	From	To		
BGRC027	31	32	21.52	21.4
BGRC027	32	33	22.41	21.2
BGRC027	33	34	22.07	19.1
BGRC027	34	35	22.51	20.2
BGRC027	35	36	17.49	16.7
BGRC027	36	37	17.63	17.6
BGRC027	37	38	1.6	1.6
BGRC027	38	39	0.6	0.6
BGRC027	39	40	0.36	0.4
BGRC027	40	41	0.18	0.2
BGRC027	41	42	19.15	18.9
BGRC027	42	43	22.07	22
BGRC027	43	44	20.88	20.9
BGRC027	44	45	23.12	21.7
BGRC027	45	46	22.08	21.1
BGRC027	46	47	24.01	21.3
BGRC027	47	48	26.05	25.1
BGRC027	48	49	1.18	1.2
BGRC027	49	50	1.58	1.6
BGRC027	50	51	0.2	0.2
BGRC027	51	52	0.25	0.3
BGRC027	52	53	0.15	0.2
BGRC027	53	54	0.24	0.2
BGRC027	54	55	0.23	0.2

Notes to Table 3:

- Results below detectable levels are reported as "X"

Intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 6% (cut-off)

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 10%

Significant intercept of graphite with average TGC across the intercept greater than 20%