

Transitioning our Business



Corporate Update 13 August 2015



Forward looking statements

This presentation may contain certain "forward-looking statements" which may not have been based solely on historical facts, but rather may be based on the Company's current expectations about future events and results. Such forward-looking statements may include, without limitation:

- estimates of future earnings, the sensitivity of earnings to metal prices and foreign exchange rate movements;
- estimates of future metal production and sales;
- estimates of future cash flows, the sensitivity of cash flows to metals prices and foreign exchange rate movements;
- statements regarding future debt repayments;
- estimates of future capital expenditures;
- estimates of reserves and statements regarding future exploration results and the replacement of reserves; and
- statements regarding modifications to the Company's hedge position.

Where the Company expresses or implies an expectation or belief as to future events or results, such expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and believed to have a reasonable basis. However, forward looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors, which could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed, projected or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks include, but are not limited to metals price volatility, currency fluctuations, increased production costs and variances in ore grade or recovery rates from those assumed in mining plans, as well as political and operational risks in the Countries and States in which we operate or sell product to, and governmental regulation and judicial outcomes.

For a more detailed discussion of such risks and other factors, see the Company's Annual Reports, as well as the Company's other filings. The Company does not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to any "forward-looking statement" to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this presentation, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as may be required under applicable securities laws.





Key topics


Company overview

Nickel


3 • PGM

4 • Gold

• Strategy & Goals



Our portfolio – nickel, copper, cobalt, gold, platinum, palladium



Capital growth - share price performance


Market Cap and Enterp Pro forma	rise Value
Index	S&P/ASX All Ordinaries
ASX Ticker	ASX:PAN
Shares on issue	321.4M
Share Price	\$0.36 (12 August 2015)
Market Cap	\$116M
Cash	\$54M (30 June 2015)
Bank debt	Nil
Enterprise Value	\$62M

Board		

Brian Phillips	Non Executive Chairman
Peter Harold	Managing Director
Chris Langdon	Non Executive Director
John Rowe	Non Executive Director
Trevor Eton	CFO/Company Secretary



Shareholder spread +60% institutional





Highlights

Group - June 2015 Quarter

- Production 4,581t Ni
- Liquid assets \$65 million
- **Cash \$54 million** (at 30 June 2015)
- Costs US\$4.92/lb (A\$6.32/lb)
- Safety Zero LTI's

Group FY2015 production - 19,301t Ni

Savannah – Major upgrades in Mineral Resources

- Interim Savannah North Maiden Resource released
- Total Resource Inventory increased to 128,800t Ni
- Total copper & cobalt increased to 68,400t Cu & 7,800t Co

Lanfranchi - Lower Schmitz high-grade

- New high-grade results reported for Lower Schmitz
- Development of exploration drive continuing, on track for completion September 2015



Surface Drilling at Savannah North




Lanfranchi - Lower Schmitz discovery



Safety - our Number One Value

- Improved hazard reporting
- Reduction in number of total incidents reported
- No LTI's in the June quarter








Group production

- FY2015 Production 19,301t Ni
- Produced over 170,000t Ni since 2004



What this business has generated

Metal produced to FY15

Nickel	174,000t
Copper	48,000t
Cobalt	4,400t


Dividends paid	\$114M
Investment in the business	\$463M
Total equity raised	\$95M
Exploration	\$105M
Income tax paid	\$62M
Royalties	\$103M
■ NPAT	\$186M
■ EBIT	\$287M
Cashflow from operations	\$748M
■ EBITDA	\$803M
Net Revenue	\$2.23B
Financials to FY14	





Dividend stream maintained

- FY15 Interim dividend 1 cent fully franked (paid 2 April 2015)
- Aggregate dividends 55.5 cents per share
- **Total payout** \$114.3 million paid in fully franked dividends



Our nickel business

Summary

- Two underground sulphide nickel mines
- Well established operations
- Significant potential for mine life extensions at both sites

Recent Highlights

- Exploration success
 - Savannah North
 - Lower Schmitz
 - Other targets
- Optimise production
- Continue to reduce costs
- Mining and milling of Copernicus open pit resumed



Savannah Nickel Mine




Lanfranchi Nickel Mine



Savannah Nickel Project

2001 - Core Farm & first drill rig












2002 - Resource drilling



2004 - Process Plant commissioning



History

- 1973 Discovered by Anglo American
- 2001 Acquired by Sally Malay Mining (Panoramic)
- 2004 Built and commissioned process plant, open pit mine and associated infrastructure
- 2008 Extended mine life with addition of Lower Zone Reserve
- 2010 Extended offtake agreement with Jinchuan to 2020
- **2014** Savannah North discovery, 89.3m at 1.60%
- 2015 Copernicus restart, Savannah North mineralisation extended
 - Major Resource Updgrades



Savannah FY2015

Production

■ Nickel 8,726t - a new record

Copper 5,314tCobalt 443t

Major Upgrade in Resources

■ Increase in total Resource to 128,800t Ni

Increase in total resources of copper & cobalt,68,400t Cu & 7,800t Co

Exploration

- Savannah North Interim Maiden Resource
- New Resources reported for the Sub 900 Zone and the Western Splay

Cost Savings

- Lower diesel price
- GPR Dehler/Company initiatives

Productivity improvements

Copernicus open pit recommenced



Savannah - Resources Upgrade

Savannah Orebody - above the 900 Fault, incl Western Splay

- Resource definition drilling has extended the mineralisation significantly to the north and west above the 900 Fault
- Upgraded Resource of 3.27Mt @ 1.52% Ni for 49,700t Ni

Below the 900 Fault


 Maiden Resource 905kt @ 1.65% Ni for 14,900t Ni

Drill target between Savannah and Savannah North

Drill test strong EM plate (200m x 200m)

Targets around Savannah North

- Test extensions of Savannah North east and west
- Test possible links between Savannah and Savannah North




Plan View of Savannah Project area



Savannah North - Maiden Resource

Recent results

- Interim Resource estimate 3.15Mt @ 1.75%Ni for 55,200t Ni
- Only 50% of the planned drilling completed (~300m of strike)
- Only 25% of the known strike extent drilled
- Drilling to-date confirms potential strike extent exceeds 1km
- Further infill holes may be drilled
- Resource expected to grow further as the maiden Resource drilling program is completed during the December 2015 quarter



Savannah North Maiden Resource Drill Section 5900mE



Savannah North - exploration target

Width of mineralisation	Plunge extent of mineralisation	Approximate thickness of mineralisation	Assumed average density	Explorati grade %	range	Exploration target tonnage range		
(metres)	(metres)	(metres)		Low -	High	(millions tonnes)		
350	600	4.0	3.8	1.5%	2.1%	3.2		
350	700	5.0	3.8	1.5%	2.1%	4.7		
350	800	6.0	3.8	1.5%	2.1%	6.4		

Cautionary / Clarifying Statement – the Exploration Target reported here is not a Mineral Resource. The Exploration target reported uses information gained from a combination of actual drill results from surface and underground drilling and supporting geophysical surveys. The level of exploration carried out to date is insufficient to define a Mineral Resource. The Exploration Target reported is conceptual in nature requiring further exploration. The planned exploration activities to further test Savannah North are provided below. It remains uncertain if further exploration will result in the estimation of a Mineral Resource. Refer to Panoramic ASX Quarterly Report for the period ended 30 June 2014 for the key assumptions and calculation methodology.


Savannah Mineral Resource Inventory as at 30 June 2015


		D		Measur	ed	Indicat	ed	Inferre	ed	Total		Matal
Resource	ASULILCA I MATAL I	Resource Date	JORC	Tonnes	Ni (%)	Tonnes	Ni (%)	Tonnes	Ni (%)	Tonnes	Ni (%)	Metal Tonnes
Savannah												
Above 900	Nickel	Jun-15	2012	2,346,000	1.46	927,000	1.67			3,273,000	1.52	49,700
	Copper				0.81		1.26				0.94	30,700
	Cobalt				80.0		0.08				0.08	2,700
Below 900	Nickel		2012			780,000	1.64	125,000	1.72	905,000	1.65	14,900
	Copper				0.76		0.75				0.76	6,900
	Cobalt				0.10		0.09				0.10	900
North	Nickel		2012					3,155,000	1.75	3,155,000	1.75	55,200
	Copper								0.78		0.78	24,600
	Cobalt								0.12		0.12	3,800
Copernicus												
Open Pit	Nickel	Jun-15	2004	184,000	1.20					184,000	1.20	2,200
	Copper				0.74						0.74	1,400
	Cobalt				0.05						0.05	100
Underground	Nickel	Jul-10	2004			508,000	1.30	25,000	0.98	532,000	1.29	6,800
	Copper						0.91		0.69		0.90	4,800
	Cobalt						0.05		0.02		0.05	300
Total	Nickel									-		128,800
	Copper											68,400
	Cobalt											7,800



Savannah - potential for significant mine life extensions

- Below the 900 Fault & Western Splay
- Savannah North
- Between Savannah and Savannah North
- North Olivine Gabbro





Cross section looking west



Lanfranchi Nickel Project

2004 - Purchased from Western Mining Corp

2006 - Commenced mining activities at Winner

2011 - Built and commissioned the Lanfranchi Village







2005 - Re-commenced mining



2009 - Extended offtake with BHP NiWest to 2019



History

- 2004 Purchased from WMC
- 2005 Commenced mining
- 2006 Commenced mining activities at Winner
- 2007 Deacon Orebody discovery
- 2009 Construction of Deacon ventilation shaft
- **2009 -** Offtake contract extended to 2019
- 2011 Village built and commissioned
- 2012 New mineralised zone discovered at Jury-Metcalfe
- 2014 Exploring potential new channels
- 2015 High-grade mineralisation intersected at Lower Schmitz



Lanfranchi - FY2015/16

FY2015 Production

■ Nickel 10,575t

FY2015 Exploration

- Discovered Lower Schmitz
- Commenced 9000 drill drive

FY2016 Focus

- Lower Schmitz drill drive
- Lanfranchi high grade ore
- Lower Schmitz Resource and Reserve drilling





Lanfranchi production update as at 3 August 2015

Event

Increased seismic activity

Outcomes

- Cease mining Deacon
- Continue 9000 drill drive

People

- 49 people employed
- 35 transferred to Savannah
- Very sadly 80 redundancies

Immediate Future

Lower Schmitz



Major exploration success - down-plunge Schmitz


Key Points

- Significant new mineralisation intersected downplunge of Schmitz
- Initial discovery of three significant high-grade (+5% Ni) mineralised zones
- Historic production of ~53,000t Ni from orebodies in the Schmitz channel including:
 - Schmitz 33,552t Ni
 - Skinner 13,678t Ni
 - Winner **6,250t** Ni
- New intersections are only ~300m from existing Deacon development
- Off-take agreement in place with Nickel West to February 2019
- Minimum annual tonnage 350,000t ore, first right of refusal over additional tonnage



High-grade drill core from SMT373A intercept from 482.90m (6.10m @ 5.73% Ni)

Schmitz down-plunge discovery - cross section




Lanfranchi - Lower Schmitz high grade hits

- High-grade mineralisation intersected downplunge of Schmitz
- Significant results to-date include:
 - SMT373A 7.04m @ 5.29% Ni
 - SMT373A 6.80m @ 5.53% Ni
 - SMT373A 6.50m @ 6.63% Ni
 - SMT377A 14.60m @ 3.19 Ni including
 4.61m @ 6.67% Ni
 - SMT378 10.72m @ 6.15% Ni including 8.36m @ 7.24%
 - SMT378E 8.20m @ 6.69% Ni
- EM anomaly modelled as a single highlyconductive 300 x 100m conductor, open to the south
- Latest drilling extends EM 100m to the north

Next Steps

- Drill test EM plate from Schmitz 4510 drill-drive, using directional drilling from a HQ parent hole
- Test extent of EM anomaly
- Access drive from the Deacon decline on track



Lower Schmitz – Exploration Target

Zone	Width of mineralisation	Plunge extent of mineralisation	Approximate average thickness of mineralisation	Assumed average density	Exploration target grade range %Ni		Exploration target tonnage range
	(metres)	(metres)	(metres)	(t/m³)	(Low – High)		(tonnes)
Zone A	90	245	3.6	3.50	5.0%	6.0%	275,000
Zone B	125	325	3.6	3.50	5.0%	6.0%	510,000
Zone c	125	475	3.6	3.50	5.0%	6.0%	746,000

Cautionary / Clarifying Statement – the Exploration Target reported here is not a Mineral Resource. The Exploration target reported uses information gained from a combination of actual drill results from surface and underground drilling and supporting geophysical surveys. The level of exploration carried out to date is insufficient to define a Mineral Resource. The Exploration Target reported is conceptual in nature requiring further exploration. It remains uncertain if further exploration will result in the estimation of a Mineral Resource. Refer to Panoramic ASX Quarterly Report for the period ended 30 June 2015 for the key assumptions and calculation methodology.



Potential channel extensions/new channels

Schmitz Channel

- 700m below surface
- 9,000t Ni per 100m vertical*

Lanfranchi Channel


- 500m below surface
- 6,000t Ni per 100m vertical*

Helmut/Deacon Channel

- 900m below surface
- 20,000t Ni per 100m vertical*

Martin Channel

Possible East Deacon Channel






^{*}Historical Ni tonnes per vertical metre

Nickel price - the bull case

- Deficits forecast from late 2015 due to Indonesian laterite ore export ban
- Out to 2020 major deficits avoided due to Chinese using lower grade Philippines laterite ore
- New NPI capacity in Indonesia likely to be delayed
- Long run incentive price of **US\$23,000** 25,000/t (\$US10-11/lb) is needed to generate acceptable returns on new investment




Our PGM business

Panton


- Potential synergies between Panton and Savannah
- Resources
 - 14.3Mt @ 2.19g/t Pt, & 2.39g/t Pd

Thunder Bay North

- Rio earning 70% by spending up to C\$20M over five years
- Resources
 - 0.7Moz of Pt+Pd







Panton BFS proposed mine development




Winter drilling at Thunder Bay North



PGM market


Platinum market balance



Key points

- Limited new supply to meet forecast deficits
- Ongoing structural supply issues in Africa
- Price rallied strongly in 2014
- Spot Pt US\$1,000/oz 13 August 2015


Palladium market balance



Key points

- Positive demand growth in China and US
- Limited ability to substitute
- Price traded up to a 14 year high in 2014
- Spot Pd US\$626/oz 13 August 2015

Industry forecasters are predicting Pt & Pd prices significantly above current levels in the medium/longer term






Our gold business - realising early value

Gidgee

- Resources
 - 1.3Moz at 2.3g/t Au
- Trade sale commenced
- Sirona Capital advising

Mt Henry (PAN 70%, MAT 30%)

- Feasibility released
- Resources
 - 1.2Moz at 1.18g/t Au
- Sold to Metals X15.4 million shares(PAN 70% share)





Gidgee Aerial



Gidgee Mill



Exploration success – discoveries since 2006*



Lanfranchi, Kambalda

- **Deacon** 2.24Mt @ 2.83% Ni for 63,551t Ni
- Jury-Metcalfe 312kt @ 1.94% Ni for 6,000t Ni
- Lower Schmitz TBC

Savannah, Kimberley

- Savannah Lower Zone 3.4Mt @ 1.48% Ni for 50,120t Ni
- Below 900 Fault 15kt Ni
- Copernicus 852kt @ 1.24% Ni for 10,600t Ni
- Savannah North –Interim Maiden Resource 3.15Mt @ 1.75% Ni for 55,200t Ni

TOTAL: ~200,000t Ni



Strategy

What we are good at?

- Discovering new ore bodies
- ✓ Developing & operating underground mines
- Managing costs
- Returning surplus cash to shareholders
- Buying unloved assets & maximising value
- ✓ Creating a good corporate and operating culture

Which commodities should we be in?

- Base Metals Ni, Cu, Zn, Pb, Sn
- **PGMs** Pt, Pd
- **☑** Gold

What we will do?

- Operate safely
- **Extend** mine life of our nickel assets
- Acquire and develop new assets and move up the quality curve (ie. higher grade, longer life, lower cost)
- Generate strong cash flow to be self funding & pay dividends
- Attract and retain personnel










FY2016 Goals

- ✓ Safety No LTI's
- ✓ **Resources** add 150,000t Ni
- ✓ Costs Savannah unit costs down 20%
- ✓ Gold monetise assets
- ✓ PGMs advance projects





Additional information & Appendices

Resources, Reserves, Relevant Disclosures and Competent Persons Statements



Appendix 1 - Nickel Resources (Ni,Cu,Co)

Savannah, Copernicus, Lanfranchi Resources Table at 30 June 2014

	Equity		Date of	JORC	Measur	ed	Indicate	ed	Inferre	d	Total		Metal
Resource	(%)	Metal	Resource	Compliance	Tonnes	Ni (%)	Tonnes	Ni (%)	Tonnes	Ni (%)	Tonnes	Ni (%)	Tonnes
Savannah Project	100												
		Nickel	Jul-13	2012	1,709,000	1.47	1,386,000	1.53	-	-	3,095,000	1.50	46,300
		Copper				0.79		1.02		-		0.89	27,600
		Cobalt				0.08		0.07		-		0.08	2,400
Copernicus	100												
		Nickel	Jul-10	2004	389,000	1.08	400,000	1.38	23,000	1.01	812,000	1.23	10,000
		Copper				0.66		0.99		0.70		0.82	6,700
		Cobalt				0.04		0.05		0.03		0.04	400
Lanfranchi Project	100	Nickel											
Cruikshank			Apr-11	2004	-	-	2,018,000	1.42	611,000	0.79	2,629,000	1.28	33,600
Deacon			Mar-14	2012	368,000	2.64	156,000	2.29	126,000	1.63	650,000	2.36	15,300
Gigantus			Jul-07	2004	-	-	-	-	652,000	1.63	652,000	1.63	10,600
Helmut South			May-14	2012	2,000	4.86	-	-	-	-	2,000	4.86	100
Helmut South Ext			Apr-14	2012	26,000	3.19	84,000	2.94			110,000	3.00	3,300
John			Jul-07	2004	-	-	-	-	291,000	1.42	291,000	1.42	4,100
Lanfranchi			Apr-14	2012	53,000	4.85	66,000	4.44	40,000	3.98	159,000	4.46	7,100
Martin			Feb-12	2012	-	-	47,000	3.58	7,000	4.16	54,000	3.66	2,000
McComish			Jul-07	2004	-	-	-	-	992,000	1.49	992,000	1.49	14,800
Jury-Metcalfe			Jan-14	2012	-	-	280,000	1.99	31,000	1.46	312,000	1.94	6,000
Schmitz			Aug-14	2012	8,000	6.43	48,000	3.69	16,000	2.95	72,000	3.84	2,800
Winner			Jul-11	2004	-	-	14,000	4.40	-	-	14,000	4.40	600
Total (Equity)		Nickel											156,600
		Copper											34,300
		Cobalt											2,800

Qualifying statement and notes

Notes:

Figures have been rounded and therefore may not add up exactly to the reported totals

Resources are inclusive of Reserves

All Savannah Project Resources and Reserves, with the exception of Copernicus have been transitioned to JORC Code 2012 compliance (refer to the relevant JORC 2012 compliance tables in ASX announcement dated 30 September 2014). The Copernicus Project Resources and Reserves remain JORC 2004 compliant

The Resource Cut-off grade at both Savannah and Copernicus is 0.50% Ni

The Resource Cut-off grade at Lanfranchi is 1.00% Ni

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this report that relates to Mineral Resources (excluding the Copernicus Project) is based on information compiled by or reviewed by Paul Hetherington (MAusIMM) for the Savannah Project Resource and Bradley Robinson (MAusIMM) for the Lanfranchi Project Resources. The aforementioned are full-time employees of Panoramic Resources Limited. Mr Hetherington is a Panoramic shareholder. The aforementioned have sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves ("the 2012 JORC Code"). Both Mr Hetherington and Mr Robinson consent to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this release that relates to Mineral Resources for the Copernicus Project is based on information compiled by or reviewed by Paul Hetherington (MAusIMM). Mr Hetherington is a full-time employee and shareholder of Panoramic Resources Limited. The aforementioned has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the Australian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves ("the 2004 JORC Code"). Mr Hetherington consents to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.



Appendix 2 - Nickel Reserves (Ni,Cu,Co)

Savannah, Copernicus, Lanfranchi Reserves Table at 30 June 2014

Danamia	Equity	Metal	Date of	JORC	Prove	en	Probab	le	Total		Metal
Reserve	(%)	Wetai	Reserve	Compliance	Tonnes	(%)	Tonnes	(%)	Tonnes	(%)	Tonnes
Savannah Project											
Upper Zone	100	Nickel	Jul-14	2012	-	-	497,000	1.23	497,000	1.23	6,100
		Copper				-		0.55		0.55	2,800
		Cobalt				-		0.06		0.06	300
Lower Zone	100	Nickel	Jul-14	2012	-	-	1,884,000	1.28	1,884,000	1.28	24,100
		Copper				-		0.80		0.80	15,100
		Cobalt				-		0.07		0.07	1,200
Copernicus O/Pit	100	Nickel	Jul-14	2004	-	-	365,000	1.03	365,000	1.03	3,800
		Copper				-		0.63		0.63	2,300
		Cobalt				-		0.04		0.04	100
Lanfranchi Project	100										
Deacon			Jul-14	2012	-	-	459,000	2.05	459,000	2.05	9,400
Jury-Metcalfe			Jul-14	2012			238,000	1.58	238,000	1.58	3,800
Lanfranchi			Jul-14	2012	-	-	84,000	3.32	84,000	3.32	2,800
Schmitz			Jul-14	2012			35,000	2.31	35,000	2.31	800
Helmut Sth Ext			Jul-14	2012	-	-	126,000	2.01	126,000	2.01	2,500
Total (Equity)		Nickel									53,300
		Copper									20,200
		Cobalt									1,700

Qualifying statement and notes

Notes:

Figures have been rounded and therefore may not add up exactly to the reported totals Reserves are inclusive of Resources

The Reserve Cut-off grade at Savannah is 1.0% Ni Equivalent (approximately 0.85% Ni) and at Copernicus is 0.50% Ni
The Reserve Cut-off grade at Lanfranchi is 1.0% Ni

Competent Persons Statement

Information in this release relating to Ore Reserves (excluding the Copernicus Project) has been completed by or reviewed by Lilong Chen (MAusIMM). Mr Chen is a full-time employee and an indirect shareholder of Panoramic. Mr Chen has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the JORC Code. Mr Chen consents to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears. Information in this release relating to Ore Reserves for the Copernicus Project has been completed by or reviewed by Jonathon Bayley (MAusIMM). Mr Bayley is a former full time employee of Panoramic Resources Limited. The aforementioned has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the JORC Code. Mr Bayley consents to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.



Appendix 3 - Gold Project(s) Resources (Au)

Gidgee Project and Mt Henry Project Resources Table at 30 June 2014

	Equity		Date of	JORC	Measu	ıred	Indicate	ed	Inferre	d	Total		Metal
Resource	Equity (%)	Metal	Resource	Compliance	Tonnes	Au (g/t)	Tonnes	Au (g/t)	Tonnes	Au (g/t)	Tonnes	Au (g/t)	(Au oz)
Gidgee Project	100	Gold		"			"		"				
Swan OC			Jun-12	2004	-	-	3,399,000	2.40	327,000	3.51	3,726,000	2.49	298,600
Heron South			Oct-12	2004	-	-	1,000,000	2.31	136,000	1.41	1,136,000	2.20	80,300
Howards			Jul-13	2012	-	-	5,255,000	1.07	716,000	1.01	5,971,000	1.06	204,000
Specimen Well			Jun-12	2004	-	-	289,000	2.06	72,000	1.79	361,000	2.00	23,200
Toedter			Jun-12	2004	-	-	-	-	661,000	1.62	661,000	1.62	34,400
Eagles Peak			Mar-06	2004	-	-	13,000	3.46	-	-	13,000	3.46	1,400
Orion			Mar-06	2004	-	-	22,000	3.04	-	-	22,000	3.04	2,200
Deep South			Mar-06	2004	-	-	20,000	3.02	-	-	20,000	3.02	1,900
Shiraz			Jul-13	2012	-	-	2,476,000	0.84	440,000	0.76	2,916,000	0.83	77,600
Swan UG			Jun-12	2004	-	-	207,000	8.71	125,000	9.02	332,000	8.83	94,200
Swift UG			Jun-12	2004	-	-	-	-	72,000	9.23	72,000	9.23	21,400
Omega UG			Mar-06	2004	-	-	31,000	9.20	-	-	31,000	9.20	9,200
Kingfisher UG			Mar-06	2004	-	-	390,000	6.80	-	-	390,000	6.80	85,300
Wilsons UG			Jul-13	2012	-	-	2,131,000	5.33	136,000	5.97	2,267,000	5.37	391,500
Mt Henry Project	70	Gold											
Selene			Jul-13	2012	-	-	11,491,000	1.17	3,466,000	0.93	14,957,000	1.11	535,900
Mt Henry			Jul-13	2012	-	-	10,487,000	1.27	4,435,000	1.14	14,922,000	1.23	590,800
North Scotia			Jul-13	2012	-	-	250,000	3.11	97,000	1.95	347,000	2.79	31,100
Total (Equity)		Gold			-	-	37,461,000	1.67	10,683,000	1.37	48,144,000	1.60	2,483,100

Qualifying statement and notes

Notes – Gidgee Project:

Figures have been rounded and therefore may not add up exactly to the reported totals

On the Gidgee Project, Howards, Shiraz and Wilsons Resources have been transitioned to JORC Code 2012 compliance (refer to the relevant JORC 2012 compliance tables in ASX announcement dated 30 September 2014). All other Resources remain JORC 2004 compliant. Individual Project Resources and Reserves are stated on an equity basis.

The Resource Cut-off grade for Swan OC Resource is 0.7 g/t Au, • Eagles Peak 1.2 g/t Au, • Orion 1.3 g/t Au, • Deep South 1.2 g/t Au, • Swan UG 4.0 g/t Au for Indicated resources and 5.0 g/t Au for Inferred resources, • Swift UG is 5.0 g/t Au, • Omega UG 3.0 g/t Au, • Kingfisher UG 3.0 g/t Au, and Wilson UG 2.0g/t Au. For Heron South, Specimen Well and Toedter the Resource Cut-off grade is 0.5 g/t Au.

Notes – Mt Henry Project:

Figures have been rounded and therefore may not add up exactly to the reported totals

All Mt Henry Project Resources have been transitioned to JORC Code 2012 compliance (refer to the relevant JORC 2012 compliance tables in ASX Announcement dated 30 September 2014). All Mt Henry Project Resources are stated on an equity basis.

The Resource Cut-off grade for all Mt Henry Resources is 0.40g/t Au.

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this release that relates to the Swan OC, Eagles Peak, Orion, Deep South, Swan UG, Swift UG, Omega, and Kingfisher Mineral Resources is based on information compiled by or reviewed by Dr Spero Carras (FAusIMM). Dr Carras is the Executive Director of Carras Mining Pty Ltd and was acting as a consultant to Legend Mining Ltd in 2006 and Panoramic Resources Limited in 2012. Dr Carras has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the JORC Code. Dr Carras consents to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this release that relates to the Heron South, Howards, Shiraz, Specimen Well, Toedter and Wilsons Mineral Resources is based on information compiled by or reviewed by Andrew Bewsher (AIG) and Ben Pollard (AIG & MAusIMM). The aforementioned are full time employees of BM Geological Services and have sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which they are undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 and 2012 Editions of the JORC Code. The aforementioned both consent to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to the Mt Henry Project Mineral Resources is based on information compiled by or reviewed by Andrew Bewsher (MAusIMM). Andrew Bewsher is a full time employee of BM Geological Services and has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the JORC Code. Mr Bewsher consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.



Appendix 4 - Panton PGM Project - Resources

Panton PGM Project Resources Table at 30 June 2014

	Equity Date of		JORC				Grade	Metal (oz)			
Resource	(%)		Compliance	Tonnage	Pt (g/t)	Pd (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Ni (%)	Cu (%)	Pt	Pd
Top Reef	100	Mar-12	2004								
Measured				4,400,000	2.46	2.83	0.42	0.28	80.0	348,000	400,000
Indicated				4,130,000	2.73	3.21	0.38	0.31	0.09	363,000	426,000
Inferred				1,560,000	2.10	2.35	0.38	0.36	0.13	105,000	118,000
Middle Reef	100	Mar-12	2004								
Measured				2,130,000	1.36	1.09	0.10	0.18	0.03	93,000	75,000
Indicated				1,500,000	1.56	1.28	0.10	0.19	0.04	75,000	62,000
Inferred				600,000	1.22	1.07	0.01	0.19	0.05	24,000	21,000
Total (Equity)				14,320,000	2.19	2.39	0.31	0.27	0.08	984,000	1,081,000

Qualifying statement and notes

Notes – Panton Project:

Figures have been rounded and therefore may not add up exactly to the reported totals

Competent Persons Statement

The information is in this release that relates to the Panton Project Mineral Resource is based on a resources estimate compiled by Ted Coupland who at the time was a Director of Cube Consulting Pty Ltd. and is a Member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Ted Coupland has more than 10 years' experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and in the activity which he is undertaking and qualifies as a Competent Person as defined in the 2004 Edition of the JORC Code. Mr Coupland consents to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which they appear.



Appendix 5 - Thunder Bay North PGM Project - Resources

Thunder Bay North Resources Table at 30 June 2014

Resource	Equity (%)	Date of Resource	JORC Compliance	Tonnage	Grade									Metal (oz))	
					Pt (g/t)	Pd (g/t)	Rh (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Ag (g/t)	Cu (%)	Ni (%)	Co (%)	Pt-Eq (g/t)	Pt	Pd
Open Pit	100	Jan-11	2004												
Indicated				8,460,000	1.04	0.98	0.04	0.07	1.50	0.25	0.18	0.014	2.13	283,000	267,000
Inferred				53,000	0.96	0.89	0.04	0.07	1.60	0.22	0.18	0.014	2.00	2,000	2,000
Underground	100	Feb-12	2004												
Indicated				1,369,000	1.65	1.54	0.08	0.11	2.60	0.43	0.24	0.016	3.67	73,000	68,000
Inferred				472,000	1.32	1.25	0.06	0.09	2.10	0.36	0.19	0.011	2.97	20,000	19,000
Total (Equity)				10,354,000										377,000	355,000

Qualifying statement and notes

Notes – Open Pit Resource:

The effective date of this estimate is 11 January 2011, which represents the cut-off date for the most recent scientific and technical evaluation of the deposit. The Resource does not include drilling conducted since 31 May 2010. The Mineral Resource categories under the JORC Code (2004) are the same as the equivalent categories under the (Canadian) CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves (2010). The portion of the Mineral Resource underlying Current Lake is assumed to be accessible and that necessary permission and permitting will be acquired. All figures have been rounded; summations within the tables may not agree due to rounding.

The open pit Mineral Resource is reported at a cut-off grade of 0.59 g/t Pt-Eq within a Lerchs-Grossman resource pit shell optimized on Pt-Eq. The strip ratio (waste:ore) of this pit is 9.5:1. The contained metal figures shown are in situ. No assurance can be given that the estimated quantities will be produced. The platinum-equivalency formula is based on assumed metal prices and overall recoveries. The Pt-Eq formula is: Pt-Eq g/t = Pt g/t + Pd g/t x 0.3204 + Au g/t x 0.6379 + Ag g/t x 0.0062 + Cu g/t x 0.00011 + Total Ni g/t x 0.000195 + Total Co g/t x 0.000124 + Rh g/t x 2.1816. The conversion factor shown in the formula for each metal represents the conversion from each metal to platinum on a recovered value basis. The assumed metal prices used in the Pt-Eq formula are: Pt US\$1,595/oz, Pd US\$512/oz, Au US\$1,015/oz, Ag US\$15.74/oz, Cu US\$2.20/lb, Ni US\$7.71/lb, Co US\$7.71/lb and Rh US\$3,479/oz. The assumed combined flotation and PlatsolTM process recoveries used in the Pt-Eq formula are: Pt 76%, Pd 75%, Au 76%, Ag 55%, Cu 86%, Ni 44%, Co 28% and Rh 76%. The assumed refinery payables are: Pt 98%, Pd 98%, Au 97%, Ag 85%, Cu 100%, Ni 100%, Co 100% and Rh 98%.



Qualifying statement and notes cont.

Notes – Underground Resource:

The Underground Mineral Resource estimate for the East Beaver Lake extension was prepared by Panoramic personnel by ordinary kriging methods using the same technical and financial parameters as those used by AMEC Americas Limited for the Underground Mineral Resource estimate reported by Magma Metals limited ("Magma") on 6 September 2010. The Underground Mineral Resource is reported at a cut-off grade of 1.94g/t Pt-Eq. The contained metal figures shown are in situ. The platinum equivalency formula is based on assumed metal prices and recoveries and therefore represents Pt-Eq metal in situ. The Pt-Eq formula is: Pt-Eq g/t + Pd g/t x 0.2721 + Au g/t x 0.3968 + Ag g/t x 0.0084 + Cu g/t x 0.000118 + Sulphide Ni g/t x 0.000433 + Sulphide Co g/t x 0.000428 + Rh g/t x 2.7211. The assumed metal prices used in the Pt-Eq formula are: Pt US\$1,470/oz, Pd US\$400/oz, Rh US\$4,000/oz, Au US\$875/oz, Ag US\$14.30/oz, Cu US\$2.10/lb, Ni US\$7.30/lb and Co US\$13.00/lb. The assumed process recoveries used in the Pt-Eq formula are: Pt 75%, Pd 75%, Rh 75%, Au 50%, Ag 50%, Cu 90%, and Ni and Co in sulphide 90%. The assumed smelter recoveries used in the Pt-Eq formula are: Pt 85%, Pd 85%, Rh 85%, Au 85%, Ag 85%, Cu 85%, Ni 90% and Co 50%. To account for a portion of the Ni and Co occurring as silicate minerals, Ni and Co in sulphide were estimated by linear regression of MgO to total Ni and total Co respectively. The regression formula for Ni in sulphide (NiSx) is: NiSx = Ni - (MgO% x 60.35 - 551.43). The regression formula for Co in sulphide (CoSx) is: CoSx = Co - (MgO% x 4.45 - 9.25). All figures have been rounded. Summations within the tables may not agree due to rounding. Magma undertook quality assurance and quality control studies on the mineral resource data and concluded that the collar, assay and lithology data are adequate to support resource estimation. The Mineral Resource categories under JORC (2004) are the same as the equivalent categories under (Canadian) CIM Definition Standards (2005). The Mineral Resource has been estima

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this release that relates to Open Pit Mineral Resources was compiled by AMEC Americas Limited by Greg Kulla P.Geo (APOG #1752, APEGBC #23492) and David Thomas, P.Geo, MAusIMM (APEGBC #149114, MAusIMM #225250), both full time employees of AMEC Americas Limited at the time of the resource estimate. The aforementioned have sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activities undertaken to qualify as Competent Persons as defined in the 2004 Edition of the JORC Code and independent qualified persons as this term is defined in Canadian National Instrument 43-101.

The information in this release that relates to underground Mineral Resources was prepared by Guoliang Leon Ma P.Geo and Allan MacTavish P.Geo, both full time employees of Panoramic PGM (Canada) Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of Panoramic Resources Limited. Both the aforementioned have sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activities undertaken to qualify as Competent Persons as defined in the 2004 Edition of the JORC Code and qualified persons as this term is defined in Canadian National Instrument 43-101. The aforementioned persons consent to the inclusion in the release of the matters based on their information in the form and context in which it appears.

