

ASX Release

11 March 2024

Drilling to Commence at Gap Zone Feeder - Liontown Extending High-Grade, Main Feeder Zone to the East

Highlights

- Drilling has recommenced at Liontown targeting high-grade, footwall extensions of the pumice breccia horizon east of the Main Feeder Zone and into the ~400m long Gap Zone.
- Previous drilling has confirmed high-grade gold in the 1.6km Liontown footwall proximal to interpreted feeder fault zones. Best results at the Main Feeder Zone include (Figure 2):
 - **17m @ 22.1 g/t Au** (67m, 23LTRC002)
 - **8m @ 11.7 g/t Au & 0.9% Cu** (115.0m, LLRC184)
 - **8.1m @ 10.7 g/t Au** (154m, LTDD22055)
 - **2.6m @ 15.3 g/t Au & 2.3% Cu** (236.3m, LTDD18015)
- The planned program (9 RC/diamond holes, ~2,800m) covers ~250m of strike in the ~400m long Gap Zone, including around the Gap Zone Feeder. Only 2 gold focussed historic holes has been drilled into the Gap Zone footwall, returning:
 - **1.75m @ 16.4g/t Au, 6.3% Cu** (419.05m, LTDD18012) (Figure 2)
 - **6.4m @ 3.2 g/t Au** (295.28m, LTDD18013)
- Downhole EM surveys will follow drilling, guiding future deeper Gap Zone drilling.
- Assays are pending for the Liontown RC drilling program (6 holes, 1,148m) completed in February 2024.

Sunshine Metals Limited (ASX:SHN, Sunshine) has recommenced drilling at the Liontown prospect near Charters Towers in North Queensland. This program is targeting footwall extensions of the pumice breccia horizon east of the Main Feeder Zone and into the ~400m long Gap Zone.

Sunshine Managing Director, Dr Damien Keys, commented:

"This exciting program steps east into the prospective ~400m long, under-drilled Gap Zone. This program will assess extensions to the high-grade, pumice breccia horizon that hosts intersections including 17m @ 22.1 g/t Au (23LTRC002), 8.1m @ 10.7 g/t Au (LTDD22055) and 8m @ 11.7 g/t Au (LLRC184). Even with sparse non-gold focussed historic drilling, the Gap Zone has already demonstrated its likely potential with intersections including 1.75m @ 16.4 g/t Au & 6.3% Cu (LLTD18012) and 6.4m @ 3.2 g/t Au (LLTD18012). The 1.6km gold-copper rich footwall at Liontown was last a focus when it was mined in the early 1900s. We are rapidly increasing our understanding of the footwall with every hole and are excited about this long overdue extensional program."




Figure 1: Ravenswood Consolidated Project with key prospects (green) and major nearby mines (yellow).




Figure 2: Long-section looking north at the Au-rich, Liontown footwall. The high-grade pumice breccia horizon near the Main Feeder Zone is highlighted. Also highlighted are the 9 planned extensional holes and holes awaiting assays.




Figure 3: Long section showing gold and copper distribution looking north at the 1.6km long Liontown footwall. High-grade gold zones are shown at the historic Carrington Au-Cu Mine and the recently defined 260m x 140m Main Zone Feeder. Notwithstanding sparse drilling, high-grade footwall gold has been seen in the Gap Zone and at Liontown East. A zoomed in image of the high-grade Main Feeder Zone is shown in Figure 2.

Planned activities

The Company has a busy period ahead including the following key activities and milestones:

- March 13, 2024: Brisbane Mining Investor Conference
- March 2024: Results from RC Drilling, Liontown Au-Cu
- March 2024: Results from geophysical surveys, Liontown & Truncheon
- March 2024: First field work Trooper Creek and Windsor North
- April 2024: Results from RC/diamond drilling, Liontown Au-Cu
- May 2024: RIU Resources Round-up, Sydney

Sunshine's Board has authorised the release of this announcement to the market.

For more information, please contact:

Dr Damien Keys
Managing Director
Phone: +61 428 717 466
dkeys@shngold.com.au

Mr Alec Pismiris
Director & Company Secretary
Phone +61 402 212 532
alec@lexconservices.com.au

Competent Person's Statement

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Mr Matt Price, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists (AIG) and the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM). Mr Price has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the JORC Code. Mr Price consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to Mineral Resources at Liontown and Liontown East is based on information compiled and reviewed by Mr Peter Carolan, who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy and was a Principal Geologist employed by Red River Resources Ltd. Mr Peter Carolan has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Mineral Resources. Mr Peter Carolan consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

About Sunshine Metals

Ravenswood Consolidated Project (Zn-Cu-Pb-Au-Ag-Mo): Located in the Charters Towers-Ravenswood district which has produced over 20Moz Au and 14mt of VMS Zn-Cu-Pb-Au ore. The project comprises:

- a Zn-Cu-Pb-Au VMS Resource of 5.45mt @ 12.0% ZnEq (47% Indicated, 53% Inferred¹);
- 26 drill ready VMS Zn-Cu-Pb-Au IP geophysical targets where testing of a similar target has already led to the Liontown East discovery which hosts a current Resource of 1.47mt @ 11.0% ZnEq (100% Inferred);
- the under-drilled Liontown Au-rich footwall with significant intersections including:
 - **3.0m @ 46.2 g/t Au** (20m, LRC0018)
 - **2.0m @ 68.6 g/t Au** (24m, LRC0043)
 - **17.0m @ 22.1 g/t Au** (67m, 23LTRC002)
 - **8.0m @ 11.7 g/t Au & 0.9% Cu** (115m, LLRC184)
 - **8.1m @ 10.7 g/t Au** (154m, LTDD22055)
 - **2.6m @ 15.3 g/t Au & 2.3% Cu** (236.3m, LTDD18015)
- advanced Au-Cu VMS targets at Coronation analogous to the nearby Highway-Reward Mine (4mt @ 6.2% Cu & 1.0 g/t Au mined);
- overlooked orogenic, epithermal and intrusion related Au potential with numerous historic gold workings and drill ready targets; and
- a Mo-Cu Exploration Target at Titov of 5-8mt @ 0.07-0.12% Mo & 0.28-0.44% Cu².

Triumph Project (Au): More than 85% of Triumph's Inferred Resource of 118,000oz @ 2.03 g/t Au³ (100% Inferred) is <100m deep and largely located within 1.2km of strike within a 6km long trend. Recent drilling has confirmed Triumph's intrusion-related gold system is analogous to the large Ravenswood Mine (5.6Moz Au Resource).

***Investigator Project (Cu):** Located 100km north of the Mt Isa, home to rich copper-lead-zinc mines that have been worked for almost a century. Investigator is hosted in the same stratigraphy and similar fault architecture as the Capricorn Copper Mine, located 12km north.

***Hodgkinson Project (Au-W):** Located between the Palmer River alluvial gold field (1.35 Moz Au) and the historic Hodgkinson gold field (0.3 Moz Au) and incorporates the Elephant Creek Gold, Peninsula Gold-Copper and Campbell Creek Gold prospects.


**A number of parties have expressed interest in our other quality projects (Investigator Cu and Hodgkinson Au-W). These projects will be divested in an orderly manner in due course.*

¹ SHN ASX Release, 7 February 2024, "Significant Increase in Liontown Resource".

² Cautionary statement: The Exploration Target has been prepared and reported in accordance with the 2012 edition of the JORC Code. The potential quantity and grade of the Exploration target is conceptual in nature. There has been insufficient exploration to estimate a Mineral Resource. It is uncertain if further exploration will result in the estimation of a Mineral Resource. Exploration Target for Titov based on several factors discussed in the corresponding Table 1 which can be found with the original ASX release 21 March 2023 "Shallow High Grade Titov Cu-Mo Exploration Target".

³ SHN ASX Release, 31 March 2022, "Robust Maiden Resource at Triumph Gold Project".

No new information has been collected and all material assumptions remain unchanged.



Section 1 - Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<p><i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p> <p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>SHN – RC drill holes were sampled as individual, 1 m length samples from the rig split. Individual metre samples were collected as a 12.5% split collected from the drill rig. Individual RC samples were collected in calico sample bags and grouped into green plastic bags for dispatch (approximately five per plastic bag).</p> <p>One diamond tail hole has been completed. The drill hole collared as an RC drill hole, before switching to HQ3 diamond drilling for completion of the hole. The hole was sampled in full as half core, with sample intervals selected by the SHN Geologist. The samples were sawn longitudinally in half using the onsite core saw. SHN samples are analysed at Australian Laboratory Services (ALS) in Townsville where samples were crushed to sub 6mm, split and pulverised to sub 75µm. A sub sample was collected for a four-acid digest and ICP-OES/MS analysis of 61 elements, including Ag, Cu, Pb and Zn. Samples were assayed for Au using a 50g Fire Assay technique. Assays over 100g Au using this technique were re-assayed using gravimetric analysis. Ba over 1% was re-analysed using XRF. A number of batches were sent to Adelaide for full processing and analyses due to capacity limits in Townsville.</p> <p>Historic – Diamond core holes were sampled as half core. The sample intervals were selected by the company geologists based on visual mineralisation and geological boundaries and could range from 0.20m to 1.50m. Samples were sawn longitudinally in half using an onsite core saw and dispatched to Intertek Townsville for analysis. Samples were crushed to sub-6mm, split and pulverised to sub-75µm to produce a representative sub-sample for analysis. Analysis consisted of 30g fire assay with AAS finish for Au and 4-acid digest with ICP-OES analysis all other elements.</p> <p>RC samples were split using a rig-mounted cone splitter on 1m intervals to obtain a sample for assay. Samples were pulverised to sub-75µm to produce a representative sub-sample for analysis. Analysis consisted of 30g fire assay with AAS finish for Au and 4-acid digest with ICP-OES analysis all other elements.</p>
Drilling techniques	<p><i>Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>SHN – Reverse circulation drilling utilising an 8inch open-hole hammer for first 10m (pre-collar) and a 5.5inch RC hammer for the remainder of the drill hole. Diamond tail holes were drilled as per RC, before switching to HQ3 sized drill core until end of hole.</p> <p>Historic – Diamond drilling typically comprised of using a PCD bit through the cover sequence (open hole, no recovery), HQ diameter core for parent hole drilling and NQ2 diameter core for daughter holes. Reverse circulation drilling was completed using a 5.5" bit. Hole diameters for RC prior to RVR are unknown.</p>

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Drill sample recovery	<p><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></p> <p><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></p> <p><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>SHN - RC sample recoveries of less than approximately 80% are noted in the geological/sampling log with a visual estimate of the actual recovery. Very few samples were recorded with recoveries of less than 80% and in two cases these did include samples within the interpreted ore zone (i.e. 45 – 47m in 23LTRC008; 29 – 30m in 23LTRC006). Significant intercepts did not include these intervals. Moisture categorisation was also recorded. No significant zones of wet RC samples were recovered from within the mineralised intervals reported in 23LTRC002. Drill holes 23LTRC001 was notably wet and low recovery, and as such was twinned utilising a RC/diamond tail hole 23LTRD001. Minor zones of core loss were reported within 23LTRD001, totalling 3.1m (or 4.48%), one of which was within the proposed mineralised zone at 106.7 – 107.m. No significant intercepts reported extend over core loss intervals.</p> <p>Historic – Diamond core sample recovery is measured and recorded by RVR Field Technicians. Negligible sample loss was reported. In RC drilling, moisture content and sample recovery were reportedly recorded for each sample, with no significant sample loss recorded. Significantly wet samples were recorded in drill hole LLRC187 and as such has not been previously reported by SHN.</p>
Logging	<p><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></p> <p><i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature.</i></p> <p><i>Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.</i></p> <p><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>SHN – The drill core and chip samples from SHN exploration drilling has been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level to support appropriate mineral resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Core is logged both qualitatively and quantitatively. Core and chip tray photography is available.</p> <p>Historic – Qualitative logging included lithology, alteration and textures; and Quantitative logging includes sulphide and gangue mineral percentages. All drill core was reportedly fully logged and photographed, although each hole has not yet been individually validated by SHN.</p>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<p><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></p> <p><i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></p> <p><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></p> <p><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>SHN & Historic – RC samples were split using a rig-mounted cone splitter on 1m intervals to obtain a sample for assay, of approximate weight 3 – 5kg. Samples were pulverised to sub-75µm to produce a representative sub-sample for analysis. Core samples were sawn longitudinally in half using an automated core saw and dispatched to the laboratory for analysis. Samples were crushed to sub-6mm, split and pulverised to sub-75µm to produce a representative sub-sample for analysis.</p>

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></p>	
Quality of assay data and Laboratory tests	<p><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></p> <p><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p> <p><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>SHN – Samples are assayed using a 50g fire assay for gold with AAS finish, which is considered appropriate for this style of mineralisation. Fire assay is considered total assay for gold. Assays reporting over 100g/t Au were re-assayed using gravimetric methods to report a final assay. All other elements are assayed using an ICP-MS/OES, with overrange Ba reported by XRF.</p> <p>A review of CRM samples from the SHN drill program in 2023 concluded that there is potential under-reporting of Au grades in some areas, notably in 23LTRC004 and 23LTRC009. Some select zones will be re-assayed as validation checks. All base metal CRMs were deemed accurate. No significant contamination was reported from blank material. Repeatability for gold was deemed adequate with 60% of field duplicates repeating within a 10% HARD and base metals were deemed highly repeatable returning an 80% repeatability within 10% HARD.</p> <p>Historic – Only certified reference material (CRMs) were used in the QAQC program during the RVR diamond drilling. All reportedly returned results within an acceptable range. SHN has not validated this statement to date. There is no report of Blanks material or field duplicates used in the program. RC drilling used CRMs which reportedly returned results within an acceptable range. Field duplicates were taken as 1 in 40 samples. No sample method or review of these duplicates is reported. No information has been provided or located on historical QAQC programs.</p>
Verification of sampling and assaying	<p><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></p> <p><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></p> <p><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></p> <p><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>SHN – Diamond tail hole 23LTRD001 has twinned RC drill hole 23LTRC001, the latter which showed significantly wet samples in a zone reporting 5m @ 3.31 g/t Au from 104m (using a 0.5 g/t Au cut-off). Hole 23LTRC001 was designed to twin historic hole LLRC187 which reported significantly wet and compromised samples that assayed 11m @ 97.9/t Au from 91m (using a 1.0 g/t Au cut off), which are not reported nor used by SHN. The diamond tail hole 23LTRD001 reported assays comparable to that seen in 23LTRC001, assaying 2m @ 3.84 g/t Au from 107m and will be the results reported by SHN.</p> <p>Historic – Laboratory results were reviewed by RVR Geologists. Raw assay files were stored on the Company Server and no adjustments were made to assay data.</p>
Location of data points	<p><i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p> <p><i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></p> <p><i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>SHN – Drilled holes have been located using a handheld GPS within GDA94, Zone 55 format. Downhole surveys were conducted with an industry-standard gyroscopic survey tool. Collar locations will be digitally surveyed by DGPS at a later date. Collar locations for 23LTRC001, 23LTRD001 and 23LTRC002 have been revised since the previously released ASX report on 24th November 2023.</p> <p>Historic – Drill hole collar coordinates were captured using RTK GPS in GDA94, Zone 55 format. Downhole surveys were conducted with a digital magnetic multi-shot camera, typically every 20 – 40m. Topographic control was based on a detailed 3d Digital Elevation Model. The basis of this model is not currently known.</p>

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Data spacing and distribution	<p><i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>The distribution of drilling provides drill intersection spacings of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 - 40m for majority of New Queen Lode • 20 - 70m for the Main Lens upper sections • 60 - 100m for the Inferred area of the Main Lode • 15 - 70m for the Western Footwall Lode • 15 - 150m for the Gap Lode <p>The drill spacing provides evidence of mineralized zone continuity for the purposes of resource estimation and is reflected in the classification level.No samples compositing has been applied to the intersections reported.</p>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<p><i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></p> <p><i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>SHN – Drill holes have been designed predominantly to intersect the approximate east-west trend of the known lenses at Liontown at an optimal angle as possible (i.e. perpendicular). Further drilling may take place in future to ascertain the orientation of a potential feeder zone which may exhibit a more north-south characteristic.</p> <p>Historic – Drill holes were oriented perpendicular to the perceived strike of the host lithologies. Drill holes were drilled at a dip based on the logistics and dip of target to be tested. Orientation of drilling was designed to not bias sampling. Orientation of drill core was determined using a digital orientation tool.</p>
Sample security	<p><i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>SHN – RC drill samples were collected by the Drill Contractor and then collected on site by the SHN Field Technician. The sample was then validated against a pre-prepared sample sheet to ensure the sample matched the correct interval. Samples were then collected into groups of five and placed in a labelled polyweave bag. The samples were then dispatched from site directly to the lab by SHN field personnel. Diamond core samples are collected at the time of cutting by the SHN Field Technician and validated against a pre-prepared sample sheet. In both cases, samples were then collected into groups of five and placed in a labelled polyweave bag. The samples were then dispatched from site directly to the lab by SHN field personnel.</p> <p>Historic - Drill samples were reportedly overseen by RVR staff during transport from site to the laboratory.</p>
Audits or reviews	<p><i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></p>	<p>DRILLING</p> <p>Data review for resource estimation was completed by Mining One Consultants was completed in November 2015. A review of the assay data was completed by McDonald Speijers Consultants in 2008. Earlier data reviews were carried out and documented by the various previous owners of the project.</p>

Section 2 - Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<p><i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></p> <p><i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></p>	<p>Greater Lione town Exploration Permits are: EPMs 10582, 12766, 14161, 16929, 26718, 27168, 27221, 27223, 27357, 27520 and 27731 and Mining Lease Applications 100221, 100290 and 100302 (previously Cromarty) for a total of 463km²; and EPMs 18470, 18471, 18713, 25815 and 25895 (previously Hebrides) for a total of 221km². The tenements are in believed to be in good standing and no known impediments exist. These leases are now held in their entirety by Sunshine (Ravenswood) Pty Ltd, a 100% owned subsidiary of Sunshine Metals Ltd.</p> <p>The Thalanga mill and mining operation was abandoned by administrators to Red River Resources. A restricted area has been placed over the mill, dumps and tailings facilities. The Queensland Department of Environment is now responsible for the rehabilitation of the aforementioned facilities. There are no known other Restricted Areas located within the tenure.</p> <p>Five third-party Mining Leases are present exist on these Exploration Permits – named MLs 1571, 1734, 1739 and 10028 (Thalanga Copper Mines Pty Ltd) and 100021 (Clyde Ian Doxford).</p> <p>Lione town, Waterloo and the majority of tenure exist on the native land of the Jangga People #2 claim, with northwestern tenure located on the native land of the Gudjala People.</p> <p>A 0.8% Net Smelter Return (NSR) royalty is payable to Osisko Ventures Ltd and a 0.7% NSR royalty payable to the Guandong Guangxin Mine Resources Group Co Ltd (GMRG) on sale proceeds of product extracted form EPM 14161.</p> <p>The Ravenswood West area consists of EPMs 26041, 26152, 26303, 26404, 27824 and 27825, owned by wholly owned subsidiaries of Sunshine Metals Limited. The tenements are in good standing and no known impediments exist.</p> <p>Two current, third party Mining Leases exist on EPM 26041 – named ML 10243 (Delour) and ML 10315 (Podosky). One further current, third party Mining Lease exists partially on EPM 26152 – named ML 1529 (Waterloo).</p> <p>All of EPM 26303 and part of EPM 26041 are situated within the Burdekin Falls Dam catchment area.</p> <p>The Lighthouse Project consists of EPMs 25617 and 26705. All EPMs are owned 100% by BGM Investments Pty Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of Rockfire Resources Limited. No current Mining Leases exist on the tenure. South-eastern blocks on EPM 26705 are situated within the Burdekin Falls Dam catchment area. Sunshine Metals has the option to earn 75% of the project.</p>
Exploration done by other parties	<p><i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></p>	<p>Exploration activities have been carried out by Nickel Mines (1970-1973), Esso (1982-1983), Great Mines (1987), Pancontinental (1994-1995), and Lione town Resources (2007). Work programs included surface mapping, and sampling, costeans, drilling and geophysics.</p> <p>Historic exploration was carried out by Esso Exploration and Pancontinental Mining. This included drilling and geophysics. Historic drilling over the Lione town East area is shallow and did not intercept the current Mineral Resource mineralisation.</p>

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary																																																																								
Geology	<i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	<p>LIONTOWN AND LIONTOWN EAST RESOURCE</p> <p>The Liontown and Liontown East deposits are hosted within Cambro-Ordovician marine volcanic and volcano-sedimentary sequences of the Mt Windsor Volcanic sub-province. The Liontown and Liontown East deposits are volcanogenic massive sulphide (VMS) base metal style deposits, which typically are exhibited as lense-like massive to stringer sulphides comprised of sphalerite, galena, chalcopyrite and pyrite. The main lenses are in and around the contact a sequence of marine sediments and a rhyodacite pumice breccia. SHN is currently focussing on the zonation of the deposit, with aim of identifying potential Cu-Au rich zones which could represent feeder zones to the overlying stratiform sulphide lenses.</p>																																																																								
Drill hole Information	<p><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> <i>hole length.</i> <p><i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case</i></p>	<p>All drill hole information pertaining to this release is as follows (GDA94, Z55):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Hole_ID</th> <th>Hole_Type</th> <th>Max_Depth</th> <th>NAT_East</th> <th>NAT_North</th> <th>NAT_RL</th> <th>Dip</th> <th>Grid Azi</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>23LTRC002</td> <td>RC</td> <td>143</td> <td>402997</td> <td>7742859</td> <td>300</td> <td>-51</td> <td>008</td> </tr> <tr> <td>23LTRD001</td> <td>RD</td> <td>129.24</td> <td>402994</td> <td>7742856</td> <td>322</td> <td>-63</td> <td>355</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LTDD19008</td> <td>DD</td> <td>278.6</td> <td>402619</td> <td>7742784</td> <td>294</td> <td>-61</td> <td>352</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LTDD19029</td> <td>DD</td> <td>203.3</td> <td>402584</td> <td>7742788</td> <td>293</td> <td>-47</td> <td>349</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LTDD19030</td> <td>DD</td> <td>114.5</td> <td>402846</td> <td>7742884</td> <td>303</td> <td>-53</td> <td>005</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LLRC100</td> <td>RC</td> <td>168</td> <td>403039</td> <td>7742842</td> <td>297</td> <td>-70</td> <td>005</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LLRC180</td> <td>RC</td> <td>160</td> <td>403116</td> <td>7742837</td> <td>295</td> <td>-58</td> <td>349</td> </tr> <tr> <td>LLRC197</td> <td>RC</td> <td>178</td> <td>402879</td> <td>7742847</td> <td>300</td> <td>-59</td> <td>002</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Hole_ID	Hole_Type	Max_Depth	NAT_East	NAT_North	NAT_RL	Dip	Grid Azi	23LTRC002	RC	143	402997	7742859	300	-51	008	23LTRD001	RD	129.24	402994	7742856	322	-63	355	LTDD19008	DD	278.6	402619	7742784	294	-61	352	LTDD19029	DD	203.3	402584	7742788	293	-47	349	LTDD19030	DD	114.5	402846	7742884	303	-53	005	LLRC100	RC	168	403039	7742842	297	-70	005	LLRC180	RC	160	403116	7742837	295	-58	349	LLRC197	RC	178	402879	7742847	300	-59	002
Hole_ID	Hole_Type	Max_Depth	NAT_East	NAT_North	NAT_RL	Dip	Grid Azi																																																																			
23LTRC002	RC	143	402997	7742859	300	-51	008																																																																			
23LTRD001	RD	129.24	402994	7742856	322	-63	355																																																																			
LTDD19008	DD	278.6	402619	7742784	294	-61	352																																																																			
LTDD19029	DD	203.3	402584	7742788	293	-47	349																																																																			
LTDD19030	DD	114.5	402846	7742884	303	-53	005																																																																			
LLRC100	RC	168	403039	7742842	297	-70	005																																																																			
LLRC180	RC	160	403116	7742837	295	-58	349																																																																			
LLRC197	RC	178	402879	7742847	300	-59	002																																																																			
Data aggregation methods	<p><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></p> <p><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></p>	<p>All grades and intercepts referred to in this document are as reported in their associated historical documents. No further adjustments or assumptions have been made.</p> <p>The zinc equivalent grades for Greater Liontown (Zn Eq) are based on zinc, copper, lead, gold and silver prices of US\$2500/t Zinc, US\$8500/t Copper, US\$2000/t Lead, US\$1900/oz Gold and US\$20/oz Silver with metallurgical metal recoveries of 88.8% Zn, 80% Cu, 70% Pb, 65% Au and 65% Ag and are supported by metallurgical test work undertaken.</p> <p>The zinc equivalent calculation is as follows: $Zn Eq = Zn\ grade\ \% * Zn\ recovery + (Cu\ grade\ \% * Cu\ recovery\ \% * (Cu\ price\ \\$/t / Zn\ price\ \\$/t)) + (Pb\ grade\ \% * Pb\ recovery\ \% * (Pb\ price\ \\$/t / Zn\ price\ \\$/t)) + (Au\ grade\ g/t / 31.103 * Au\ recovery\ \% * (Au\ price\ \\$/oz / Zn\ price\ \\$/t * 0.01)) + (Ag\ grade\ g/t / 31.103 * Ag\ recovery\ \% * (Ag\ price\ \\$/oz / Zn\ price\ \\$/t * 0.01))$.</p>																																																																								

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></p>	<p>It is the opinion of Sunshine Metals and the Competent Person that all elements and products included in the metal equivalent formula have a reasonable potential to be recovered and sold.</p>
<p>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept length</p>	<p><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. • If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></p>	<p>The mineralisation is interpreted to be dipping at approximately 75 degrees towards 180 degrees bearing. A variety of drill hole angles have been drilled with the majority intercepting the strike of mineralisation perpendicular and the plane of mineralisation at angles between 90 and 45 degrees. True widths of intercepts are likely to be between 40 to 80% of the down hole widths.</p> <p>Lode mineralisation widths are generally between 1 and 8m true width. Sample lengths are most commonly 1m of downhole length. Note some smaller true widths are observed to assist in controlling mineralisation interpretation. These areas are considered in the classification.</p>
<p>Diagrams</p>	<p><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported</i></p> <p><i>These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></p>	<p>All diagrams are located within the body of this report</p>
<p>Balanced reporting</p>	<p><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p>	<p>All drill intercepts are recorded within the body of this report</p>

Criteria	Explanation	Commentary
Other substantive exploration data	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	<p>All meaningful and material data is reported within the body of the report.</p> <p>For the previous release outlining assay results from drill hole 23LTRC002, please refer to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ASX: SHN, 24th November 2023, 17m @ 22.1 g/t Au Confirms Liontown Feeder Zone <p>For a detailed summary on the Liontown and Liontown East Mineral Resource Estimates, please refer to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ASX: SHN, 8th May 2023, Fully Funded Acquisition of Greater Liontown
Further work	<p><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></p> <p><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></p>	<p>Further drilling will be required to test geological interpretation and targeting of potential Au-rich feeder structures and to provide more data within the Gap for future resource definition. A Mineral Resource Estimate update is currently in progress.</p>