

2 July 2026



Significant Gallium with Rare Earth Mineralisation at MRG's Garies Project

Metallurgical analysis of high-grade mineralisation from recent drilling at MRG's Garies Project in Northern Cape Province, South Africa shows significant levels up to 450 ppm of the critical mineral Gallium Oxide associated with Rare Earths and Magnetite.

Highlights:

- Analysis of 85 magnetite vein drill samples averaged 290 ppm Gallium Oxide (Ga₂O₃) with a maximum assay of 450 ppm Ga₂O₃.
- A bulk sample extracted from the drill samples for metallurgical testwork contained 347 ppm Ga₂O₃.
- Two REO-rich concentrates extracted from the bulk sample at a laboratory in South Africa assayed 880 ppm and 573 ppm Ga₂O₃ respectively.
- QEMScan microscopy and electron microscope element mapping established the Gallium is hosted by free pyrite grains, an accessory mineral in the magnetite vein.
- Based on the QEMScan mineralogical analysis:
 - pyrite comprises approximately 5% of the magnetite vein; and
 - the pyrite contains about 0.4% Ga.
- Further metallurgical testwork will evaluate the potential for concentration of Gallium-bearing pyrite.
- Gallium is a highly sought-after critical mineral used in Gallium Nitride (GaN) and Gallium Arsenide (GaAs) semiconductors, which underpin power electronics, electric vehicle fast chargers, 5G radio frequency components, and defence radar systems.
- The 5N Gallium Oxide price on 1 July 2026 according to Shanghai Metals Market (SMM) data, was US\$367.46/kg.

MRG Metals Limited (ASX: MRQ) ("MRG" or "the Company") is pleased to announce that analytical results from its Garies Project in Northern Cape Province, South Africa have returned significant levels of the critical mineral Gallium (Ga).

The results are from 85 drill samples, from the 19 holes that intersected mineralised magnetite veins, a bulk sample derived from the drill samples and REO concentrates developed from processing the bulk sample (refer **ASX Announcement 17 March 2026**).

2 July 2026



Gallium is now demonstrated to be associated with the project's Rare Earth Element (REE) and magnetite mineralisation .

The high Gallium assays, now available from drilling intersections, is seen in conjunction with the very high total Rare Earth Oxide (TREO) assay results from drilling.

MRG Chairman, Andrew van der Zwan, commented:

“The identification of significant Gallium associated with our Rare Earth mineralisation is very exciting, as it provides an additional avenue for technical evaluation at the Garies Project. While the Company's primary focus remains the development of the Rare Earth opportunity, these initial results support further metallurgical work to determine whether Gallium has the potential to be recovered as a by-product of future processing. We look forward to advancing this work as part of our ongoing metallurgical programme. ”

Garies Rare Earth Project

At Garies project, MRG is principally exploring for Rare Earth minerals found in magnetite veins occurring across the project area. These veins are believed to have been deposited under high temperature metamorphic conditions in the lower crust approximately 1 billion years ago.

Drilling conducted last year on one mineralised target intersected REOs averaging 2.1% within a magnetite vein up to 5 metres thick at DrillTarg (see previous ASX Announcements dated 17 March 2026, 2 April 2026 and 2 June 2026). Apart from the Gallium results that have become available, the Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in those announcements.

Laboratory analyses of drill samples from this Magnetite vein showed significant levels of Gallium, in addition to the Rare Earths. The average of 85 drill samples was 290 ppm Ga₂O₃, with a maximum of 450 ppm.

A bulk sample extracted from the drill samples to generate REO concentrates contained 349 ppm Ga₂O₃. Two REO-rich concentrate samples were extracted from the bulk sample and tested for Gallium at the Light Deep Earth (LDE) laboratory in South Africa and contained 880 ppm and 573 ppm Ga₂O₃ respectively, representing enrichment of Gallium relative to the bulk sample. QEMScan microscopy and electron microscope element mapping showed that (at least for the coarse fraction of the milled mineralisation), Gallium is hosted by free pyrite grains, an accessory mineral in the magnetite veins. On the basis of the QEMScan mineralogical analysis, the pyrite (which is present at about 5% in the mineralised veins) contains about 0.4% Gallium.

The metallurgical processes used to concentrate the REOs at Garies have proven highly effective in concentrating Rare Earth minerals but are not optimised for recovering pyrite and therefore are unlikely to represent the most effective process for concentrating Gallium.

2 July 2026



Further metallurgical testwork at the LDE Laboratory will assess alternative processing methods aimed at selectively concentrating the Gallium-bearing pyrite and evaluating whether higher Gallium grades can be achieved in a potential concentrate.

Cautionary Statement

While Gallium has been identified in association with the Company's Rare Earth mineralisation, further metallurgical and technical studies are required to determine whether Gallium can be economically recovered as a by-product. There is no certainty that future testwork will demonstrate a commercially viable recovery process or support the production of a saleable Gallium concentrate.

Gallium

Gallium is a rare metal used in advanced electronics, defence technologies, renewable energy applications and semiconductor manufacturing.

Various Gallium compounds, including Gallium Arsenide, Gallium Phosphide and Gallium Nitride, offer performance advantages over traditional silicon-based semiconductors and are increasingly used in high-performance electronic devices. Applications include advanced radar systems, power electronics and fast-charging technologies.

Gallium is typically produced as a by-product of Aluminium and Zinc production rather than from dedicated Gallium mines. China currently dominates global Gallium production and exports remain subject to government export controls.

Forward Looking Statement

This Announcement contains forward-looking information, relating to future events or future performance and reflect management's current expectations and assumptions, based on current available information. Forward Looking Statements can be identified by the use of words such as "plans", "expects", "expected", "budget", "scheduled", "estimates", "forecasts", "intends", "anticipates", or "believes".

Competent Persons' Statement

The information in this report, as it relates to Exploration Results, is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled and/or reviewed by Mr. C Rothnie, who is a member of the Australian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (MAusIMM). Mr. Rothnie is a consultant of the Company and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity which has been undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr. Rothnie consents to the

2 July 2026



inclusion in this report of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which they appear.

This announcement has been authorised for release by the MRG Metals Limited Board of Directors.

For more information please contact:

MRG Metals

Andrew Van Der Zwan
Chairman
M: +61 (0) 400 982 987
E: andrew@mrgmetals.com.au




Investor Relations



Angus Kennelly
Massive Intelligence
E: angus@massiveintelligence.com.au

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
<p><i>Sampling techniques</i></p>	<p><i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></p> <p><i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></p> <p><i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples used to assess the DrillTarg resource have been derived from reverse circulation (RC) and surface sampling. • Two drilling programmes have been completed to date: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In 2025, 42 drillholes totalling 1,309m ○ In 2015, 14 drillholes totalling 335m • Samples from each metre were collected from the sample cyclone using pre-marked "sausage/tube" style plastic bags, preserving variations by depth within the one metre drilling interval. • Sub-samples by depth can be taken down to a minimum of 30cm thickness. • The one metres samples were geologically logged, weighed radiation levels recorded. • Rare earth oxide (REO) mineralisation at DrillTarg is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ easily detected in the field. ○ hosted by black, coarse grained magnetite veins, typically emplaced within pale, silicified granite gneiss. ○ associated with low levels of thorium, easily detected with hand-held radiation meters. • Laboratory samples were planned using one metre intervals or geological contacts. • Sample "tubes" were laid horizontally and the bags opened lengthwise. Laboratory samples were extracted using a shovel and then riffle-split to give a lab sample and a reference sample (retained with the other original drill samples). • Blanks and reference standards were inserted at a rate of approximately 1 in 20 lab samples. • Lab samples were initially analysed using XRF at Light Deep Earth in Pretoria. Samples with an expected REO content exceeding 0.5% were then submitted for full-suite ICP-MS analyses at UIS, including gallium (Ga).

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
	<i>submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i>	
<i>Drilling techniques</i>	<i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reverse Circulation drilling at DrillTarg utilised a nominal 133 mm (6") diameter hammer bit and a twin-tube system. • Torque Drilling provided RC drilling services for both the 2015 and 2025 programs using track-mounted drilling rigs and air compressors.
<i>Drill sample recovery</i>	<p><i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></p> <p><i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></p> <p><i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recoveries are recorded by the geologist in the field at the time of drilling and also recorded with the sample weight. • If poor sample recovery is encountered during drilling, the geologist and driller have endeavoured to rectify the problem to ensure maximum sample recovery. Visual assessment is made for moisture and contamination. • Reduced recoveries are often observed in the top few metres of drillholes with this style of drilling as observed in drillholes at DrillTarg. • Sample recoveries are generally high, and moisture in samples minimal. • Test-work shows that the REO-bearing monazite grains are preferentially crushed to fines when the whole sample is crushed. It is therefore possible that some down-grading of the REO grades has occurred due to preferential REO loss in the cyclone dust in the drilling and sampling process. To date, no quantitative testing has been attempted, but cyclone blow-over dust is a very minor portion of the total sample, so the possible bias is likely to be minimal.

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
<p><i>Logging</i></p>	<p><i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></p> <p><i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i></p> <p><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Logging samples were extracted during drilling and left in regular piles at the drilling site. (Example below: D25RC003 0-20m)  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> After removal to a central sample processing area, the main sample bags (tubes) were photographed, open and closed (example below D25RC003 5-10m).   <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Radiation emitted from the samples was recorded. Drill chips sieved from the main sample were put into plastic trays and photographed wet and dry (examples below D25RC003 0-20m)

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
		  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chip trays were logged and intersections requiring analysis marked by the geologist. • Logging is semi-quantitative.
<p><i>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</i></p>	<p><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></p> <p><i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></p> <p><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></p> <p><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></p> <p><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples were riffle split to obtain representative sub-samples. • For full metre samples 4 passes through the splitter were typically required to reduce the sample to a suitable size for the laboratory (2-3kg). • Most samples were dry, but where samples were moist, the splitter was cleaned between samples. • Laboratory samples averaged 2.5 kg, but some dense, magnetite-rich samples exceeded 4kg. • The sample size is considered appropriate and representative for the grain size and style of mineralisation.

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
	<i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i>	
<i>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</i>	<p><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></p> <p><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></p> <p><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Light Deep Earth (LDE, Pretoria) prepared the samples for analysis and conducted initial XRF analyses. The XRF suite collected by LDE included the major REOs CeO₂, La₂O₃, Nd₂O₃ and Pr₆O₁₁ which typically comprise about 85% of the total REOs present in the mineralisation. • Samples that exceeded approximately 0.4% combined CeO₂, La₂O₃, Nd₂O₃ & Pr₆O₁₁ were then submitted to UIS laboratory for ICP-MS analysis, including a full suite of REO (excluding Pm) and gallium (Ga). • Certified reference material and blanks were inserted at a rate of about 1 sample in 20. • The laboratories conducted their own quality control repeats at a rate of about 1 in 8.

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
<p><i>Verification of sampling and assaying</i></p>	<p><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></p> <p><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></p> <p><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></p> <p><i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant intersections are identified in the field by geology (magnetite-hosted), and anomalous emitted radiation. • In every case, laboratory results confirmed the levels of mineralisation expected from the field samples. • At least two geologists confirmed the significant intersections. • Samples were logged and photographed in the field. • All geological logging and sampling information is completed firstly on to paper logs before being transferred to Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. • To date, no drillholes have been twinned, given the early stage of development. • Electronic copies of all information are backed up routinely. • No adjustments of assay data are necessary.
<p><i>Location of data points</i></p>	<p><i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p> <p><i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></p> <p><i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drillhole collars completed during 2025 were surveyed using RTK survey equipment. • Accuracies of the drillhole collar locations are +/- 0.01m (ie 1cm) horizontal and 0.03m vertical. • The grid system used is WGS84 / UTM (Zone 34S): EPSG 32734. • Despite the difficult terrain, significant efforts were made to correctly align the drilling rig to the planned dips and azimuths. • Down-hole surveys have not been completed at DrillTarg due to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The strongly magnetic nature of the magnetite mineralisation precludes the use of magnetic compass down-hole survey instruments. ○ The alternative use of gyroscopic instruments would require the installation of drillhole casings, at much greater expense and logistical difficulty.

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The relatively shallow drilling means that downhole variations in dip and azimuth are not significant.
<p><i>Data spacing and distribution</i></p>	<p><i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></p> <p><i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drillholes were planned at 5m x 10m spacing at DrillTarg, although the topography required many holes to be positioned small distances away from the planned locations. A cross-fault has caused a roughly horizontal 15m translation of the main mineralised body but the mineralisation is similar on each side of the fault. Sample compositing was not applied in the resource estimation. Drillholes are sufficiently close that there is little doubt regarding continuity between mineralised zones on adjacent drillholes. Mineralisation close to the drillhole intercepts is classed as “Indicated Resource” based on the sample density and continuity. Interpreted zones further than about 10m from the drillholes are classed as “Inferred”. The outcrop zone on the western side of the DrillTarg resource is classed as “Inferred” based on less systematic sampling (spot rock-chips versus drill cuttings). Spot rock-chip samples were taken from at least 6 “typical” areas of mineralisation across the 50 x 6m outcrop (excluding high grade areas), to create a composite sample of the outcrop zone.
<p><i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i></p>	<p><i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></p> <p><i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias,</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drilling shows that the mineralisation is predominantly sub-vertical in the DrillTarg area. Most drill holes were angled at 60 degrees to horizontal and at approximate right angles to the strike trend. The drilling direction is unlikely to have introduced a sampling bias, although the drilling intersections are generally thicker than the mineralisation as the drilling cuts the veins at an apparent angle.

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
	<i>this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	
<i>Sample security</i>	<i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples were taken from the drilling rig to a nearby fenced-off compound for photographing, logging and splitting. • Laboratory samples stayed within the compound until they were packed into poly-weave sacks and delivered to the local freight company. • The sample numbers despatched and received to the laboratory were checked prior to the lab commencing work. • The levels of radiation measured in the drill samples was compared with the laboratory results (REO%) and showed good correlation. If samples had been “tampered with” between the drilling rig and the laboratory, discrepancies would be evident.
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i>	No review has taken place on data to date.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
<p><i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i></p>	<p><i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></p> <p><i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sampling has been undertaken on Prospecting Right 10343 of the Northern Cape Province of South Africa, held by Tundratype Pty Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of Sheerartar Minerals Pty Ltd. • The tenure was in good standing at the time of writing with no known impediments to further development.
<p><i>Exploration done by other parties</i></p>	<p><i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tundratype conducted drilling and geophysics at DrillTarg in 2013-2015. No other exploration is known about at this prospect, although it is possible that earlier regional radiometrics surveys for uranium detected the thorium anomaly at DrillTarg. • It is possible that the mineralisation is referenced in regional geology publications by the South African Department of Mines, although the location is different to that given in the publications. • Axiom Exploration Group Ltd conducted a thorough review of previous work on the lease area in 2024, including estimation of exploration targets. A site visit was conducted and various targets sampled.
<p><i>Geology</i></p>	<p><i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deposit Type: Despite lacking apatite, the REE mineralisation is probably best classified as a variety of iron-oxide – apatite deposit (IOA deposits). REE are commonly associated with the phosphorus in IOA deposits, typically present within apatite, but also commonly within monazite (as at Garies). • Geological Setting: The tenement is situated in southern Namaqualand, along the

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
		<p>mountainous escarpment separating the inland Bushmanland plateau from the sandy West Coast plains. The region is host to a variety of igneous, sedimentary and metamorphic rock types, with ages ranging from Mesoproterozoic to Recent. The Garies mineralisation is hosted within the Kamiesberg Group, a complex variety of gneiss types of uncertain origin, although probably with some meta-sediments and granitic precursors. The gneisses have been subjected to upper granulite facies metamorphism with significant levels of partial melting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Style of Mineralisation: REE mineralisation within the Garies tenement area is hosted by structurally controlled magnetite veins/dikes. The magnetite veins contain monazite (hosting the REE) and minor amounts of accessory minerals (sericite, rutile, zircon, pyrite). The magnetite is generally coarse grained and has sharp boundaries with the country rocks, although some ferruginous staining of the surrounding country rocks is visible in places, possibly due to secondary remobilisation of iron.
<p><i>Drill hole Information</i></p>	<p><i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - easting and northing of the drill hole collar - elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar - dip and azimuth of the hole - down hole length and interception depth - hole length. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drillhole details are shown below.

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
	<i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i>	

2025 Drillholes at DrillTarg						
Drillhole_ID	Easting	Northing	Elevation	Az	Dip	Comments
D25RC001	221362	6609085	703.8	330	-60	No significant SCI* reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC002	221069	6609145	679.8	330	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC003	221014	6609040	679.9		-90	Magnetite from 0-9m; Sci readings
D25RC004	221041	6609062	681.9		-90	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC005	221070	6609174	677.0		-90	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC006	220844	6609257	632.1	330	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC007	221006	6609043	678.3	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC008	221004	6609035	678.8	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC009	221058	6609145	678.1	330	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC010	221044	6609155	674.8	330	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC011	221012	6609040	680.0	150	-60	Magnetite from 6-9m (3m thick); Sci readings
D25RC012	221017	6609036	680.6	150	-60	Magnetite from 1-2m (1m thick); Sci readings
D25RC013	221010	6609030	679.7	150	-60	Magnetite from 1-5m (4m thick); Sci readings

D25RC014	221013	6609029	680.1	150	-60	Magnetite from 1-4.48m (3.48m thick); Sci readings
D25RC015	220993	6609033	676.0	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC016	220997	6609026	677.4	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC017	221026	6609040	680.7	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC018	221024	6609044	680.8	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC019	221021	6609050	679.5	150	-60	Magnetite from 12-16m (4m thick); Sci readings
D25RC020	221027	6609057	679.8	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC021	221029	6609053	680.1	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC022	221024	6609062	679.3	150	-60	Magnetite from 1-4.48m (3.348m)
D25RC023	221017	6609055	678.6	150	-60	Magnetite from 13-20m (7m) End of Batch 1 to lab.
D25RC024	221016	6609057	678.2	150	-60	Magnetite from 26.25 - 27m (0.75m)
D25RC025	221014	6609061	677.6	150	-60	Magnetite from 30.30-35.0m (4.7m)
D25RC026	221012	6609065	677.1	150	-60	Magnetite from 34-36.5m (2.5m)
D25RC027	221010	6609069	676.8	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC028	221019	6609070	678.2	150	-60	Magnetite from 32.20-38.00m (5.8m); SPLIT in 32-34, 35 split, 36-38
D25RC029	221018	6609076	677.5	150	-60	Magnetite from 23.00 - 27.00m (4m)
D25RC030	221023	6609065	678.9	150	-60	Magnetite from 22.90 - 28.35m (4m); SPLIT in 23-25, 26 split, 27-28
D25RC031	221033	6609066	680.3	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC032	221029	6609072	679.6	150	-60	Magnetite from 6.5 - 8.00m (1.5m)
D25RC033	221028	6609079	679.0	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC034	221037	6609082	681.2	150	-60	Magnetite from 6.0 - 9.80m (4.8m)
D25RC035	221035	6609084	680.7	150	-60	Magnetite from 14.00 - 16.00m (2.0m)
D25RC036	221031	6609089	679.6	150	-60	Magnetite from 21.00 - 26.00m + 28.80m - 31.00m(10.0m)
D25RC037	221029	6609093	679.0	150	-60	Magnetite from 34.00 - 40.60m (6.0m)

D25RC038	221027	6609097	678.1	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC039	221040	6609095	680.8	150	-60	Magnetite from 30.40 - 32.00m (1.60m) BUT NO SCI
D25RC040	221041	6609091	681.7	150	-60	Magnetite from 18.25 - 24.70m (6.45m)
D25RC041	221039	6609098	680.1	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC042	221055	6609091	684.0	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC043	221049	6609100	681.8	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC044	221025	6609089	678.5	150	-60	Magnetite from 33.00 - 40.00m (7.0m)
D25RC045	221025	6609094	678.1	150	-60	Magnetite from 37.50 - 41.50m (4.0m)
D25RC046	221010	6609079	676.4	150	-60	No significant SCI reading in BH; 0.1 - 0.4 uSv/h; No sampling
D25RC047	221011	6609044	679.0	150	-60	Cavity from 8-10m = Blowout at D25RC003 7m South of D25RC047
D25RC048	221009	6609048	678.3	150	-60	Cavity from 4-5m = BH collapse
* SCI =Scintillometer						

Mineralised drillhole intersections at DrillTarg

HOLE_ID	Easting	Northing	Dip	Azi	From	To	Thickness (m)	REO%	Ga (ppm)
D25RC003	221014	6609040	-90	0	4	10	6	0.78	79
D25RC011	221014	6609037	-60	150	7	10	3	2.04	139
D25RC013	221011	6609029	-60	150	2	6	4	2.06	199
D25RC014	221014	6609027	-60	150	4	5	1	1.25	102
D25RC019	221024	6609045	-60	150	12	17	5	2.85	251
D25RC023	221021	6609049	-60	150	15	21	6	3.35	263
D25RC024	221023	6609046	-60	150	26.25	27	0.75	1.09	153
D25RC025	221022	6609048	-60	150	31	35	4	2.87	281
D25RC026	221021	6609050	-60	150	34	35.5	1.5	0.62	102
D25RC028	221027	6609056	-60	150	32.2	38	5.8	3.34	245
D25RC030	221029	6609055	-60	150	22.9	28.35	5.45	3.39	258
D25RC032	221031	6609069	-60	150	6.5	8	1.5	0.83	178
D25RC034	221039	6609079	-60	150	6	9.8	3.8	1.07	226
D25RC035	221038	6609078	-60	150	13	15	2	2.30	222
D25RC036A	221037	6609079	-60	150	23	26	3	1.59	199
D25RC036B	221038	6609077	-60	150	28.8	35	6.2	1.78	195
D25RC037	221038	6609078	-60	150	34	40.6	6.6	4.49	280
D25RC040	221046	6609083	-60	150	19	24.7	5.7	1.57	220
D25RC044	221033	6609074	-60	150	33.8	41	7.2	1.32	231
D25RC045	221034	6609078	-60	150	37	41.75	4.75	3.01	269

<p><i>Data aggregation methods</i></p>	<p><i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></p> <p><i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some laboratory samples were for taken for intervals less than 1m, so all sample intervals were resampled at 0.25m intervals for block modelling to reduce the relative sample weighting of narrow intervals. • A lower cut-off grade of 0.40% Nd₂O₃+Pr₆O₁₁+CeO₂+La₂O₃ has been used for assessing significant intercepts, and no upper cut-off grade was applied. • Maximum internal dilution of 1m was incorporated in reported significant intercepts.
--	---	--

	<i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>	
<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<p><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></p> <p><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></p> <p><i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • True widths for mineralisation have not been calculated and as such only downhole lengths have been reported. • It is expected that true widths will be less than downhole widths, due to the apparent dip of the mineralisation.
<i>Diagrams</i>	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	Appropriate maps and sections are available in the body of this ASX announcement.
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	Reporting of results in this report is considered balanced.
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aeromagnetics were acquired over much of the lease in 2014, with follow-up ground mag over some targets.

	<p><i>to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RC drilling was conducted at the outcrop in 2015, but not all mineralised drill samples were analysed. • Re-analysis at other laboratories subsequently showed that the initial, limited laboratory results under-stated the REO grade and failed to accurately determine the REO suite. • The magnetite veins are structurally complex and as such, difficult to drill. • Magnetite in the veins has been at least partially converted to hematite. Whether this was during an early alteration event, or recent weathering has not yet been determined. It has reduced the magnetic response of the magnetite in affected areas. • Iron from the magnetite is a possible by-product if the deposit is mined. • Thorium, a source of radiation, is associated with the REOs, being an important constituent of monazite. Managing radiation from monazite concentrates is, however, well understood as monazite is commonly transported in bulk.
<p><i>Further work</i></p>	<p><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></p> <p><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Numerous targets exist for expansion of the current JORC Resources within the Garies Project, as extensions to defined deposits, new targets identified from the Company’s geophysical surveys, and conceptual as yet untested targets at depth. • Radiometrics would be especially useful in directly detecting monazite concentrations in the original vein locations, or as accumulations in the soil or alluvium near concealed deposits.

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in Section 1, and where relevant in Section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	Explanation	Comment
<i>Database integrity</i>	<p><i>Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes.</i></p> <p><i>Data validation procedures used.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data was provided as a database contained on several tabs in an Excel workbook. Drillhole collar, lithology and grade data was imported into Easimine mining software. Basic validation routines were run to confirm validity of all data. Logged lithology was compared to photographed drill chips in all 2025 drillholes. Analytical results have all been electronically merged to avoid any transcription errors
<i>Site visits</i>	<p><i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</i></p> <p><i>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Competent Person visited site in August and September 2024 and reviewed geology, previous drilling etc. The CP monitored 2025 drilling live via “video-chat”, discussing results with the site geologists as drilling progressed.
<i>Geological interpretation</i>	<p><i>Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.</i></p> <p><i>Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.</i></p> <p><i>The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p> <p><i>The use of geology in guiding and controlling</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confidence in the geological interpretation is high. Detailed geological logging and surface mapping allows extrapolation of drill intersections between adjacent sections. Alternative interpretations would result in similar tonnage and grade estimation techniques. Geological boundaries are determined by the spatial locations of the various mineralised structures. Magnetite veins/dikes comprised of magnetite/hematite-monzazite-sericite host the rare earths mineralisation and are the key factors providing continuity of geology and grade. The mineralised zones may be described as visually distinctive iron rich veins or dikes. Rare earth concentrations within the magnetite dikes vary due to original monazite concentrations in the dikes as well as possible remobilisation due to

Criteria	Explanation	Comment																																							
	<p><i>Mineral Resource estimation.</i></p> <p><i>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</i></p>	<p>weathering. Semi-variography of results to date gives a 5m radius for the range of spatial variability.</p>																																							
<p><i>Metallurgical factors or assumptions</i></p>	<p><i>The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • REOs at DrillTarg are hosted by monazite grains within the magnetite bodies. • Processing tests were conducted by Light Deep Earth of Pretoria on a 351 kg bulk sample compiled from drillhole intervals (listed below, extracted from within previously reported significant intersections). <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Drillhole</th> <th>Depth Interval (m)</th> <th>Sample Weight (kg)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>D25RC023</td> <td>20-21</td> <td>28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D25RC025</td> <td>34-35</td> <td>23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D25RC028</td> <td>33-34</td> <td>19</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D25RC028</td> <td>37-38</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D25RC036</td> <td>30-31</td> <td>29</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D25RC036</td> <td>33-34</td> <td>25</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D25RC037</td> <td>37-38</td> <td>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D25RC037</td> <td>39-40.6</td> <td>55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D25RC040</td> <td>19-21</td> <td>54</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D25RC044</td> <td>35-36</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D25RC044</td> <td>39-40</td> <td>26</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>TOTAL (kg)</td> <td>351</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>SAMPLE PREPARATION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples were combined, homogenized using splitter to make up one bulk sample. • From bulk sample (351kg), 30kg sub-sample was taken out of the bulk for further processing and the remainder stored. • 30kg sub-sample was then crushed and screened to 100% passing 5mm. • 15kg sub-sample was taken out of 30kg sample for further processing (remaining 15kg was stored). <p>CRUSHING AND MILLING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15kg was crushed and screened to 100% passing 1mm then split into 2.5kg units to determine the milling curve. • Rod-mill was used to mill 15kg sub-sample to obtain 90% passing 212um. • Minus 212um samples wet screened at 45um to deslime the sample. <p>COARSE FRACTION (45-212um)</p>	Drillhole	Depth Interval (m)	Sample Weight (kg)	D25RC023	20-21	28	D25RC025	34-35	23	D25RC028	33-34	19	D25RC028	37-38	25	D25RC036	30-31	29	D25RC036	33-34	25	D25RC037	37-38	30	D25RC037	39-40.6	55	D25RC040	19-21	54	D25RC044	35-36	35	D25RC044	39-40	26		TOTAL (kg)	351
Drillhole	Depth Interval (m)	Sample Weight (kg)																																							
D25RC023	20-21	28																																							
D25RC025	34-35	23																																							
D25RC028	33-34	19																																							
D25RC028	37-38	25																																							
D25RC036	30-31	29																																							
D25RC036	33-34	25																																							
D25RC037	37-38	30																																							
D25RC037	39-40.6	55																																							
D25RC040	19-21	54																																							
D25RC044	35-36	35																																							
D25RC044	39-40	26																																							
	TOTAL (kg)	351																																							

Criteria	Explanation	Comment																																				
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 45x212um fraction magnetically separated (Carpco fractionation, WHIMS simulation) to isolate high magnetic susceptibility particles and moderate magnetic susceptibility particles from non-magnetic particles (Monazite bearing fraction). 2-stage density separation for the non-magnetic fraction (shaker table, mids retreat). Monazite is density concentrated and trash is rejected in the tail stream. Conduct XRF analyses on the resulting non-magnetic, high-density concentrates. <p>FINES (-45um)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minus 45um fines passed through two Magnachute stages (1000G & 7000G, WHIMS simulation) to concentrate the non-magnetic particles and reject magnetic particles Conduct several flotation tests on the non-magnetic fines, testing different flotation agents. Conduct XRF analyses of the flotation concentrates and report. <p>SUMMARY RESULTS</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Stream</th> <th>Weight (%)</th> <th>REO Distribution (% of Feed)</th> <th>Cumulative REO Recovery (%)</th> <th>Monazite Grade (%)</th> <th>Cumulative Monazite Grade (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Bulk Sample Feed</td> <td>100.00</td> <td>100.0</td> <td>–</td> <td>6.7</td> <td>–</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse non-mag shaker table concentrate</td> <td>3.33</td> <td>36.4</td> <td>36.4</td> <td>74</td> <td>74</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse non-mag shaker table middlings</td> <td>0.73</td> <td>4.7</td> <td>41.1</td> <td>43</td> <td>68</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fines non-mag flotation concentrate 3</td> <td>2.87</td> <td>19.4</td> <td>60.5</td> <td>43</td> <td>58</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fines non-mag flotation</td> <td>1.74</td> <td>10.3</td> <td>70.8</td> <td>38</td> <td>54</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Stream	Weight (%)	REO Distribution (% of Feed)	Cumulative REO Recovery (%)	Monazite Grade (%)	Cumulative Monazite Grade (%)	Bulk Sample Feed	100.00	100.0	–	6.7	–	Coarse non-mag shaker table concentrate	3.33	36.4	36.4	74	74	Coarse non-mag shaker table middlings	0.73	4.7	41.1	43	68	Fines non-mag flotation concentrate 3	2.87	19.4	60.5	43	58	Fines non-mag flotation	1.74	10.3	70.8	38	54
Stream	Weight (%)	REO Distribution (% of Feed)	Cumulative REO Recovery (%)	Monazite Grade (%)	Cumulative Monazite Grade (%)																																	
Bulk Sample Feed	100.00	100.0	–	6.7	–																																	
Coarse non-mag shaker table concentrate	3.33	36.4	36.4	74	74																																	
Coarse non-mag shaker table middlings	0.73	4.7	41.1	43	68																																	
Fines non-mag flotation concentrate 3	2.87	19.4	60.5	43	58																																	
Fines non-mag flotation	1.74	10.3	70.8	38	54																																	

Criteria	Explanation	Comment																														
		concentrate 2 Fines non- mag flotation 0.72 1.4 72.2 12 51 concentrate 1 Total Monazite- Rich Product 9.39 - 72.2 - 51																														
		Gallium Results (ICP-MS from UIS Laboratory) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>Ga (PPM)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Head sample (Bulk Sample SH2501A)</td> <td>260</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Duplicate of Head Sample</td> <td>257</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse, non-mag, gravity concentrate 1</td> <td>655</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Coarse, non-mag, gravity mids concentrate</td> <td>426</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fines, non-mag flotation test 5 conc. 3</td> <td>534</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	Ga (PPM)	Head sample (Bulk Sample SH2501A)	260	Duplicate of Head Sample	257	Coarse, non-mag, gravity concentrate 1	655	Coarse, non-mag, gravity mids concentrate	426	Fines, non-mag flotation test 5 conc. 3	534																		
Sample	Ga (PPM)																															
Head sample (Bulk Sample SH2501A)	260																															
Duplicate of Head Sample	257																															
Coarse, non-mag, gravity concentrate 1	655																															
Coarse, non-mag, gravity mids concentrate	426																															
Fines, non-mag flotation test 5 conc. 3	534																															
		Gallium Element Mapping Results (LDE QEMScan) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sample</th> <th>Mineral</th> <th>Mineral% of Sample</th> <th>Ga Distribution</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="4">Grav Con 1</td> <td>Pyrite</td> <td>14.4</td> <td>98.06%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Monazite</td> <td>78.3</td> <td>1.35%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chalcopyrite</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Others</td> <td>7.15</td> <td>0.19%</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="4">Grav Con 2</td> <td>Pyrite</td> <td>13.9</td> <td>96.24%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Monazite</td> <td>43.0</td> <td>1.10%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chalcopyrite</td> <td>0.42</td> <td>1.36%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Others</td> <td>42.68</td> <td>1.3%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sample	Mineral	Mineral% of Sample	Ga Distribution	Grav Con 1	Pyrite	14.4	98.06%	Monazite	78.3	1.35%	Chalcopyrite	0.15	0.4%	Others	7.15	0.19%	Grav Con 2	Pyrite	13.9	96.24%	Monazite	43.0	1.10%	Chalcopyrite	0.42	1.36%	Others	42.68	1.3%
Sample	Mineral	Mineral% of Sample	Ga Distribution																													
Grav Con 1	Pyrite	14.4	98.06%																													
	Monazite	78.3	1.35%																													
	Chalcopyrite	0.15	0.4%																													
	Others	7.15	0.19%																													
Grav Con 2	Pyrite	13.9	96.24%																													
	Monazite	43.0	1.10%																													
	Chalcopyrite	0.42	1.36%																													
	Others	42.68	1.3%																													