

3 JULY 2023

SXG INTERSECTS 0.6 m @ 161.6 g/t AuEq (87.6 g/t Au, 46.8 %Sb) FROM NEW VEIN AT SUNDAY CREEK

Melbourne, Australia — Southern Cross Gold Ltd ("SXG" or the "Company") (ASX:SXG) announces results from six drillholes (SDDSC067, 69-72, 75) at the 100%-owned Sunday Creek Project in Victoria (Figure 1).

Highlights include **0.6 m @ 161.6 g/t gold equivalent ("AuEq") (87.6 g/t gold ("Au"), 46.8 % antimony** (**"Sb"))** from 415.7 m in SDDSC067, drilled into a new vein west of the Rising Sun area, indicating the prospectivity and opportunity for further high-grade discoveries across the Sunday Creek project, with a majority of the core area undrilled to date.

HIGHLIGHTS

- Six drillholes are reported with some of the highest grades of antimony (up to 54.0% Sb) and gold (up to 140.0 g/t Au) on the project. All holes demonstrate continuity of mineralised structures from near surface to 700 m vertical depth.
- SDDSC067 drilled a new vein to the west of the Rising Sun vein, previously only intersected by SDDSC050. SDDSC067 was drilled 115 m above SDDSC050 and highlights the continuity of mineralised structures at Sunday Creek. Highlights included:
 - o 0.6 m @ 161.6 g/t AuEq (87.6 g/t Au, 46.8% Sb) from 415.7 m, including:
 - 0.1 m @ 170.5 g/t AuEq (140.0 g/t Au, 19.3% Sb) from 415.7 m
 - 0.5 m @ 159.3 g/t AuEq (74.0 g/t Au, 54.0% Sb) from 415.8 m
- **SDDSC069** tested the Windsor Castle vein east of Rising Sun. The hole was drilled perpendicularly across SDDSC053 and demonstrated continuity of higher-grade mineralisation. Highlights included:
 - o 2.1 m @ 6.2 g/t AuEq (5.0 g/t Au, 0.7% Sb) from 294.4 m, including:
 - 0.4 m @ 24.7 g/t AuEq (21.0 g/t Au, 2.3% Sb) from 294.4 m

Southern Cross Gold's Managing Director, Michael Hudson, states, "And how Sunday Creek delivers again. Results include some of the highest grades of antimony (up to 54.0% Sb) and gold (up to 140.0 g/t Au) on the project to date into a new vein. All holes reported here demonstrate continuity of mineralised structures from near surface to 700 m vertical depth in and around hole SDDSC050 (Figures 4-7). We are now focusing on a dual strategy of exploration into new areas, while we refine and derisk other areas. The hit-rate of gold at Sunday Creek remains impressive, as we build a rapidly growing project of significance."

Drill Hole Discussion

Five drill holes (SDDSC067, 69-72) were drilled into the central portion of the Sunday Creek prospect, where four veins sets (Rising Sun, Windsor Castle plus 2 unnamed) over a 60 m true thickness, have been drilled from surface and, in the case of the Rising Sun vein, to 900 m depth.

SOUTHERN CROSS GOLD LTD

Level 21, 459 Collins Street, Melbourne Vic 3000 Australia Justin Mouchacca - Company Secretary p: +61 3 8630 3321 e: jm@southerncrossgold.com.au Nicholas Mead - Investor Relations <u>p: +61 415 153 122 e</u>: info@southerncrossgold.com.au

ABN: 70 652 166 795 ASX Code: SXG Issued Capital: 183.8M fully paid shares



A sixth hole, SDDSC075 reported here, is the first hole to test the 200 m wide gap between Rising Sun and Gladys. The hole successfully proved the continuity of mineralisation. Individual hole descriptions follow:

- **SDDSC067** drilled some of the highest grades of antimony (up to 54.0% Sb) and gold (up to 140.0 g/t Au) on the project. The hole followed up a single 115 m above a single intersection in SDDSC050, to the west of the Rising Sun vein and successfully defined a new high grade vein (Figures 4, 5 and 7). Highlights included:
 - o 0.6 m @ 161.6 g/t AuEq (87.6 g/t Au, 46.8% Sb) from 415.7 m, including:
 - 0.1 m @ 170.5 g/t AuEq (140.0 g/t Au, 19.3% Sb) from 415.7 m
 - 0.5 m @ 159.3 g/t AuEq (74.0 g/t Au, 54.0% Sb) from 415.8 m
- **SDDSC069** tested the Windsor Castle vein east of Rising Sun. The hole was drilled perpendicularly across SDDSC053 and demonstrated continuity of higher-grade mineralisation. Highlights included:
 - o 2.1 m @ 6.2 g/t AuEq (5.0 g/t Au, 0.7% Sb) from 294.4 m, including:
 - 0.4 m @ 24.7 g/t AuEq (21.0 g/t Au, 2.3% Sb) from 294.4 m
 - The same vein was tested up-dip in **SDDSC072**, with lower grade results (Table 2):
- **SDDSC070** was drilled to test the most easterly extents of mineralisation (in the central zone), 30 m to the north of SDDSC050 mineralisation and hit three different vein structures of similar tenor, one higher grade:
 - o 5.2 m @ 2.6 g/t AuEq (2.6 g/t Au, 0.0% Sb) from 766.0 m, including:
 - 0.9 m @ 11.5 g/t AuEq (11.5 g/t Au, 0.0% Sb) from 766.8 m
- **SDDSC071** intersected the Rising Sun vein in a 90 m gap between MDDSC020 and MDDSC021 again demonstrating downdip continuity over large distances. Highlights included:
 - o 7.1 m @ 4.0 g/t AuEq (2.0 g/t Au, 1.3% Sb) from 270.0 m, including:
 - 3.0 m @ 7.9 g/t AuEq (3.6 g/t Au, 2.7% Sb) from 270.0 m
 - o 8.8 m @ 1.2 g/t AuEq (0.7 g/t Au, 0.4% Sb) from 280.5 m, including:
 - 0.5 m @ 5.2 g/t AuEq (2.0 g/t Au, 2.1% Sb) from 281.4 m
- SDDSC075 is the first hole to test the 200 m wide gap between Rising Sun and Gladys. The hole successfully proved the continuity of mineralisation, albeit at lower grade (Figure 4). Further drilling is warranted to target higher grade portions of the veins. Better results included 2.5 m @ 1.0 g/t AuEq (0.9 g/t Au, 0.1 %Sb) from 225.7 m.

Update on Current Drilling

Drilling with three rigs is in progress at Sunday Creek. Five holes (SDDSC068, 73, 74, 76, 78) are currently being geologically processed and analysed, with three holes (SDDSC077B, 79, 80) in drill progress (Figure 4). A fourth rig is being upgraded and is expected to return to the site over the next 4 weeks.

Twelve holes (SDDTS001-7, SDDCN001 and SDDLV001-4) for 2,383 m (including two redrilled collars) have now been completed at the Leviathan – Consols – Tonstals regional area between 3.5 km to 7.5 km along strike from the main drill area (Figure 8). Results from initial holes are expected shortly.

About Sunday Creek

The Sunday Creek epizonal-style gold project is located 60 km north of Melbourne within 19,365 hectares of granted exploration tenements. SXG is also the freehold landholder of 132.64 hectares that form the key portion in and around the main drilled area at the Sunday Creek Project.



The hit rate at Sunday Creek compares favourably with leading global hit rates for this stage of the project's development. Cumulatively, 134 drill holes for 26,476 m have been completed at Sunday Creek. In total, **31** individual intersections exceed **50** AuEq g/t x m ("AuEq g/t x width in m") and **15 individual intersections** exceed **100** AuEq g/t x m.

Sunday Creek has an 11 km mineralised trend that extends beyond the main drill area and is defined by historic workings and soil sampling. This large footprint is being drill tested for the very first time to depth by the fourth drill rig at Tonstal and Leviathan. Results are pending (Figure 8).

Geologically, the project is located within the Melbourne Structural Zone in the Lachlan Fold Belt. The regional host to the Sunday Creek mineralisation is an interbedded turbidite sequence of siltstones and minor sandstones metamorphosed to sub-greenschist facies and folded into a set of open NW trending folds.

Mineralisation, Scale and Comparison to Other Epizonal Deposits

Creek structurally controlled, with Mineralisation at Sunday is increased mineralisation associated with brittle-ductile shear veins that show quartz-stibnite extension veining, stibnite-gold-matrix breccias and disseminated mineralisation in the form of arsenian pyrite, pyrite and arsenopyrite. The host for mineralisation is an east to north-east trending zone of intensely altered 'bleached' sericite-albitic siltstones, and sericite-carbonate-albite altered dyke rocks that ranges from 50 - 200 m wide. A larger arsenic anomaly is associated with gold mineralisation, mostly represented by arsenian-pyrite but arsenopyrite-bearing zones predominate below 700 m vertical depth with a clear spatial relationship to high-grade gold. A sulphidic (pyritic) halo, predominately in bleached pyrite-sericitic veins rounds out the larger visible alteration footprint.

Mineralised vein sets cross the host structure at on a predominate north-west orientation and are typically 10 m to 40 m wide (cut off dependent), 20 m to 60 m along strike, and 300 m to 830 m down dip. As compared to other deposits, Sunday Creek benefits from the presence of multiple high-grade veins. Mineralised shoots at Sunday Creek can also be formed at the intersection of the sub-vertical to shallower dipping 330 degree (NW) striking mineralised veins sets and the east-west striking, steeply north dipping structure hosting dioritic dykes and related intrusive breccias. Higher grades of mineralisation are often observed to concentrate on the dyke/altered sediment interface within individual vein sets.

At Sunday Creek, and as is typical for epizonal deposits (for example Fosterville and Costerfield, Reefton (NZ)), visible gold becomes increasingly significant at depth below approximately 800 m. This represents the different temperatures and changes in structural regimes of formation of epizonal Au-Sb and Au dominant mineralisation. Gold at Sunday Creek is hosted in quartz and carbonate vein sets, associated with stibnite bearing veins and breccias.



Further Information

Further discussion and analysis of the Sunday Creek project is available through the interactive Vrify 3D animations, presentations and videos all available on the SXG website. These data, along with an interview on these results with Managing Director Michael Hudson, with a 3D Leapfrog presentation, can be viewed at www.southerncrossgold.com.au

Figures 1, 4-8 show project location, plan, longitudinal and cross-sectional views of drill results reported here and Tables 1–3 provide collar and assay data. Figures 2-3 show shematic plan and cross section of alteration, lithologies and mineralisation. The true thickness of the mineralised intervals reported are interpreted to be approximately 60-70% of the sampled thickness. Lower grades were cut at 0.3 g/t Au lower cutoff over a maximum width of 3 m with higher grades cut at 5.0 g/t Au cutoff over a maximum of 1 m width, unless otherwise stated.

Gold Equivalent Calculation

SXG considers that both gold and antimony that are included in the gold equivalent calculation ("AuEq") have reasonable potential to be recovered at Sunday Creek, given current geochemical understanding, historic production statistics and geologically analogous mining operations. Historically, ore from Sunday Creek was treated onsite or shipped to the Costerfield mine, located 54 km to the northwest of the project, for processing during WW1. The Costerfield mine corridor, now owned by Mandalay Resources Ltd contains two million ounces of equivalent gold (Mandalay Q3 2021 Results), and in 2020 was the sixth highest-grade global underground mine and a top 5 global producer of antimony.

SXG considers that it is appropriate to adopt the same gold equivalent variables as Mandalay Resources Ltd in its <u>Mandalay Technical Report, 2022</u> dated 25 March 2022. The gold equivalence formula used by Mandalay Resources was calculated using recoveries achieved at the Costerfield Property Brunswick Processing Plant during 2020, using a gold price of US\$1,700 per ounce, an antimony price of US\$8,500 per tonne and 2021 total year metal recoveries of 93% for gold and 95% for antimony, and is as follows: $AuEq = Au (g/t) + 1.58 \times Sb$ (%).

Based on the latest Costerfield calculation and given the similar geological styles and historic toll treatment of Sunday Creek mineralisation at Costerfield, SXG considers that a $AuEq = Au (g/t) + 1.58 \times Sb$ (%) is appropriate to use for the initial exploration targeting of gold-antimony mineralisation at Sunday Creek.

- Ends –

This announcement has been approved for release by the Board of Southern Cross Gold Ltd.

Competent Person Statement

Information in this announcement that relates to new exploration results contained in this report is based on information compiled by Mr Michael Hudson, a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. He is MD for Southern Cross Gold Ltd. He has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and types of deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the JORC Code). Michael Hudson has consented to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on this information in the form and context in which it appears.

Certain information in this announcement that relates to prior exploration results is extracted from the Independent Geologist's Report dated 16 March 2022 which was issued with the consent of the Competent Person, Mr Terry C. Lees. The report is included the Company's prospectus dated 17 March 2022 which was released as an announcement to ASX on 12 May 2022 and is available at www2.asx.com.au under code "SXG". The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information related to exploration results included in the original market announcement. The Company confirms that the form and context of the Competent Persons' findings in relation to the report have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.



Certain information in this announcement also relates to prior drill hole exploration results, are extracted from the following announcements, which are available to view on <u>www.southerncrossgold.com.au</u>:

- <u>30 May, 2022</u> SDDSC033
- <u>9 August, 2022</u> SDDSC039
- <u>20 October, 2022</u> SDDSC046, SDDSC049
- <u>21 November, 2022</u> SDDSC050
- <u>14 December 2022</u> SDDSC050
- <u>30 March, 2023</u> SDDSC061
- <u>16 May, 2023</u> SDDSC064
- <u>1 June, 2023</u> SDDSC066

The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original document/announcement and the Company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not materially modified from the original market announcement.

About Southern Cross Gold Ltd




The Southern Cross Gold corporate branding embodies important characteristics of the Company. The blue lettering acknowledges the state colour of Victoria, and the gold recognises the Victorian goldfields. The Southern Cross is a constellation also represented on the Australian flag which provides a strong cultural significance to all Australians. The main 7-pointed star represents the unity of the six states and the territories of the Commonwealth of Australia and the

addition of a miner's pickaxe within the body of the star reflects the central place that mineral exploration has in Australia and, of course, to Southern Cross Gold.

For further information, please contact:

Justin Mouchacca, Company Secretary, <u>im@southerncrossgold.com.au</u>, +61 3 8630 3321 Nicholas Mead, Investor Relations, <u>nm@southerncrossgold.com.au</u>, +61 415 153 122 Figure 1: Location of the Sunday Creek project, along with SXG's other Victoria projects.





Epizonal Gold Deposits
 SXG Projects
 SXG Nagambie Right of First Refusal
 Agnico Eagle Mines ML (Fosterville)
 Mandalay Resources (Costerfield)

Figure 2: Sunday Creek schematic plan from Christina to Apollo showing wide alteration halo and mineralisation.





Figure 3: Sunday Creek schematic cross section plan through host looking eat, showing wide alteration halo and mineralisation with example core from hole SDDSC058.



Distal FW

Figure 4: Sunday Creek factual plan view showing the six drillholes (SDDSC067, 69-72, 75) reported in this press release (grey box), selected prior reported drill holes¹ and pending holes (yellow collar and trace).





Figure 5: Sunday Creek cropped cross section A-B (50 m influence) across the Rising Sun – Windsor Castle area looking towards the northwest showing mineralised veins sets, SDDSC067, 069 and 71 reported here and prior reported drill holes¹.



10

Figure 6: Sunday Creek cropped cross section C-D (50 m influence) across the Rising Sun – Windsor Castle area looking towards the northwest showing mineralised veins sets. SDDSC070reported here and prior reported drill holes shown¹.




Figure 7: Sunday Creek longitudinal section across E-F the plane of the dyke breccia host looking towards the north showing mineralised veins sets. SDDSC067, 69-72, 75 reported here and prior reported drill holes shown¹.





Figure 8: Sunday Creek regional plan view showing LiDAR, soil sampling, structural framework, regional historic epizonal gold mining areas and broad regional areas to be tested. Twelve holes (SDDTS001-7, SDDCN001 and SDDLV001-4) for 2,383 m (including two redrilled collars) have now been completed at the Leviathan – Consols – Tonstals regional area between 3.5 km to 7.5 km along strike from the main drill area (Figure 2). Results from initial holes are expected shortly.



Hole_ID	Depth (m)	Prospect	East GDA94_Z55	North GDA94_Z55	Elevation	Azimuth	Plunge
SDDSC067	551	Rising Sun	330754.2	5868022.2	294.3	220.2	-70.4
SDDSC068	1041.2	Apollo	331254	5868098.6	353.9	211.3	-77.7
SDDSC069	385.8	Rising Sun	330875	5868005	307.2	234.0	-59.0
SDDSC070	911.3	Rising Sun	331031.5	5868097.6	325.1	231.0	-74.5
SDDSC071	329.3	Rising Sun	330875	5868005	307.2	232.0	-51.0
SDDSC072	259.7	Rising Sun	330875	5868005	307.2	222.0	-43.0
SDDSC073	818.3	Apollo	331254	5868097	353.9	212.0	-69.0
SDDSC074	898.1	Root Hog	331108	5867975	319.4	255.0	-73.0
SDDSC075	283.1	Root Hog	330951	5868007	313.7	211.0	-40.0
SDDSC076	322.5	Gladys Gap	330617	5867890	300.0	85.0	-41.0
SDDSC077B	In progress plan 930 m	Rising Sun	330478	5867882	289.0	73.3	-62.2
SDDSC078	439.5	Rising Sun	330617	5867890	300	83.6	-58
SDDSC079	In progress plan 770 m	Rising Sun	331254	5868098	353.7	210	-65
SDDSC080	In progress plan 380 m	Rising Sun	330754	5868022	294.3	185	-71
SDDTS001	179.75	Tonstal	336788	5870637	525.0	156.0	-50.0
SDDTS002	182.6	Tonstal	336788	5870637	525.0	111.0	-42.0
SDDTS003	197.8	Tonstal	336788	5870637	525.0	111.0	-73.0
SDDTS004	62.6	Tonstal	336788	5870637	525.0	79.0	-60.0
SDDTS004A	170.6	Tonstal	336788	5870637	525.0	79.0	-60.0
SDDTS005A	257.05	Tonstal	336788	5870637	525.0	70.0	-42.0
SDDTS006	368.6	Tonstal	336788	5870637	525.0	48.0	-50.0
SDDTS007	179.6	Tonstal	336788	5870637	525.2	230.0	-50.0
SDDCN001	200.5	Consols	336270	5870700	507.0	220.0	-60.0
SDDLV001	152.6	Leviathan	334240	5869962	552.2	190.0	-60.0
SDDLV002	131.75	Leviathan	334240	5869962	552.2	240	-50
SDDLV003	140	Leviathan	334240	5869962	552.2	90	-60
SDDLV004	143.4	Leviathan	334428	5870014	553	242.5	-40

Table 1: Drill collar summary table for recent drill holes in progress.

Table 2: Tables of mineralised drill hole intersections reported from SDDSC066 using two cut-off criteria. Lowergrades cut at 0.3 g/t lower cutoff over a maximum of 3 m with higher grades cut at 5.0 g/t AuEq cutoff over amaximum of 1 m.

Hole-ID	From	То	Length	Au g/t	Sb%	AuEq g/t
SDDSC067	411.85	412.10	0.3	0.8	0.0	0.8
SDDSC067	415.72	416.30	0.6	87.6	46.8	161.6
SDDSC067	421.60	430.17	8.6	0.5	0.2	0.8
SDDSC067	463.00	466.20	3.2	1.3	0.0	1.3
SDDSC069	294.35	296.45	2.1	5.0	0.7	6.2
including	294.35	294.80	0.4	21.0	2.3	24.7
SDDSC069	299.96	302.41	2.5	0.7	0.0	0.7
SDDSC069	308.00	316.52	8.5	0.7	0.1	0.9
SDDSC070	693.20	693.60	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.6
SDDSC070	711.00	715.00	4.0	0.5	0.0	0.5
SDDSC070	723.00	731.00	8.0	0.5	0.0	0.5
SDDSC070	734.00	735.00	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.6
SDDSC070	766.00	771.20	5.2	2.6	0.0	2.6
including	766.80	767.70	0.9	11.5	0.0	11.5
SDDSC070	810.00	812.00	2.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC071	270.00	277.10	7.1	2.0	1.3	4.0
including	270.00	273.00	3.0	3.6	2.7	7.9
SDDSC071	280.50	289.30	8.8	0.7	0.4	1.2
including	281.35	281.82	0.5	2.0	2.1	5.2
SDDSC072	209.70	214.74	5.0	0.5	0.0	0.6
SDDSC072	217.50	226.00	8.5	0.3	0.1	0.4
SDDSC075	187.00	188.00	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC075	217.90	218.40	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.4
SDDSC075	225.70	228.20	2.5	0.9	0.1	1.0

Hole-ID	From	То	Length	Au g/t	Sb%	AuEq g/t
SDDSC067	150.00	151.20	1.2	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC067	152.40	153.30	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC067	404.48	405.55	1.1	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC067	409.70	410.15	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC067	410.15	410.80	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC067	410.80	411.85	1.1	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC067	411.85	412.10	0.3	0.8	0.0	0.8
SDDSC067	415.72	415.84	0.1	140.0	19.3	170.5
SDDSC067	415.84	416.30	0.5	74.0	54.0	159.3
SDDSC067	418.33	418.53	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4
SDDSC067	420.36	421.10	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC067	421.10	421.60	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC067	421.60	422.13	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.8
SDDSC067	422.13	422.40	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.6
SDDSC067	422.40	423.00	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC067	423.00	423.90	0.9	0.4	0.0	0.4
SDDSC067	423.90	424.70	0.8	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC067	425.00	425.20	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC067	425.20	425.54	0.3	1.6	0.0	1.7
SDDSC067	425.54	425.80	0.3	1.6	0.2	2.0
SDDSC067	425.80	426.05	0.3	0.8	1.2	2.6
SDDSC067	426.05	427.00	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC067	428.78	429.47	0.7	1.7	0.3	2.2
SDDSC067	429.47	430.17	0.7	1.4	1.1	3.2
SDDSC067	463.00	463.59	0.6	0.7	0.1	0.8
SDDSC067	463.59	464.23	0.6	1.9	0.1	2.0
SDDSC067	464.23	464.80	0.6	2.3	0.0	2.4
SDDSC067	464.80	465.20	0.4	0.7	0.0	0.7
SDDSC067	465.20	466.20	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.8
SDDSC069	291.00	292.00	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC069	292.00	293.00	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC069	294.35	294.80	0.4	21.0	2.3	24.7
SDDSC069	294.80	295.80	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC069	295.80	296.45	0.6	1.6	0.7	2.7
SDDSC069	296.45	297.00	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC069	299.96	300.87	0.9	1.5	0.0	1.5
SDDSC069	300.87	301.87	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC069	301.87	302.41	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.4
SDDSC069	302.41	303.37	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.2
SDDSC069	306.00	307.00	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC069	307.47	308.00	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.2

 Table 3: All individual assays reported from the six drillholes (SDDSC067, 69-72, 75) reported here >0.1g/t

 AuEq.

SDDSC069	308.00	308.30	0.3	2.5	0.8	3.7
SDDSC069	309.00	309.60	0.6	0.6	0.3	1.1
SDDSC069	309.60	310.00	0.4	1.1	0.0	1.1
SDDSC069	311.00	311.57	0.6	3.5	0.9	4.9
SDDSC069	311.57	311.94	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.4
SDDSC069	311.94	312.30	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.5
SDDSC069	312.30	312.78	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.1
SDDSC069	312.78	313.50	0.7	3.3	0.0	3.3
SDDSC069	316.00	316.52	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC069	317.00	317.83	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC070	693.20	693.60	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.6
SDDSC070	693.60	694.60	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC070	711.00	712.00	1.0	0.4	0.0	0.4
SDDSC070	712.00	713.00	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC070	713.00	714.00	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC070	714.00	715.00	1.0	1.2	0.0	1.2
SDDSC070	715.00	716.00	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC070	719.00	720.00	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC070	723.00	724.00	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.6
SDDSC070	724.00	725.00	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.9
SDDSC070	725.00	726.00	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC070	726.00	727.00	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.9
SDDSC070	727.00	728.00	1.0	0.4	0.0	0.4
SDDSC070	730.00	731.00	1.0	0.7	0.0	0.7
SDDSC070	731.00	731.60	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC070	731.60	732.20	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC070	734.00	735.00	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.6
SDDSC070	735.00	735.60	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC070	752.40	753.80	1.4	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC070	766.00	766.80	0.8	0.5	0.0	0.5
SDDSC070	766.80	767.70	0.9	11.5	0.0	11.5
SDDSC070	767.70	769.00	1.3	0.8	0.0	0.8
SDDSC070	769.00	770.00	1.0	0.8	0.0	0.8
SDDSC070	770.00	771.20	1.2	0.8	0.0	0.8
SDDSC070	807.00	808.10	1.1	0.1	0.0	0.2
SDDSC070	810.00	811.00	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC070	811.00	812.00	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC070	812.00	813.00	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC070	813.00	814.00	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.3
SDDSC070	814.00	815.00	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC070	815.00	816.00	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC070	816.00	817.00	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC071	204.56	205.10	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.1

SDDSC071	205.10	205.60	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.2
SDDSC071	269.40	270.00	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC071	270.00	271.00	1.0	4.4	3.0	9.1
SDDSC071	271.00	271.70	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.7
SDDSC071	271.70	272.00	0.3	0.6	1.0	2.2
SDDSC071	272.00	273.00	1.0	6.2	4.6	13.4
SDDSC071	273.00	273.50	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC071	273.50	274.00	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC071	274.00	275.00	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.5
SDDSC071	275.00	276.15	1.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC071	276.15	276.80	0.7	4.1	0.3	4.6
SDDSC071	276.80	277.10	0.3	0.3	1.5	2.7
SDDSC071	278.00	279.10	1.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC071	280.50	281.00	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.7
SDDSC071	281.00	281.35	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.5
SDDSC071	281.35	281.82	0.5	2.0	2.1	5.2
SDDSC071	281.82	282.50	0.7	1.9	1.9	4.9
SDDSC071	282.50	283.10	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.6
SDDSC071	283.10	284.10	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC071	286.00	286.60	0.6	1.0	0.1	1.2
SDDSC071	286.60	287.10	0.5	2.3	0.1	2.4
SDDSC071	287.10	288.00	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.3
SDDSC071	288.00	288.80	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.5
SDDSC071	288.80	289.30	0.5	1.4	0.7	2.6
SDDSC072	71.80	72.30	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC072	75.80	77.30	1.5	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC072	208.00	209.00	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC072	209.70	210.60	0.9	0.4	0.0	0.4
SDDSC072	210.60	211.10	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.5
SDDSC072	211.87	212.28	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.3
SDDSC072	212.28	212.75	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.5
SDDSC072	212.75	213.65	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC072	214.00	214.74	0.7	2.1	0.1	2.3
SDDSC072	214.74	215.08	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC072	215.87	216.79	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC072	217.50	218.17	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.3
SDDSC072	218.17	219.00	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.7
SDDSC072	220.60	221.45	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC072	221.80	222.65	0.8	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC072	222.65	223.05	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.4
SDDSC072	223.05	223.76	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.6
SDDSC072	223.76	224.32	0.6	1.6	0.3	2.0
SDDSC072	224.32	225.00	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.3

SDDSC072	225.00	226.00	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.6
SDDSC075	185.50	187.00	1.5	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC075	187.00	188.00	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
SDDSC075	209.00	210.40	1.4	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC075	211.80	213.20	1.4	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC075	215.60	217.00	1.4	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC075	217.90	218.40	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.4
SDDSC075	219.80	221.00	1.2	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC075	221.00	222.00	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC075	222.00	223.00	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC075	223.00	224.00	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
SDDSC075	224.00	224.60	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC075	224.60	225.70	1.1	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC075	225.70	226.50	0.8	0.6	0.0	0.6
SDDSC075	226.50	227.40	0.9	0.2	0.0	0.2
SDDSC075	227.40	228.20	0.8	1.9	0.3	2.4

JORC Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	 Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	 Sampling has been conducted on drill core (half core for >90 % and quarter core for check samples), grab samples (field samples of in-situ bedrock and boulders; including duplicate samples), trench samples (rock chips, including duplicates) and soil samples (including duplicate samples). Locations of field samples were obtained by using a GPS, generally to an accuracy of within 5 metres. Drill hole and trench locations have been confirmed to <1 metre using a differential GPS. Samples locations have also been verified by plotting locations on the high-resolution Lidar maps Drill core is marked for cutting and cut using an automated diamond saw used by Company staff in Kilmore. Samples are bagged at the core saw and transported to the Bendigo OnSite Laboratory for assay. At OnSite samples are crushed using a jaw crusher combined with a rotary splitter and a 1 kg split is separated for pulverizing (LM5) and assay. Standard fire assay techniques are used for gold assay on a 30 g charge by experienced staff (used to dealing with high sulphide and stibnite-rich charges). OnSite gold method by fire assay code PE01S. Screen fire assay is used to understand gold grain-size distribution where coarse gold is evident. ICP-OES is used to analyse the aqua regia digested pulp for an additional 12 elements (method BM011) and over-range antimony is measured using flame AAS (method known as B050). Soil samples were sieved in the field and an 80 mesh sample bagged and transported to ALS Global laboratories in Brisbane for super-low level gold analysis on a 50 g samples are generally submitted to OnSite Laboratories for standard fire assay and 12 element ICP-OES as described above.
Drilling techniques	 Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	 HQ diameter diamond drill core, oriented using Boart Longyear TruCore orientation tool with the orientation line marked on the base of the drill core by the driller/offsider. A standard 3 metre core barrel has been found to be most effective in both the hard and soft rocks in the project.
Drill sample recovery	 Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. 	 Core recoveries were maximised using HQ diamond drill core with careful control over water pressure to maintain soft-rock integrity and prevent loss of

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	 Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	 fines from soft drill core. Recoveries are determined on a metre-by-metre basis in the core shed using a tape measure against marked up drill core checking against driller's core blocks. Plots of grade versus recovery and RQD (described below) show no trends relating to loss of drill core, or fines.
Logging	 Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	Geotechnical logging of the drill core takes place on racks in the the company
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	 If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. 	 Drill core is typically sampled using half of the HD diameter. The drill core orientation line is retained. Quarter core is used when taking sampling duplicates (termed FDUP in the database). Sampling representivity is maximised by always taking the same side of the drill core (whenever oriented), and consistently drawing a cut line on the core where orientation is not possible. The field technician draws these lines.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	 Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	 Sample sizes are maximised for coarse gold by using half core, and using quarter core and half core splits (laboratory duplicates) allows an estimation of nugget effect. In mineralised rock the company uses approximately 10% of ¼ core duplicates, certified reference materials (suitable OREAS materials), laboratory sample duplicates and instrument repeats. In the soil sampling program duplicates were obtained every 20th sample and the laboratory inserted low-level gold standards regularly into the sample flow.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	 The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	 The fire assay technique for gold used by OnSite is a globally recognised method, and over-range follow-ups including gravimetric finish and screen fire assay are standard. Of significance at the OnSite laboratory is the presence of fire assay personnel who are experienced in dealing with high sulphide charges (especially those with high stibnite contents) – this substantially reduces the risk of in accurate reporting in complex sulphide-gold charges. The ICP-OES technique is a standard analytical technique for assessing elemental concentrations. The digest used (aqua regia) is excellent for the dissolution of sulphides (in this case generally stibnite, pyrite and trace arsenopyrite), but other silicate-hosted elements, in particular vanadium (V), may only be partially dissolved. These silicate-hosted elements are not important in the determination of the quantity of gold, antimony, arsenic or sulphur. A portable XRF has been used in a qualitative manner on drill core to ensure appropriate core samples have been taken (no pXRF data are reported or included in the MX database). Acceptable levels of accuracy and precision have been established using the following methods <i>¼ duplicates</i> – half core is split into quarters and given separate sample numbers (commonly in mineralised core) – low to medium gold grades indicate strong correlation, dropping as the gold grade increases over 40 g/t Au. <i>Blanks</i> – blanks are inserted after visible gold and in strongly mineralised rocks to confirm that the crushing and pulping are not affected by gold smearing onto the crusher and LMS swing mill surfaces. Results are excellent, generally below detection limit and a single sample at 0.03 g/t Au. <i>Certified Reference Materials</i> – OREAS CRMs have been used throughout the project including blanks, low (<1 g/t Au), medium (up to 5 g/t Au) and high-grade gold samples (> 5 g/t Au). Results are automatically checked on data import into the MX database to fall

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Verification of sampling and assaying	 The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	 duplicates as quality control and reports all data. In particular, high Au samples have the most repeats. <i>Laboratory CRMs</i> – OnSite regularly inserts their own CRM materials into the process flow and reports all data <i>Laboratory precision</i> – duplicate measurements of solutions (both Au from fire assay and other elements from the aqua regia digests) are made regularly by the laboratory and reported. <i>Accuracy and precision</i> have been determined carefully by using the sampling and measurement techniques described above during the sampling (accuracy) and laboratory (accuracy and precision) stages of the analysis. <i>Soil sample</i> company duplicates and laboratory certified reference materials all fall within expected ranges. The Independent Geologist has visited Sunday Creek drill sites and inspected drill core held at the Kilmore core shed. Visual inspection of drill intersections matches the both the geological descriptions in the database and the expected assay data (for example, gold and stibnite visible in drill core is matched by high Au and Sb results in assays). In addition, on receipt of results Company geologists assess the gold, antimony and arsenic results to verify that the intersections returned expected data. The electronic data storage in the MX database is of a high standard. Primary logging data are entered directly by the geologists and field technicians and the assay data are electronically matched against sample number on return from the laboratory. Certified reference materials, ¼ core field duplicates (FDUP), laboratory splits and duplicates for some reporting. Adjustments to assay data are recorded by MX, and none are present (or required). Twinned drill holes are not available at this stage of the project.
Location of data points	 Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and downhole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	 Differential GPS used to locate drill collars, trenches and some workings Standard GPS for some field locations (grab and soils samples), verified against Lidar data. The grid system used throughout is Geocentric datum of Australia 1994; Map Grid Zone 55 (GDA94_Z55), also referred to as ELSG 28355. Topographic control is excellent owing to sub 10 cm accuracy from Lidar data.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Data spacing and distribution	 Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	 The data spacing is suitable for reporting of exploration results – evidence for this is based on the improving predictability of high grade gold-antimony intersections. At this time the data spacing and distribution are not sufficient for the reporting of Mineral Resource Estimates. This however may change as knowledge of grade controls increase with future drill programs. Sample compositing has not been applied to the reporting of any drill results.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	 Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	 The true thickness of the mineralised intervals reported are interpreted to be approximately 60-70% of the sampled thickness. Drilling is oriented in an optimum direction when considering the combination of host rock orientation and apparent vein control on gold and antimony grade. The steep nature of some of the veins may give increases in apparent thickness of some intersections, but more drilling is required to quantify. A sampling bias is not evident from the data collected to date (drill holes cut across mineralised structures at a moderate angle).
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	 Drill core is delivered to the Kilmore core logging shed by either the drill contractor or company field staff. Samples are marked up and cut by company staff at the Kilmore core shed, in an automated diamond saw and bagged before loaded onto strapped secured pallets and trucked by commercial transport to Bendigo for submission to the laboratory. There is no evidence in any stage of the process, or in the data for any sample security issues.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	 Continuous monitoring of CRM results, blanks and duplicates is undertaken by geologists and the company data geologist. Mr Michael Hudson for SXG has the orientation, logging and assay data.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	 Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	 The Sunday Creek Goldfield, containing the Clonbinane Project, is covered by the Retention Licence RL 6040 and is surrounded by Exploration Licence EL6163 and Exploration Licence EL7232. All the licences are 100% held by Clonbinane Goldfield Pty Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary company of Southern Cross Gold Ltd.
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	 The main historical prospect within the Sunday Creek project is the Clonbinane prospect, a high level orogenic (or epizonal) Fosterville-style deposit. Small scale mining has been undertaken in the project area since the 1880s continuing through to the early 1900s. Historical production occurred with multiple small shafts and alluvial workings across the Clonbinane Goldfield permits. Production of note occurred at the Clonbinane area with total production being reported as 41,000 oz gold at a grade of 33 g/t gold (Leggo and Holdsworth, 2013) Work in and nearby to the Sunday Creek Project area by previous explorers typically focused on finding bulk, shallow deposits. Beadell Resources were the first to drill deeper targets and Southern Cross have continued their work in the Sunday Creek Project area. EL54 - Eastern Prospectors Pty Ltd Rock chip sampling around Christina, Apollo and Golden Dyke mines. Rock chip sampling down the Christina mine shaft. Resistivity survey over the Golden Dyke. Five diamond drill holes around Christina, two of which have assays. ELs 872 & 975 - CRA Exploration Pty Ltd Exploration focused on finding low grade, high tonnage deposits. The tenements were relinquished after the area was found to be prospective but not economic. Stream sediment samples around the Golden Dyke and Reedy Creek areas. Results were better around the Golden Dyke. 45 dump samples around Golden Dyke old workings showed good correlation between gold, arsenic and antimony. Soil samples over the Golden Dyke to define boundaries of dyke and mineralization. Two costeans parallel to the Golden Dyke targeting soil anomalies. Costeans since rehabilitated by SXG. ELs 827 & 1520 - BHP Minerals Ltd Exploration targeting open cut gold mineralization peripheral to SXG tenements. ELs 1534, 1603 & 3129 - Ausminde Holdings Pty Ltd

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		 Targeting shallow, low grade gold. Trenching around the Golden Dyke prospect and results interpreted along with CRAs costeans. 29 RC/Aircore holes totalling 959 m sunk into the Apollo, Rising Sun and Golden Dyke target areas. ELs 4460 & 4987 - Beadell Resources Ltd ELs 4460 and 4497 were granted to Beadell Resources in November 2007. Beadell successfully drilled 30 RC holes, including second diamond tail holes in the Golden Dyke/Apollo target areas. Both tenements were 100% acquired by Auminco Goldfields Pty Ltd in late 2012 and combined into one tenement EL4987. Nagambie Resources Ltd purchased Auminco Goldfields in July 2014. EL4987 expired late 2015, during which time Nagambie Resources applied for a retention licence (RL6040) covering three square kilometres over the Sunday Creek Goldfield. RL6040 was granted July 2017. Clonbinane Gold Field Pty Ltd was purchased by Mawson Gold Ltd in February 2020. Mawson drilled 30 holes for 6,928 m and made the first discoveries to depth.
Geology	 Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	Refer to the description in the main body of the release.
Drillhole Information	 A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	Refer to appendices
Data aggregation methods	 In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for 	 See "Further Information" and "Metal Equivalent Calculation" in main text of press release.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	 such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	 These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg. 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	 See reporting of true widths in the body of the press release.
Diagrams	 Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	 The results of the diamond drilling are displayed in the figures in the announcement.
Balanced reporting	 Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	 All results above 0.1g/t Au have been tabulated in this announcement. The results are considered representative with no intended bias. Core loss, where material, is disclosed in tabulated drill intersections.
Other substantive exploration data	 Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	 Previously reported diamond drill drill results are displayed in plans, cross sections and long sections and discussed in the text and in the Competent Person's statement.
Further work	 The nature and scale of planned further work (eg. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	 The Company has 4 diamond drill rigs in operation and plans to drill 30,000 m in 2023. The company remains in an exploration stage to expand the mineralisation along strike and to depth. See diagrams in presentation which highlight current and future drill plans.